Check for updates

SOFTWARE TOOL ARTICLE

MetaDEGalaxy: Galaxy workflow for differential abundance analysis of 16s metagenomic data [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Mike W.C. Thang^{1,2}, Xin-Yi Chua^{1,2}, Gareth Price^{1,2}, Dominique Gorse^{1,2},
Matt A. Field ³⁻⁵

¹Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, 4000, Australia

²Queensland Facility for Advanced Bioinformatics, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, 4000, Australia

³John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia

⁴Australian Institute for Tropical Health and Medicine, James Cook University, Smithfield, Queensland, 4878, Australia

⁵Centre for Tropical Bioinformatics and Molecular Biology, James Cook University, Smithfield, Queensland, 4878, Australia

V1 First published: 23 May 2019, 8:726
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.18866.1>
Latest published: 18 Oct 2019, 8:726
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.18866.2>

Abstract

Metagenomic sequencing is an increasingly common tool in environmental and biomedical sciences yet analysis workflows remain immature relative to other field such as DNASeq and RNASeq analysis pipelines. While software for detailing the composition of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker genes is constantly improving, increasingly researchers are interested in identifying changes exhibited within microbial communities under differing environmental conditions. In order to gain maximum value from metagenomic sequence data we must improve the existing analysis environment by providing accessible and scalable computational workflows able to generate reproducible results.

Here we describe a complete end-to-end open-source metagenomics workflow running within Galaxy for 16S differential abundance analysis. The workflow accepts 454 or Illumina sequence data (either overlapping or non-overlapping paired end reads) and outputs lists of the operational taxonomic unit (OTUs) exhibiting the greatest change under differing conditions. A range of analysis steps and graphing options are available giving users a high-level of control over their data and analyses. Additionally, users are able to input complex sample-specific metadata information which can be incorporated into differential analysis and used for grouping / colouring within graphs. Detailed tutorials containing sample data and existing workflows are available for three different input types: overlapping and non-overlapping read pairs as well as for pre-generated Biological Observation Matrix (BIOM) files.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2		
(revision)
18 Oct 2019	view

version 1
23 May 2019	view	view

1. **Saskia Hiltemann** , Erasmus University
Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

2. **Leo Lahti** , University of Turku, Turku,
Finland

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Using the Galaxy platform we developed MetaDEGalaxy, a complete metagenomics differential abundance analysis workflow. MetaDEGalaxy is designed for bench scientists working with 16S data who are interested in comparative metagenomics. MetaDEGalaxy builds on momentum within the wider Galaxy metagenomics community with the hope that more tools will be added as existing methods mature.

Keywords

Galaxy, metagenomics, differential abundance, high throughput sequencing, phyloseq

This article is included in the **Galaxy** gateway.

Corresponding author: Matt A. Field (matt.field@jcu.edu.au)

Author roles: **Thang MWC:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Chua XY:** Methodology, Resources, Software, Supervision; **Price G:** Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Gorse D:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision; **Field MA:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was funded by an internal capacity building grant within the Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine [15025].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2019 Thang MWC *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Thang MWC, Chua XY, Price G *et al.* **MetaDEGalaxy: Galaxy workflow for differential abundance analysis of 16s metagenomic data [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2019, 8:726 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.18866.1>

First published: 23 May 2019, 8:726 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.18866.1>

Introduction

It is now recognized that there is a strong link between microbial communities in the human body and human health¹. While the importance of such communities is understood, the composition and function of the human micro-biome largely remains a mystery. Uncovering how the composition and function of the micro-biome impacts human health represents a significant area of growth. Another important area of research growth is the study of environmental microbial communities in fields such as agriculture, marine science, and ecology. By identifying the composition of microbial communities, researchers are able to link microbes to specific environments and using comparative metagenomics identify how microbial communities' changes under altered environmental conditions.

Central to elucidating the link between the metagenomic data and human health or altered environmental conditions is sequencing; however, obtaining useful research outcomes from large volumes of unprocessed sequence data represents a challenge for many bench scientists. The major bottleneck in obtaining value from such data is the huge computational and logistic task required for analysing the large volumes of sequencing data routinely generated in a single sequencing run.

