RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED Comparison of two lipid emulsions on interleukin-1 β , interleukin-8 and fatty acid composition in infants post gastrointestinal surgery: a randomized trial [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 not approved]

Meta Herdiana Hanindita¹ , Roedi Irawan², I Dewa Gede Ugrasena²,
I. G. B. Adria Hariastawa³

¹Doctoral Program, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, 60286, Indonesia

²Department of Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, 60286, Indonesia

³Department of Pediatric Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, 60286, Indonesia

v2 First published: 24 Sep 2020, 9:1168
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26269.1>
 Second version: 20 Nov 2020, 9:1168
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26269.2>
 Latest published: 21 Dec 2020, 9:1168
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26269.3>

Abstract

Background: Nutritional support plays an essential role for recovery in infants who undergo gastrointestinal surgery. The current standard type of intravenous lipid emulsion (IVLE) used as parenteral nutrition is the mixture of medium-chain triglyceride (MCT) and long chain triglyceride (LCT) rich in ω -6. Studies showed that ω -6 is associated with higher level of proinflammatory cytokines, leading to increased mortality rate, morbidity rate, and postoperative recovery time. The latest generation of emulsion is a mixture of MCT, LCT, olive oil (OO), and fish oil (FO) which may optimize the ω 6/ ω 3 ratio. This study aimed to compare the effect of MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE to standard IVLE on IL-1 β , IL-8 and plasma fatty acids in infants who had undergone gastrointestinal surgery.

Methods: A single-blind, randomised controlled, pretest-posttest design study was done in twelve subjects that were classified into two groups. Group 1 received standard IVLE, group 2 received MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE. The type of standard and MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE used in this study were Lipofundin 20% and SMOFlipid 20%, respectively, both administered for three consecutive days in 1-4 gram/kilogram/day. IL-1 β and IL-8 were examined using ELISA while fatty acids was analyzed using gas chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Mac 23.

Results: No statistical difference was found in age, gender, birth weight and diagnosis between both groups. Leukocyte was

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 3			
(revision)			
21 Dec 2020			
version 2			
(revision)			
20 Nov 2020			
version 1			
24 Sep 2020			

1. Philip C. Calder , University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
2. Peter Stehle , University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
3. I Gusti Lanang Sidiarta , Udayana University, Denpasar, Indonesia

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

significantly lower in MCT/LCT/OO/FO group 3 days after surgery ($p=0.025$). CRP was lower in MCT/LCT/OO/FO group 3 days after surgery ($p=0.01$) and in changes within 3 days ($p=0.016$). There were no differences in IL-1 β , IL-8 and ω -3 but ω -6 was higher in standard IVFE group on third day after surgery ($p=0.048$)

Conclusion: MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE can significantly lower leukocyte, CRP and ω -6 levels and is comparable with standard IVLE on IL-1 β , IL-8 and ω -3 levels in infants underwent gastrointestinal surgery.

Keywords

Parenteral Nutrition, Intravenous Lipid Emulsion, Interleukin-1Beta, Interleukin-8, Omega-3

Corresponding author: Meta Herdiana Hanindita (metahanindita@yahoo.com)

Author roles: **Hanindita MH:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Irawan R:** Resources, Supervision; **Ugrasena IDG:** Resources, Supervision; **Hariastawa IGBA:** Supervision

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2020 Hanindita MH *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Hanindita MH, Irawan R, Ugrasena IDG and Hariastawa IGBA. **Comparison of two lipid emulsions on interleukin-1 β , interleukin-8 and fatty acid composition in infants post gastrointestinal surgery: a randomized trial [version 2; peer review: 2 approved, 1 not approved]** F1000Research 2020, 9:1168 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26269.2>

First published: 24 Sep 2020, 9:1168 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.26269.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

1. Serum omega-3 fatty acids finding is included in the abstract.
2. Detail explanation about sample size calculation.
3. Detail explanation about fatty acid analysis

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

Surgical interventions may stimulate physiological inflammatory response as body's attempt towards general recovery¹. The balance of inflammatory response brings about good recovery, while excessive level of proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL) -1 β , IL-6, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , may cause organ damage and severe complications, leading to the rise of postoperative mortality and morbidity rate². De Mooij stated that IL-1 β plays an important role in infection control, homeostasis, and tissue repair, while IL-8 plays an important role in inflammation and wound healing³⁻⁵.