The sequencing of entire microbial communities requires metagenomic analysis tools. These tools rely on the ability to analyse unbroken sequence reads covering the 16S variable regions. Due to limitations of short read sequencing platforms such as Illumina, the longest fragment of variable regions of a 16S gene that can be sequenced is shorter than the ideal full 600 bp. Illumina paired-end sequencing of 300 bp on forward read and reverse read produces only 550 bp to allow for stitching the forward end and reverse end together. With 550 bp fragment length, the reads can cover both variable region 3 (V3) and variable region 4 (V4). The length of V3 and V4 are 393bp and 440bp respectively.

A major challenge for bench scientists working with metagenomic data is that many popular software programs requires a 64-bit Linux environment, an environment often unavailable and unfamiliar to researchers. Furthermore, even when such an environment is available, the complexity of the rapidly changing metagenomic algorithms means no gold standard methodologies exist. As such, there are currently over 100 metagenomic analysis tools available, making it challenging to select the appropriate software. For example, the popular metagenomic tool QIIME² consists of more than 150 python scripts, many of which are wrappers to external programs.

An increasingly common alternative for the growing number of non-bioinformaticians working with NGS data is the availability of user-friendly interfaces. These interfaces are typically attached to significant compute resources with pre-installed software packages readily available. Interfaces such as Galaxy³ or the Genomics Virtual Lab⁴ are examples of powerful platforms that grant non-bioinformaticians access to the latest NGS methodologies. The Galaxy platform enables scientists to use bioinformatics tools in an easy to use graphical user interface

(GUI) environment, where tool resource management is handled by the administrators of each Galaxy service. The platform's functionality power comes from the ability to chain tools into workflows, and share the data and workflows. Further, the flexibility of Galaxy platform allows developers to integrate new tools and workflows into the platform. Galaxy maintains a single tool shed repository of pre-wrapped tools that cover an abundance of next generation sequence analyses.

Despite these solutions however, challenges remain in fast moving research areas such as metagenomics with limited metagenomic workflows currently available within the popular Galaxy framework. Currently, there is one end-to-end existing metagenomics workflow offering, the recently published ASaiM⁵ for both 16S and shotgun metagenomic analysis. While there is overlap between their workflows, MetaDEGalaxy differs in its focus on differential abundance by incorporating the capabilities of phyloseq⁶ and DESeq2⁷ for complex differential bacterial analysis. DESeq2 contains tests specifically developed to detect significant differences in abundances for counts data. While DESeq2 is most commonly utilised for differential gene expression in RNASeq, using the phyloseq API a Biological Observation Matrix (BIOM) file is formatted for use within DESeq2 and differences in taxa abundances discovered. MetaDEGalaxy also offers extensive graphing capabilities by wrapping the comprehensive metagenomics R-package phyloseq⁶. Extensive graphing options are available within MetaDEGalaxy wrapping most functions offered within phyloseq which offer the user a high level of control. Additionally, user supplied metadata files can be input to DESeq2 for model generation and to phyloseq for enhanced graphing capabilities allowing for grouping, clustering, and colouring of all graph types based on metadata information. All software wrapped within the workflow is open-source software, a current limitation of existing workflows such as usearch⁸ within the popular QIIME package². Finally, MetaDEGalaxy is designed within the popular Genomic Virtual Lab⁴ leveraging the functionality of this robust infrastructure.

Methods

Input

MetaDEGalaxy accepts either 454 or Illumina paired end sequence FASTQ files that can be overlapping or non-overlapping. Users may alternatively input a pre-computed BIOM file if they do not require BIOM file generation. Additional functionality requires a sample specific tab-delimited metadata file formatted according to QIIME map file standards. This metadata information can be utilised for determining the model to employ within DESeq2 and to generate graphs grouped by various metadata attributes.

Implementation

In total, there are four workflows in MetaDEGalaxy (Table 1) which utilise a combination of external software and custom code.

External software available include Trimmomatic (v0.32.2)⁹, FastQC (v0.52), PEAR (v0.9.6)¹⁰, SAMTools (v1.1.2)¹¹, BWA (0.7.12.1)¹², VSEARCH (v1.9.7)¹³, the BIOM API, DESeq2 (v2.1.8)⁷ and phyloseq (Galaxy v1.0)⁶.

Table 1. MetaDEGalaxy Workflows.

Workflow Name	Workflow Description
1. Quality control and predetermination of 16S workflow utilisation	To detect percentage of paired-end reads that overlap each other by 10bp. This workflow randomly selected 1000 reads from each sample to perform the detection. If over 50% of the PE reads overlap each other by at least 10bp, it is recommended to use workflow 2. If less than 50% of PE reads overlap by at least 10bp, it is recommended to use workflow 3.
2. 16S_DE_for_overlapPE	For use with datasets that are sequenced using overlapping paired-end reads
3. 16S_DE_for_nonoverlapPE	For use with datasets that are sequenced using non-overlapping paired-end reads.
4. 16S_BIOM	Handles Biological Observation Matrix (BIOM) file from workflows 2 and 3 to generate 5 plots (e.g. sample correlation network plot, symmetric plot and 3 abundance bar plots).

Workflows

Four comprehensive MetaDEGalaxy tutorial are currently available in github which demonstrate how to work with both overlapping and non-overlapping 16S paired end Illumina reads.

Tutorial #1 details the workflow for data QC and the detection of paired end overlap in sequencing data and preparing FastQ files for metagenomic analysis (Figure 1). Tutorial #2 details the entire workflow for overlapping paired end Illumina reads (Figure 2) using the same data set employed by the *Mothur_SOP* run with the popular *Mothur* software (v1.35.1)¹⁴. This workflow inputs a group of paired-end MiSeq files and a metadata map file and generates overlapping FASTQ files, an annotated BIOM file, a DESeq2 table of differentially expressed microbes, and a variety of phyloseq graphs. Tutorial #3 details the entire workflow for non-overlapping paired end Illumina reads and is similar to tutorial #2 with the exception of pre-processing steps transforming FASTQ files into a Fasta file where PEAR¹⁰ software is not run. Finally, tutorial #4 details a workflow for BIOM file processing and analyses detailing how to utilise the platform for analyses starting from an input BIOM file.

Operation

The Galaxy environment is available for testing purposes at <http://203.101.224.124/galaxy> and will be available on Galaxy Australia server by the end of 2019 (<https://usegalaxy.org.au/>). The minimum system requirements for installing the MetaDEGalaxy are a 64-bit unix environment at 4Gb of memory.

Results

To demonstrate some of the advanced functionality of MetaDEGalaxy, we follow tutorial #2 using the *Mothur_SOP* data to first generate a normalised count table and a table of differentially abundant OTUs (Table 2). The differentially abundant OTU table is formatted in DESeq2 output with additional taxonomic information appended to each row.

We use this table of differentially abundant OTUs to next generate a symmetric plot. Users are able to select any taxonomic level as well as any metadata variable for comparison and further to pick two values of this variable for direct comparison (Figure 3). In this example, we pick Phylum for our taxonomy level and time as our variable of interest and group the graph

Figure 1. Workflow 1 in MetaDEGalaxy for data QC and detecting PE read overlap.

according to 'Early' or 'Late'. The resulting symmetric plot shows the differences in OTUs for 'Early' and 'Late' samples across different phylum (Figure 4). We are also able to generate alpha diversity abundance plots according to various sample attributes grouped here for 'Replicate Group' and coloured by 'Food' (Figure 5). As a final example, we generate a network plot where we select 'Replicate group' for the correlation and select 'Food' as the legend (Figure 6).

Software comparison

MetaDEGalaxy is compared to existing software in Table 3. There are comparable web and/or GUI based tools such as QIIME2, Calypso, Explicet, and Megan, however none of these tools are currently available within the popular Galaxy framework. There is currently one actively developed end to end 16S workflows within Galaxy, ASaiM⁵.

Of the available web-based or GUI-based options, only QIIME2, ASaiM, and MetaDEGalaxy offer end-to-end workflows beginning

Figure 2. Workflow 2, 3, and 4 for differential abundance detection of operational taxonomic units (OTUs). Both workflow 2 and 3 use all the components in the workflow, the only difference is workflow 2 takes in paired-end reads data as input and workflow 3 take single-end reads data as input. The workflow 4 is the subset of the main workflow which starts with blue boxes and ends with all plots generated.

from input FASTQ files. Only MetaDEGalaxy and Calypso offer extensive differential abundance tools incorporating the algorithms of sophisticated tools for finding differences in count data such as DESeq2. Finally, only ASaiM and MetaDEGalaxy run in the popular Galaxy framework making the set of attributes available within MetaDEGalaxy unique.