Nutritional support is essential in wound healing and plays an important role in growth and development of an infant after undergoing gastrointestinal surgery⁶. Patients who could not receive oral and enteral nutrition for two days should be considered for parenteral nutrition^{7,8}. The current standard type of fat emulsion used as parenteral nutrition is a mixture of medium-chain triglyceride (MCT) and soy oil enriched with long-chain triglyceride (LCT)⁹. This emulsion is rich in ω -6 and contains linoleic acid (LA, C18:2 ω -6) and also α -linolenic acid (ALA, C18:3 ω -3). Several studies showed that ω -6 is associated with impaired cell-mediated immunity and higher potential risk of elevated proinflammatory biomarkers and severe inflammatory response. These mechanisms may bring about the rise in mortality rate, morbidity rate and may also prolong the duration of treatment and postoperative recovery time¹⁰⁻¹².

The latest generation of fat emulsion, SMOFlipid, is a mixture of MCT, LCT, olive oil (OO), and fish oil (FO), optimizing the ω 6/ ω 3 ratio. Some studies showed that OO exerts indirect anti-inflammatory effect by replacing ω -6 with oleic acid, while the addition of ω -3 from FO in soy-oil based fat emulsion may inhibit inflammatory reactions, i.e. reducing cytokine secretion and adhesion molecule expression and balancing the immune system, since it contains eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)^{6,13-16}. ω -3 may also act as a regulator of the immune system and mitigator of inflammation since it acts as a precursor for lipid mediator¹⁶. Our previous study showed lower levels of proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and TNF- α in subjects who received the mixture of MCT/LCT/OO/FO compared with subjects who received MCT/LCT IVLE¹⁷. To our knowledge, there been no study regarding the effects of MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE on IL-1 β and IL-8 levels and fatty acid composition in infants who undergo gastrointestinal surgery, compared to those who receive standard IVLE.

Methods

Study background and recruitment

This single-blind, randomized controlled, pretest-posttest design, parallel-group with 1:1 randomization study aimed to compare the effect of MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE to standard MCT/LCT IVLE on IL-1 β , IL-8 levels and fatty acid composition in infants who had undergone gastrointestinal surgery. The primary outcomes of this study were IL-1 β and IL-8 levels and fatty acid composition while the secondary outcomes were hemoglobin, leukocyte, C-reactive protein (CRP) and albumin levels. This study was conducted in April–July 2020. Our subjects were infants who had undergone gastrointestinal surgery at Soetomo General Hospital, Surabaya. Parents or legal guardian were recruited to the study through referrals from physicians who then contacted the research team. The number of subjects was determined based on the formula for calculating number of samples in non-comparative numerical analytical study, with type 1 error of 5% and type 2 error of 10%, and the minimum number samples for each group were 5 subjects¹⁸. The effect size that was used in this formula was 20 pg/ml, with standard deviation of 10.6 pg/ml. This effect size and standard deviation were used to measure our primary outcome (Interleukin-1 β). Inclusion criteria for this study included subjects whose parents were willing for them to participate in this study, had undergone gastrointestinal surgery, and had received parenteral nutrition for at least 3 days. Exclusion criteria included subjects who had chronic diseases and subjects who were allergic to fish, egg, soy and/or nut proteins. Adverse effects were minimal or rare. However, if any harm was seen in the subjects, they would be recorded and reported at the end of the trial. Vital signs and allergic reaction signs were evaluated every 12 hours for all subjects.

Ethical considerations

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Dr. Soetomo General Hospital (No. 1922/KEPK/11/2020, March 27th 2020). Written informed consent obtained from the subjects' parents or legal guardian.

Participant allocation and blinding

Subjects were randomly assigned to one of two IVLE groups following simple randomization procedures (computerized random numbers, <https://www.random.org>). Determination of whether a subject would get MCT/LCT standard IVLE or MCT/LCT/OO/FO was made by reference to a statistical series based on random sampling numbers drawn up by the primary investigator. Except the primary investigator and the pharmacist in charge, all subjects and staff were kept blind to IVLE assignment of the subjects. Eight-folded numbered papers were placed into opaque sealed envelopes to be chosen by the subjects' parents or legal guardian. Investigators and pharmacy staff opened the envelope and used the lipid emulsion assigned to that patient. The trial is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT04511299, registered on August 13th 2020. The protocol of this study can be seen at <https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bknmkvc6>. The flow of this research is shown on Figure 1.

Figure 1. Study flowchart.