Use cases

To demonstrate how to use MetaDEGalaxy we offer four in-depth tutorials describing available workflows. Tutorials 1, 2 and 4 utilise the same input data as the well-documented Mothur_SOP while tutorial 3 utilises custom 300bp paired end, non-overlapping Illumina MiSeq data. In either use case, reads can be accessed and pre-processed via Galaxy Interface with the following steps:

- 1) click on “Operations on multiple dataset” on the top of the history panel
- 2) check the box for all paired-end files listed on the history panel
- 3) click on the “For all selected...” button the top of the history panel
- 4) click on “Build list of Dataset Pairs” on the drop-down menu

5) Type in a common field of the file name for both forward and reverse paired end data

6) click on the “Auto-pair”

7) Enter a name for the collection of paired datasets and click “Create list”

Apart from the paired-end reads in data collection, users are required to have loaded the metadata table and both 16S reference genome and annotation files. When the paired-end reads from a data collection is imported into a Galaxy history, an important step for the later in the workflow is the renaming of the FASTA sequence header by appending the sample ID to end at the end of each read ID using the reheader tool in Galaxy. This information will be used as the column header for OTU table generated by the workflows.

Workflow 1 (Figure 1) is designed to detect the status of overlapped paired-end reads data using PEAR. Users should proceed with workflow 2 if the percentage of overlapped paired-end reads data is high. Otherwise, workflow 3 should be used for non-overlapping reads. Both workflow 2 and 3 are fundamentally the same (Figure 2), however, workflow 3 can take single-end

Table 2. Differentially abundant operational taxonomic units from DESeq2.

OTUID	baseMean	log2FoldChange	lfcSE	stat	pvalue	padj	Kingdom	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species
2916985	17.275	6.959	0.8086	8.606	7.554E-18	4.033E-14	k__Bacteria	p__Tenericutes	c__Mollicutes	o__RF39	f__	g__	s__
740299	16.78	-6.384	0.7575	-8.429	3.4972E-17	9.336E-14	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
274697	16.135	6.822	0.8382	8.139	3.981E-16	7.0648E-13	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
641881	25.667	-6.793	0.8645	-7.858	3.9058E-15	5.2132E-12	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
697688	17.944	-5.31	0.7502	-7.079	1.4539E-12	1.5524E-09	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
778075	11.611	-5.762	0.8519	-6.764	1.3409E-11	1.1932E-08	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
134615	6.278	-4.988	0.8125	-6.14	8.277E-10	6.313E-07	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
801865	4.222	-5.046	0.8375	-6.026	1.6837E-09	1.1237E-06	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
4387364	28.34	-2.546	0.432	-5.894	3.7682E-09	2.2354E-06	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
131618	10.229	4.34	0.7439	5.834	5.4269E-09	2.8974E-06	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__Lachnospiraceae	g__	s__
790360	10.402	3.906	0.692	5.645	1.6498E-08	8.0073E-06	k__Bacteria	p__Bacteroidetes	c__Bacteroidia	o__Bacteroidales	f__S24-7	g__	s__
1918929	7.056	-5.04	0.8971	-5.618	1.9322E-08	8.2648E-06	k__Bacteria	p__Proteobacteria	c__Alphaproteobacteria	o__Rickettsiales	f__mitochondria	g__	s__
352171	7.667	-4.15	0.7397	-5.611	2.0124E-08	8.2648E-06	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
790211	17.004	-3.34	0.5968	-5.595	2.2019E-08	8.397E-06	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__Ruminococcaceae	g__	s__
194043	17.857	3.766	0.688	5.474	4.4026E-08	1.567E-05	k__Bacteria	p__Bacteroidetes	c__Bacteroidia	o__Bacteroidales	f__S24-7	g__	s__
265712	11.111	-4.232	0.7821	-5.411	6.2728E-08	2.0931E-05	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
789537	85.172	2.863	0.5468	5.235	1.648E-07	5.1757E-05	k__Bacteria	p__Bacteroidetes	c__Bacteroidia	o__Bacteroidales	f__S24-7	g__	s__
799694	3.722	-4.53	0.8702	-5.205	1.9379E-07	5.7482E-05	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
761977	3.389	-4.15	0.8112	-5.116	3.1154E-07	8.7542E-05	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
674084	17.231	-3.077	0.6053	-5.083	3.7056E-07	9.892E-05	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
206817	12.735	3.292	0.6542	5.031	4.8752E-07	0.00011831	k__Bacteria	p__Bacteroidetes	c__Bacteroidia	o__Bacteroidales	f__S24-7	g__	s__
705799	20.949	-3.175	0.6301	-5.038	4.7021E-07	0.00011831	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__Ruminococcaceae	g__Ruminococcus	s__
196733	8.735	3.292	0.6588	4.996	5.8464E-07	0.00013571	k__Bacteria	p__Bacteroidetes	c__Bacteroidia	o__Bacteroidales	f__S24-7	g__	s__
727165	12.562	3.574	0.7231	4.942	7.7311E-07	0.00017199	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__Lachnospiraceae	g__	s__
723287	17.06	-3.009	0.6165	-4.881	1.0562E-06	0.00022557	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__
608864	22.231	-2.95	0.6119	-4.821	1.4262E-06	0.00029286	k__Bacteria	p__Firmicutes	c__Clostridia	o__Clostridiales	f__	g__	s__