Interventions and measurement

The type of MCT/LCT IVLE used in this study was Lipofundin 20% which contained 50% coconut oil as the source of MCT and 50% soy oil as the source of LCT. Lipofundin 20% was given intravenously for three consecutive days after gastrointestinal surgery at 1–4 gram/kilogram/day dosing⁸. The type of MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE used in this study was Smoflipid 20%, which contained 30% soy oil as the source of LCT, 30% coconut oil as the source of MCT, 25% olive oil, and 15% fish oil. SMOflipid® was given for three consecutive days in 1–4 gram/kilogram/day dosing⁸. The lipids used in this study were obtained from the manufacturers: Bbraun Indonesia (Lipofundin 20% I and Fresenius Kabi Indonesia (Smoflipid 20%). The comparison of the standard fat emulsion and ω-3-enriched fat emulsion is shown on Table 1. Both groups received isonitrogenous and isocaloric parenteral nutrition.

Before surgery, blood samples of subjects were drawn (3–4 cm³) in order to measure their IL-1β, IL-8 levels, fatty acid composition, also hemoglobin, leukocyte, CRP and albumin levels. After surgery, subjects were assigned to either MCT/LCT IVLE or MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE for three consecutive days. On 3rd day (72 hours) after surgery, blood samples of subjects were drawn again in order to measure the same outcomes post-treatment. The blood samples were harvested after stopping infusion for 6 hours. Once the samples arrive to the laboratory, samples are allowed to clot for 30 minutes at room temperature before centrifugation for 15 minutes at 1000 x g. L-1β and IL-8 levels in the serum were examined using the Quantikine HS ELISA by R&D Systems (Catalog Number HSLB00D and HS800) at wavelengths of 540 nm and 650 nm, respectively. Fatty acid-composition was analyzed using gas chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS). This examination was measuring the levels of free fatty acid in human serum quantitatively, including the arachidonic acid (AA)/EPA ratio, EPA, DHA, and AA. This method consists of two techniques, namely gas chromatography, which is a separation technique based on the degree of polarity and vapor point and mass spectrophotometry, which is a quadrupole scanning spectrometer that can measure masses of 7-250 atomic mass units. Reagents used in this study were FAME

Standard Mix (Supelco), GLC Nonadecanoic ISTD (Supelco), N-Hexane MS grade (Merck), Chloroform (Merck), Methanol Hyper Grade (Merck), Capillary Column and Helium Gas for GCMS. For this examination, once the samples arrive to the laboratory, samples are allowed to clot for 30 minutes at room temperature before centrifugation for 15 minutes at 1000 x g. After that, we made diluent by put 290 microliter of chloroform and 10 uL ISTD with concentration of 2.5 mg/ml to a serum cup. Add 100 microliter sample and 150 microliter methanol and let it homogenized with vortex for about 2 minutes. We added 300 microliter diluent sample and homogenized it for 2 minutes before centrifugation for 5 minutes at 2500 x g. After centrifugation, there were 3 layers. We took the bottom layer

Table 1. Comparison of intravenous lipid emulsion content.

Oil source	Lipofundin 20% MCT/LCT	SMOF lipid 20%
Soybean oil, refined	10	6.0
Triglycerides, medium-chain	10	6.0
Olive oil, refined	0	5.0
Fish oil	0	3.0
Fat composition (g)		
Linoleic acid	2.7	2.9
Alpha-linolenic acid	0.4	0.3
Eicosapentaenoic acid	0	0.3
Docosahexaenoic acid	0	0.05
Oleic acid	1.1	2.8
Palmitic acid	0.7	0.9
Stearic acid	0.2	0.3
Arachidonic acid	0.02	0.05

(chloroform containing analytes), dry the supernatant with nitrogen gradually, first 10 minutes at 5 Psi, and the next 30 minutes at 10 Psi. We deconstructed it with 190 microliter n-Hexan and added 10 microliter of derivatization substances. After the serum cup were parafilmed and incubated for 2 hours, there were 2 layers. We took 100 microliter of top layer, added 400 microliter of n-Hexan to the GC vial amber, and injected it to the GCMS system.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses performed in this study were Mann-Whitney U-test, Fishers' Exact test, independent sample t-test and chi-square test using SPSS for Mac 23.0. The analyses of the IL-1 β , IL-8 levels, fatty acid composition, also hemoglobin, leucocyte, CRP and albumin levels were done by Mann-Whitney U-test or independent sample T-test as appropriate to test the significance between the two groups. A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analysis of subjects' characteristic were done by Mann-Whitney U-test, independent sample T-test, Fisher's exact test or chi-square test as appropriate to test the significance between the two groups. All parameters examined were compared for

differences before surgery, 3 days after surgery and differences within those 3 days (delta).

Results

Subject characteristics

This study enrolled 12 subjects at Soetomo General Hospital Surabaya who had undergone gastrointestinal surgery and met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The recruitment flow of the subjects is shown on [Figure 2](#). The subjects were classified into two groups: group 1 received intravenous MCT/LCT lipid emulsion, and group 2 received intravenous MCT/LCT/OO/FO lipid emulsion. Subject characteristics are shown in [Table 2](#).

No statistical difference was found in age, gender, birth weight, and diagnosis between both groups. De-identified subject characteristics, alongside all parameters measured in this study, are available as *Underlying data*¹⁹.

Primary outcomes

Mean IL-1 β levels among subjects are depicted in [Table 3](#); no difference was found in IL-1 β levels between both groups before surgery ($p = 0.873$) and on day three after surgery ($p = 0.873$).

Figure 2. Study flow diagram.

Table 2. Subject characteristics.

Characteristic	MCT/LCT	MCT/LCT/OO/FO	p-value
Age (days, median±IQR)	6.50±10.7	5.00±12.7	0.872 [*]
Gender			0.545 ^{**}
Male	3 (25%)	5 (41.6%)	
Female	3 (25%)	1 (8.4%)	
Birth weight (g, mean±SD)	2708.33±697.4	2681.67±497.2	0.941 ^{***}
Diagnosis			0.506 ^{****}
Anorectal malformation	2 (16.6%)	2 (16.6%)	
Pancreas annulare	1 (8.4%)	3 (25%)	
Oesophageal atresia	2 (16.6%)	1 (8.4%)	
Atresia duodenum	1 (8.4%)	0	

^{*}Mann-Whitney test ^{**}Fishers' Exact test ^{***}Independent Sample Test

^{****}Chi-square test

Table 3. Cytokine levels in subjects.

Cytokine	MCT/LCT n=6			MCT/LCT/OO/FO n=6			P
	Before surgery	Day-3 post surgery	Changes within 3 days	Before surgery	Day-3 post surgery	Changes within 3 days	
L-1β (pg/ml, mean±SD)	3.65±5.3	3.84±4.6	0.19±5.6	1.93±1.6	2.42±1.5	0.49±1.9	0.873 ^{*a} 0.873 ^{*b} 0.906 ^{**c}
IL-8 (pg/ml, mean±SD)	157.50±103.1	151.31±113.5	-6.20±171.5	130.01±109.4	144.46±110.5	14.4±166.5	0.688 ^{*a} 0.496 ^{**b} 0.837 ^{**c}

^{*}Independent sample t-test. ^{**}Mann-Whitney U-test. ^a Difference between groups before surgery. ^b Difference between groups 3 days post-surgery. ^c Difference between groups on changes within 3 days.

We also did not find any difference in changes in IL-1β levels within 3 days (p = 0.906) in both groups.

Furthermore, there was no significant difference in mean IL-8 levels between both groups before surgery (p = 0.688) and on day three after surgery (p = 0.494), and no difference in IL-8 levels changes within 3 days (p = 0.837) in both groups.

The analysis of fatty acid composition is shown on Table 4. No significant differences were observed in ω6/ω3 ratio, AA/EPA ratio, and EPA, DHA, and AA levels between both groups. Nevertheless, ω-6 level was significantly lower in MCT/LCT/FO/OO IVLE group on third day after surgery group (p=0,048) compared to the standard IVLE.

Secondary outcomes

The laboratory parameters are shown in Table 5. According to preoperative laboratory assessment, no statistical difference

was found in hemoglobin, leukocyte, CRP and albumin levels between both groups. There was a statistically significant difference in leukocytes between both groups 3 days after surgery (p=0.025). CRP level was significantly lower in MCT/LCT/OO/FO group 3 days after surgery (p=0.01) and in changes within 3 days (p=0.016) compared to the standard MCT/LCT IVLE.

Adverse effects

There were no adverse effects reported from all subjects in the study.

Discussion

A decrease in mean leukocyte levels in the ω-3-enriched IVLE group was observed on third day after surgery. This result is in accordance with some previous studies. Wei *et al.* observed significant declines in leukocyte and CRP levels on patients who received ω-3-enriched IVLE for 6 days after undergoing gastric tumor resection²⁰. Wang *et al.* also observed a

Table 4. Serum free fatty acid between standard and omega-3 enriched intravenous lipid emulsion.