Galaxy / GVL 4.1.0

Analyze DataWorkflowShared DataVisualizationAdminHelpUser

Tools

search tools

BASIC TOOLS

Get Data

Send Data

Lift-Over

Text Manipulation

Filter and Sort

Join, Subtract and Group

Convert Formats

Operate on Genomic Intervals

Extract Features

STATISTICS AND VISUALISATION

Fetch Sequences

Fetch Alignments

Statistics

Graph/Display Data

NGS COMMON TOOLSETS

FASTA manipulation

NGS: Picard

NGS: SAM Tools

BED tools

NGS: VCF Manipulation

NGS: GATK Tools 1.4

NGS: GATK Tools 2.8

EMBOSS

Blast+

NGS: Alignment

NGS ANALYSIS

NGS: QC and manipulation

NGS: Mapping

NGS: Assembly

NGS: RNA Analysis

NGS: Variant Analysis

NGS: Annotation

Metagenomic analyses

JAMES COOK UNIVERSITY TOOLS

Tools: Data Processing

META: BIOM

META: KRAKEN

META: PEAR

META: PHYLOSEQ

META: SEQT

META: VSEARCH

Workflows

All workflows

Symmetric Plot Symmetric Plot (Galaxy Version 1.0)

Options

Count table file

445: DESeq2 Normalised Table.txt

Metadata file

115: metadata_OverlappedPE.txt

OTU Taxonomy file

114: gg_13_5_taxonomy.txt

Select number of records to display

☐ 20

☒ 30

☐ 40

☐ 50

☐ 100

Select a taxonomy rank

☐ Kingdom

☒ Phylum

☐ Class

☐ Order

☐ Family

☐ Genus

☐ Species

is the data normalised?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Variable to compare

c3: time

Fill in two comparable group separated by comma

Early,Late

Execute

TIP: The input data should be in tabular format.

TIP: The first column should contain OTU ID.

TIP: This program takes in two files: 1) raw count/normalised table, 2) a metadata file

What it does

This program uses an R package called DESeq2 and ggplot2 to create a symmetric bar plot.

Syntax

This tool creates a symmetric plot based on input table. The input table, if it is a raw count table, will be normalised by DESeq2 "counts" function with flag "normalised=T".

A normalised dataset - set to "Yes" only if the input table is a normalised dataset

Group of choice - The group of choice will appear in the title of the plot (e.g., Tissue, protein and etc).

Select a group - This is a list of group to use in comparison (e.g., Tissue A vs Tissue B). This should be the same as "Group of choice".

Select only two groups to compare - select only two groups of interest for comparison.

Wrapper Author

QFAB Bioinformatics (support@qfab.org)

Figure 3. MetaDEGalaxy menu options for generating symmetric plots for differentially abundant operational taxonomic units (OTUs). Users are able to select the taxonomic rank to examine in addition to two values within any user-defined metadata category.

Figure 4. Symmetric plot of the most differentially abundant operational taxonomic units (OTUs) grouped by 'Time' with 'Early' and 'Late' samples compared.

Figure 5. Alpha diversity abundance plots grouped for replicate group and coloured by 'Food'.

Figure 6. Network plots grouped for replicate group and coloured by ‘Food’.

Table 3. Popular web or graphical user interface (GUI) based metagenomic analysis pipelines.