Fatty acid (%total fatty acids, umol/L)	MCT/LCT N=6			ω-3 IVLE N=6			p-value
	Before surgery (Mean±SD)	Day-3 post surgery (Mean±SD)	Changes within 3 days (Mean±SD)	Before surgery (Mean±SD)	Day 3 post surgery (Mean±SD)	Changes within 3 days (Mean±SD)	
Ratio ω6/ω3	4.91±1.1	7.21±1.2	2.30±1.2	6.1±1.8	6.68±2.4	0.73±2.4	0.206* 0.172** 0.177*
AA/EPA	16.50±14.5	10.50±6.2	-6.00±15.1	83.83±100.3	15.67±16.9	-68.17±87.7	0.229** 0.936** 0.145*
ω 3	6.83±1.7	5.83±0.8	-1.00±1.4	5.51±1.7	5.83±1.5	0.33±1.0	0.214* 0.739** 0.092*
ALA	0.59±0.5	1.14±0.3	0.54±0.3	0.39±0.45	18.35±43.43	0.29±0.6	0.479* 0.262** 0.415*
EPA	0.99±0.6	3.42±1.4	-0.06±0.8	0.59±0.7	3.51±1.2	0.49±0.7	0.150** 0.914* 0.212*
DHA	5.35±1.6	3.86±0.6	-1.49±1.2	4.58±1.5	3.91±0.8	-0.67±1.3	0.412* 0.907* 0.270*
LA	20.29±10.3	32.77±2.8	12.47±7.9	19.45±8.8	26.65±6.2	7.20±10.6	0.882* 0.053* 0.352*
GLA	0.14±0.1	0.31±0.1	1.64±0.3	0.18±0.1	0.21±0.2	1.18±0.6	0.202* 0.255* 0.144*
DGLA	1.62±0.9	1.64±0.3	-0.23±0.6	1.71±0.7	1.18±0.6	-0.09±1.2	0.857* 0.132* 0.144*
AA	9.56±2.8	7.55±1.7	-1.68±1.9	10.71±4.3	7.69±2.5	-3.01±5.3	0.596* 0.907* 0.583*
ω-6	33.14±6.4	42.61±3.2	8.48±6.3	32.08±5.6	36.04±6.4	3.96±7.4	0.765* 0.048* 0.282*
OA	18.33±3.2	15.15±1.5	-3.18±2.9	17.71±5.2	17.38±3.9	-0.34±5.1	0.810* 0.242* 0.259*
MA	0.67±0.16	0.62±0.1	-0.06±0.1	0.79±0.3	0.74±0.3	-0.06±0.4	0.432* 0.450* 0.979*
PA	28.67±5.1	25.02±1.4	-3.65±4.6	30.75±5.4	28.30±3.8	-2.45±6.1	0.511* 0.075* 0.708*

Fatty acid (%total fatty acids, umol/L)	MCT/LCT N=6			ω-3 IVLE N=6			p-value
	Before surgery (Mean±SD)	Day-3 post surgery (Mean±SD)	Changes within 3 days (Mean±SD)	Before surgery (Mean±SD)	Day 3 post surgery (Mean±SD)	Changes within 3 days (Mean±SD)	
SA	8.05±1.1	8.42±1.0	0.37±0.9	8.61±2.2	138.14±316.9	0.01±3.5	0.586* 0.631** 0.809*
Saturated	37.42±5.4	34.07±1.0	-3.36±4.7	40.16±6.6	37.66±3.5	-2.50±7.6	0.453* 0.053* 0.819*
MUFA	22.46±3.9	17.67±1.6	-4.79±2.95	22.18±5.3	20.56±5.4	-1.62±6.3	0.919* 0.255* 0.378**
PUFA	40.11±7.4	48.23±2.3	8.12±5.98	37.65±7.1	41.76±6.9	4.11±7.9	0.571* 0.071* 0.345*
Total	6509.50±1361.6	6309.34±1383.07	-200.17±1987.9	5175.34±3613.2	6414.17±1838.2	1238.84±3925.2	0.417* 0.913* 0.448*

*Independent Sample Test **Mann-Whitney Test AA: arachidonic acid, EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid, ALA: alpha-linolenic acid, DHA: docosapentaenoic Acid, LA: linoleic acid, GLA: gamma-linolenic acid, DGLA: dihomo-gamma-linolenic-acid, OA: oleic acid, MA: myristic acid, PA: palmitic acid, SA: stearic acid, MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids.

Table 5. Laboratory parameters in subjects.

Variable	MCT/LCT n=6			MCT/LCT/OO/FO n=6			P-value
	Before surgery	Day 3 Post surgery	Changes within 3 days	Before surgery	Day 3 Post surgery	Changes within 3 days	
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	15.65±2.2	12.78±1.8	-2.90±2.3	15.31±2.8	13.02±3.2	-2.30±1.6	0.826* 0.879* ^b 0.612* ^c
Leukocytes (/mm ³)	17523.33±8362.7	13521.67±5121.5	-4001.67±8487.1	12788.67±5144.5	7653.33±1937.1	-511.34±6006.6	0.263* ^a 0.025 * ^b 0.799* ^c
CRP (mg/dL)	0.28±0.3	4.23±7.1	3.95±7.2	2.61±2.5	0.38±0.4	-2.23±2.65	0.097* ^a 0.010 * ^b 0.016 * ^c
Albumin (g/dL)	3.20±0.4	3.12±0.5	-0.08±0.7	3.18±0.3	3.30±0.4	0.12±0.2	0.629* ^a 0.493* ^b 0.495* ^c

*Independent Sample Test **Mann-Whitney Test ^aDifferences between the MCT/LCT group and MCT/LCT/OO/FO before surgery ^b Differences between the MCT/LCT group and MCT/LCT/OO/FO 3 days post surgery ^c Differences between the MCT/LCT group and MCT/LCT/OO/FO in changes within 3 days

significant decline in mean CRP level in subjects who received ω-3-enriched IVLE for 5 days after undergoing surgical interventions for acute pancreatitis²¹. A systematic review stated

that fish oil-enriched IVLE is associated with a reduction in CRP level in patients with malignancy after undergoing gastrointestinal surgery²².

The content of EPA and DHA in ω -3 lipids may inhibit inflammatory pathways in several ways, such as inhibiting chemotaxis of leukocytes, expression of adhesion molecules, and adhesive endothelial-leukocyte interaction¹⁶.

CRP is an acute-phase protein synthesized by IL-6 induction from hepatocytes. The CRP level spikes in acute traumatic condition, e.g. after undergoing surgical intervention. CRP levels reflect rapid changes which occur in inflammatory conditions. A study showed that in the majority of patients, CRP levels rise for 3-12 hours after surgery, peaking at 24-72 hours, and return to baseline in 2 weeks after surgery²².

This study did not find any significant difference in IL-1 β and IL-8 levels between the two groups. This result is in accordance with some previous studies, including Ma *et al.* who did not find any difference in mean preoperative and postoperative (day-6) IL-1 β levels between subjects who received intravenous MCT/LCT lipid emulsion and subjects who received intravenous MCT/LCT/OO/FO lipid emulsion for five consecutive days. Nevertheless, several studies yielded contrasting results²³.

A study by Wei *et al.* on 48 subjects who had undergone gastrointestinal tumor resection also found a significant reduction in mean IL-1 β level on group receiving intravenous LCT/FO lipid emulsion compared to group receiving intravenous LCT lipid emulsion²⁰. In addition, Han *et al.*, on 38 postoperative subjects in surgical intensive care unit, found a significant reduction in mean IL-1 and IL-8 levels on subjects who received MCT/LCT/FO lipid emulsion for 7 days, compared to subjects who received MCT/LCT lipid emulsion after undergoing surgical interventions²⁴.

A proposed explanation to why our result is inconsistent with most of the previous studies is that in our study, intravenous lipid emulsion was only given for 3 days, while in other studies, which yielded significant reduction in mean proinflammatory cytokine levels, intravenous lipid emulsion was given for at least 6 days. In this study, levels of IL-1 β and IL-8 were examined preoperatively and 72 hours after surgery. According to Lin and Lowry, systemic inflammation, which occurs after surgery, may trigger proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines. Among all types of cytokines, TNF- α is the earliest to emerge, followed by IL-6 as the cytokine with the highest level amongst all. TNF- α and IL-6 levels peak in 1-2 hours after surgery²⁵. Our previous study showed a significant difference in mean IL-6 levels between subjects receiving MCT/LCT and subjects receiving MCT/LCT/OO/FO¹⁷. Lin and Lowry stated that the half-life of IL-1 β in systemic circulation is less than 10 minutes, making it more difficult to detect during stressful periods than TNF- α . Proinflammatory cytokine mediators, such as IL-8, are released as part of the inflammatory cascade initiated by IL-1²⁵.