Software	Language / Environment	Web?	Input FASTQ?	16S?	Shotgun?	Diff Abun?
QIIME2	Python	No	Yes	Yes	Experimental	No
Calypso	Java / Perl / R	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Explicet	C++	No	No	Yes	No	No
Megan	Java	No	No	Yes	No	No
ASaiM	Galaxy	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
MetaDEGalaxy	Galaxy	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

reads data as input when the overlapped paired-end reads are not overlapping.

Workflow 4 is designed to take a precomputed BIOM file as input. BIOM file format is designed to store OTU counts, meta-data, and OTU annotation into one file. When users input a BIOM file, workflow 4 can be used to add metadata to an existing BIOM file and create abundance bar plot, network plot and symmetric plots using phyloseq R package.

More detailed tutorial documentation is available in the github repository.

Conclusion

MetaDEGalaxy is a complete end-to-end Galaxy workflow for 16S differential abundance analysis. Harnessing the power of open source algorithms such as vsearch, phyloseq, and DESeq2, MetaDEGalaxy offers users high-level of control over their data and analysis options. Focusing on discovering the most differentially abundant OTUs between samples, MetaDEGalaxy allows users to assess the impact of different environmental condition on overall microbial community composition.

Data availability

Source data

Data used for the tutorials are available from Zenodo:

Zenodo: Mothur MiSeq SOP Galaxy Tutorial Data. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.800651>¹⁵

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

Software availability

Software available from: <http://203.101.224.124/galaxy>

Source code available from: <https://github.com/QFAB-Bioinformatics/jcu.microgv1.ansible.playbook>

Archived source code at time of publication: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2658835>¹⁶

Licence: GNU General Public License v3.0 for all script/wrappers

Grant information

This work was funded by an internal capacity building grant within the Australian Institute of Tropical Health and Medicine [15025].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Nectar Australia for compute resources for hosting Galaxy.

References

- Clemente JC, Ursell LK, Parfrey LW, *et al.*: **The impact of the gut microbiota on human health: an integrative view.** *Cell*. 2012; **148**(6): 1258–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, *et al.*: **QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data.** *Nat Methods*. 2010; **7**(5): 335–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Giardine B, Riemer C, Hardison RC, *et al.*: **Galaxy: a platform for interactive large-scale genome analysis.** *Genome Res*. 2005; **15**(10): 1451–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Afgan E, Sloggett C, Goonasekera N, *et al.*: **Genomics Virtual Laboratory: A Practical Bioinformatics Workbench for the Cloud.** *PLoS One*. 2015; **10**(10): e0140829.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Batut B, Gravouil K, Defois C, *et al.*: **ASaiM: a Galaxy-based framework to analyze microbiota data.** *GigaScience*. 2018; **7**(6): gty057.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- McMurdie PJ, Holmes S: **Phyloseq: a bioconductor package for handling and analysis of high-throughput phylogenetic sequence data.** *Pac Symp Biocomput*. 2012; 235–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S: **Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2.** *Genome Biol*. 2014; **15**(12): 550.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Edgar RC: **Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.** *Bioinformatics*. 2010; **26**(19): 2460–1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

9. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B: **Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.** *Bioinformatics*. 2014; **30**(15): 2114–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
10. Zhang J, Kobert K, Flouri T, *et al.*: **PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End reAd mergeR.** *Bioinformatics*. 2014; **30**(5): 614–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
11. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, *et al.*: **The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.** *Bioinformatics*. 2009; **25**(16): 2078–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
12. Li H, Durbin R: **Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.** *Bioinformatics*. 2009; **25**(14): 1754–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
13. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, *et al.*: **VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics.** *PeerJ*. 2016; **4**: e2584.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
14. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, *et al.*: **Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities.** *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2009; **75**(23): 7537–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
15. Hiltemann S: **Mothur MiSeq SOP Galaxy Tutorial Data [Data set].** *Zenodo*. 2016.
<http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.800651>
16. mthang: **QFAB-Bioinformatics/jcu.microgvl.ansible.playbook: First release of MetaDEGalaxy (Version v1.0.0).** *Zenodo*. 2019.
<http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2658835>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 15 October 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.20677.r55128>

© 2019 Lahti L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Leo Lahti

Department of Future Technologies, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

This submission introduces MetaDEGalaxy, which is a workflow intended for 16S differential abundance analysis in the open source Galaxy platform. The workflow incorporates various currently popular open source algorithms, the proposed workflow support the application of such methods by Galaxy users. In particular, the workflow supports differential OTU abundance testing for common measurement platforms (454 and Illumina). Step-by-step tutorials are provided to support the use.

The overall work is sound and clearly written. Appropriate references are provided, and the work is based on commonly used methodologies and open source resources. Data and software are openly available with a unique DOI and permanent archiving through Zenodo.

The work does not contribute to methods criticism, validation, or benchmarking. This work is a technical contribution that provide new software plugin for the broader Galaxy platform. This is relevant for the limited community of researchers who use Galaxy for 16S microbiome analysis. The contribution is a contribution to scientific software, rather than scientific discussion. This, in my understanding, fits the F1000Research scope.

Minor:

- Why the software has GPL3 license that is more restrictive than e.g. MIT which is often recommended for research software? See DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002598¹
- Instead of QIIME, it could be more appropriate to cite QIIME2?

References

1. Morin A, Urban J, Sliz P: A quick guide to software licensing for the scientist-programmer. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2012; **8** (7): e1002598 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?

Yes

Is the description of the software tool technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow replication of the software development and its use by others?

Yes

Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?

Yes

Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: microbiome research

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 24 July 2019

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.20677.r50121>

© 2019 Hiltemann S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Saskia Hiltemann

Department of Bioinformatics, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

The authors describe MetaDEGalaxy, a set of Galaxy tools and workflows for differential abundance analysis of 16S metagenomics data. They have enabled DESeq -a tool designed primarily for RNASeq data- to be used on metagenomics datasets. They provide Galaxy workflows and training materials and a Galaxy server for testing. Furthermore, they have integrated a number of Galaxy tools for visualisation using phyloseq, which is a valuable addition to the existing ecosystem of Galaxy metagenomics tools.

Remarks:

1. In the abstract: "Metagenomic sequencing [...] analysis workflows remain immature compared to other fields"

This is a strong claim and requires more justification or be made less broad. Metagenomics (and especially 16S metagenomics) tool suites such as QIIME and Mothur have quite well-established pipelines. And end-to-end online analysis portals such as MG-RAST (<https://www.mg-rast.org/>), MGnify (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/metagenomics/pipelines/2.0>), MOCAT2 (<https://mocat.embl.de/>), META-pipe¹ and others have also been around for some time, and are also user-friendly GUI options.

In general, the discussion of existing methods could be expanded. Please describe in more detail how MetaDEGalaxy fits in this ecosystem.

2. In the introduction: "Currently, there is one end-to-end existing metagenomics workflow offering, ASaiM":

a. Referring to ASaiM as a workflow may be confusing. In Galaxy, the term workflow has a very specific meaning, and ASaiM is a collection of tools, workflows and tutorials with a common topic, and it includes multiple Galaxy workflows within it. Perhaps refer to these solutions as Galaxy environments or similar, and reserve the word workflows for Galaxy workflows?

b. ASaiM is also by no means the only metagenomics workflow available in Galaxy, for example:

- GmT : Mothur SOP 16S end-to-end pipelines have been made available as Galaxy workflows previously.²
- FROGS: Metagenomics pipelines in Galaxy has been previously described.³
- Other Galaxy environments and workflows such as A-Game,⁴ ANASTASIA,⁵ or this functional annotation workflow,⁶ and several others have also been previously described. While these examples have a different focus than MetaDEGalaxy (i.e. functional metagenomics rather than 16S), the authors make the very broad claim that ASaiM is the only other "existing metagenomics workflow on offering", which is inaccurate.

Please consider expanding the discussion of existing work and Table 3 to include some or all of the above.

3. In the results section, please discuss how the differential abundance results obtained with the MetaDEGalaxy pipelines compare to the results described in the Mothur SOP (https://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP, e.g. under subsection "population level analysis" of section "OTU-based analysis") Do you determine the same OTUs to be statistically significantly different between the two groups? Explain any differences in results, as well as the added value of your approach over the statistical methods used in the SOP.

4. The Phyloseq wrappers the authors have created do not appear to be available from the Galaxy toolshed currently While I appreciate that the authors have developed Ansible playbooks for the installation of the wrappers, such a custom approach is not recommended practice, and adding the tools to the tool shed will greatly increase their accessibility.

One option for this would be to submit the wrappers to the IUC tool repository on github (

<https://github.com/galaxyproject/tools-iuc>) where they will be reviewed and automatically uploaded to the toolshed upon acceptance.