This study also observed that ω -6 levels in the ω -3-enriched IVLE group was lower than the standard MCT/LCT IVLE group, on third day after surgery. This result is in accordance with several previous studies. Skourouliakou *et al.* found a significantly lower mean ω -6 level in plasma fatty acid in preterm neonates who received ω -3 enriched IVLE for 15 and 30 days

compared to soybean oil on the third day after abdominal surgery²⁶. Grim *et al.* showed a significant decline of ω -6 levels in plasma phospholipids in 33 adult patients after major abdominal surgery who received ω -3-enriched fat emulsion for 6 days²⁷. The composition of fatty acids in cell membrane phospholipids has a significant role on cellular responses and cell function. Membrane order and lipid raft assembly are affected by the fatty acid makeup of membrane phospholipids. The fatty acid composition of the second messengers that are obtained from membrane phospholipid influences their biological activity and potency. Fatty acids that released from membrane phospholipids upon cellular activation are forming some lipid mediators²⁸. Nevertheless, our results on profiling of free fatty acid in serum, such as ω 6/ ω 3 ratio, EPA level, and DHA level, contradict previous studies which found a significant decline in ω -3-enriched fat emulsion group^{27,29-31}. This discrepancy might be due to dissimilarity of subjects' characteristics, and the duration of parenteral nutrition administration. In those studies, the standard IVLE used were 100% LCT/soybean oil-based lipid emulsion, not MCT/LCT IVLE like in our study.

To our knowledge, this is the first study in Indonesia to compare the effect of MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE with MCT/LCT IVLE on proinflammatory IL-1 β and IL-8 levels and fatty acid composition in infants who had underwent gastrointestinal surgery. Further studies are needed to determine the difference in pathogenesis between adults and infants after undergoing gastrointestinal surgery, which may be associated with the difference in effects of MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE on their profiling of fatty acid compositions.

There were no adverse event or serious adverse event reported in this study. Limitation of this study include that it is a single-centre study with a small sample size. Our study did not have a long period of follow-up and is not a double-blind study.

Conclusion

In infants who underwent gastrointestinal surgery, MCT/LCT/OO/FO IVLE can significantly lower leukocyte, CRP and ω -6 levels, and is comparable with standard IVLE on IL-1 β & IL-8 levels.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Data Set Comparison of Two Lipid Emulsions on Interleukin-1 β , Interleukin-8 and Fatty Acid Composition in Infants Post Gastrointestinal Surgery: A Randomized Trial. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12906320.v2>¹⁹.

This project contains the underlying data for the study in SAV and CSV formats.

Reporting guidelines

Figshare: CONSORT checklist for 'Comparison of two lipid emulsions on interleukin-1 β , interleukin-8 and fatty acid composition in infants post gastrointestinal surgery: a randomized trial'. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12906395.v1>³².