Minor Remarks:

1. Training materials for the use of the MetaDEGalaxy workflows are available in the form of PDF files. I would strongly urge the authors to consider contributing these materials to the Galaxy Training Network (<https://training.galaxyproject.org>) so that they are more readily available for use by the community. I think that MetaDEGalaxy tutorial would be happily accepted there, and the GTN community can provide support to transform the tutorials into the right format.
2. Since the 4 workflows offered in this manuscript are designed to be run in succession (e.g. workflow 1,2,4 or 1,3,4), have the authors considered creating some full end-to-end workflows, using Galaxy's concept of sub-workflows?
3. A set of Qiime2 Galaxy tool wrappers have recently been made available in the toolshed (https://toolshed.g2.bx.psu.edu/repository?repository_id=7af460fa907bf4a3), could you update the text in the "software comparison" section & table to reflect this?

Compliments:

I really like the visualisation tools you added, and I would love to add a symmetric plot to the existing 16S Galaxy tutorial on the Galaxy Training Networks site if you put the tools on the tool shed!

References

1. Agafonov A, Mattila K, Tuan CD, Tiede L, et al.: META-pipe cloud setup and execution. *F1000Res*. 2017; **6**. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Hiltemann S, Boers S, van der Spek P, Jansen R, et al.: Galaxy mothur Toolset (GmT): a user-friendly application for 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis using mothur. *GigaScience*. 2019; **8** (2). [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Escudié F, Auer L, Bernard M, Mariadassou M, et al.: FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution. *Bioinformatics*. 2018; **34** (8): 1287-1294 [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Chiara M, Placido A, Picardi E, Ceci L, et al.: A-GAME: improving the assembly of pooled functional metagenomics sequence data. *BMC Genomics*. 2018; **19** (1). [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Koutsandreas T, Ladoukakis E, Pilalis E, Zarafeta D, et al.: ANASTASIA: An Automated Metagenomic Analysis Pipeline for Novel Enzyme Discovery Exploiting Next Generation Sequencing Data. *Front Genet*. 2019; **10**: 469 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Pilalis E, Ladoukakis E, Kolis F, Chatziioannou A: A Galaxy Workflow for the Functional Annotation of Metagenomic Samples. 2012; **7297**: 247-253 [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the rationale for developing the new software tool clearly explained?

Yes

Is the description of the software tool technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the code, methods and analysis (if applicable) provided to allow

replication of the software development and its use by others?

Yes

Is sufficient information provided to allow interpretation of the expected output datasets and any results generated using the tool?

Yes

Are the conclusions about the tool and its performance adequately supported by the findings presented in the article?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Bioinformatics, Galaxy, 16S metagenomics, training

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 16 Oct 2019

Matt Field, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Thank you for taking the time to review MetaDEGalaxy. I have submitted a modified manuscript to address the points you raised.

1) Software background / comparison (Major Remark 1 and 2 and minor remark 3)

The most significant change to the manuscript is the dramatic expansion of the software discussion and comparison sections to include more web based and Galaxy based metagenomics offerings. Additions include MG-RAST, MetaPipe, MOCAT2, FROGS, GmT, A-Game, and ANASTASIA to name a few.

I added a broader discussion about where MetaDEGalaxy fits in relative to the ever expanding metagenomic software environment.

2) Expansion of differential abundance comparison

I expanded the manuscript to include more details on tools with differential abundance options including calypso and mothur methods metastats and lefse. I performed a small side-by-side comparison however MetaDEGalaxy had results identical to calypso (which also uses phyloseq_to_deseq) and very different to both mothur techniques so I simply state this fact in the manuscript and cite previous work that has shown this already (Jonsson V, et al. Statistical evaluation of methods for identification of differentially abundant genes in comparative metagenomics. BMC Genomics. 2016).

3) Availability of wrapper scripts and tutorials (Major remark 4 and minor remark 1)

Thank you for the suggestions regarding better ways to make the software more widely available, I wasn't aware of these resources. The tutorials will indeed be made widely available to the training network once the installation is completed within Galaxy Australia which should be done by the end of 2019. Currently, the code is installed on a demo server however it will be given a permanent home within Galaxy Australia very shortly. We will also make the wrapper scripts available as per your suggestion to the IUC tool repository on github.

Also, for testing please note the temporary IP address for the demo server changed to <http://203.101.224.202/galaxy/> which is now reflected in the new manuscript.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research