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

References

1. Paruk F, Chausse JM: **Monitoring the post surgery inflammatory host response.** *J Emerg Crit Care Med.* 2019; **3**: 47.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Hsing C, Wang J: **Clinical implication of perioperative inflammatory cytokine alteration.** *Acta Anaesthesiol Taiwan.* 2015; **53**(1): 23–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. de Mooij CEM, Netea MG, Van Der Velden WJF, *et al.*: **Targeting the interleukin-1 pathway in patients with hematological disorders.** *Blood.* 2017; **129**(24): 3155–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Qazi BS, Tang K, Qazi A: **Recent advances in underlying pathologies provide insight into interleukin-8 expression-mediated inflammation and angiogenesis.** *Int J Inflamm.* 2011; **2011**: 908468.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Dobrev I, Waerber G, James RW, *et al.*: **Interleukin-8 secretion by fibroblasts induced by low density lipoproteins is p38 MAPK-dependent and leads to cell spreading and wound closure.** *J Biol Chem.* 2006; **281**(1): 199–205.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Jiang W, Chen G, Zhang J, *et al.*: **The effects of two mixed intravenous lipid emulsions on clinical outcomes in infants after gastrointestinal surgery: a prospective, randomized study.** *Pediatr Surg Int.* 2019; **35**(3): 347–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Burrin DG, Stool NB, De PIPAONE MS: **Impact of new-generation lipid emulsions on cellular mechanisms of Parenteral Nutrition - Associated Liver Disease.** *Adv Nutr.* 2014; **5**(1): 82–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
8. Koletzko B, Goulet O, Hunt J, *et al.*: **Guidelines on paediatric parenteral nutrition of the European Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) and the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN), supported by the European Society of Paediatric Research (ESPR).** *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2005; **41** Suppl 2: S1–87.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Sungurtekin H, Degirmenci S, Sungurtekin U, *et al.*: **Comparison of the effects of different intravenous fat emulsions in patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome and sepsis.** *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2011; **26**(6): 665–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Wanten GJ, Calder PC: **Immune modulation by parenteral lipid emulsions.** *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2007; **85**(5): 1171–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Waitzberg DL, Torrinhas RS: **Fish oil lipid emulsions and immune response: what clinicians need to know.** *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2009; **24**(4): 487–99.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Sala-Vila A, Barbosa VM, Calder PC: **Olive oil in parenteral nutrition.** *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metabol Care.* 2007; **10**(2): 165–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Pradelli L, Mayer K, Muscaritoli M, *et al.*: **n-3 fatty acid-enriched parenteral nutrition regimens in elective surgical and ICU patients: A meta-analysis.** *Crit Care.* 2012; **16**(5): R184.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
14. Wang J, Yu J, Kang W, *et al.*: **Superiority of a fish oil-enriched emulsion to medium-chain triacylglycerols/long-chain triacylglycerols in gastrointestinal surgery patients: A randomized clinical trial.** *Nutrition.* 2012; **28**(6): 623–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Ming-wei Z, Da-nian T, Jiang H, *et al.*: **Impact of fish oil enriched total parenteral nutrition on elderly patients after colorectal cancer surgery.** *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2012; **125**(2): 178–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Calder PC: **Marine omega-3 fatty acids and inflammatory processes: Effects, mechanisms and clinical relevance.** *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2015; **1851**(4): 469–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Hanindita MH, Widjaja NA, Irawan R, *et al.*: **Influence of intravenous fish oil-enriched lipid emulsion on the inflammatory response in children post gastrointestinal surgery.** *Pak J Nutr.* 2019; **18**(11): 1036–41.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Dahlan MS: **Menggunakan rumus besar sampel secara benar.** In: Dahlan MS (ed.), *Besar sampel dan cara pengambilan sampel dalam penelitian kedokteran dan kesehatan*, 2nd edn, Salemba Medica, Jakarta, 2005.
19. Hanindita MH, Irawan R, Ugrasena IDG, *et al.*: **Data Set Comparison of Two Lipid Emulsions on Interleukin-1 β , Interleukin-8 and Fatty Acid Composition in Infants Post Gastrointestinal Surgery: A Randomized Trial.** *figshare.* Dataset. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12906320.v2>
20. Wei Z, Wang W, Chen J, *et al.*: **A prospective randomized controlled study of n-3 fish oil fat emulsion-based parenteral nutrition for patients following surgical resection of gastric tumors.** *Nutr J.* 2014; **13**: 25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
21. Wang X, Li W, Li N, *et al.*: **Omega-3 fatty acids-supplemented parenteral nutrition decreases hyperinflammatory response and attenuates systemic disease sequelae in severe acute pancreatitis: a randomized and controlled study.** *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2008; **32**(3): 236–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
22. Zhao Y, Wang C: **Effect of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid-supplemented parenteral nutrition on inflammatory and immune function in postoperative patients with gastrointestinal malignancy: a meta-analysis of randomized control trials in China.** *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2018; **97**(16): e0472.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
23. Ma C, Sun L, Chen F, *et al.*: **A double-blind randomized study comparing the efficacy and safety of a composite vs a conventional intravenous fat emulsion in postsurgical gastrointestinal tumor patients.** *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2012; **27**(3): 410–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
24. Han YY, Lai SL, Ko WJ, *et al.*: **Effects of fish oil on inflammatory modulation in surgical intensive care unit patients.** *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2012; **27**(1): 91–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
25. Lin E, Lowry SF: **The human response to endotoxin.** *Sepsis.* 1998; **2**: 252–62.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
26. Skourliakou M, Konstantinou D, Agakidis C, *et al.*: **Parenteral MCT/n-3 Polyunsaturated fatty acid-enriched intravenous fat emulsion is associated with cytokine and fatty acid profiles consistent with attenuated inflammatory response in preterm neonates: A randomized, double-blind clinical trial.** *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2016; **31**(2): 235–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
27. Grimm H, Mertes N, Goeters C, *et al.*: **Improved fatty acid and leukotriene pattern with a novel lipid emulsion in surgical patients.** *Eur J Nutr.* 2006; **45**(1): 55–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Innes JK, Calder PC: **Omega-6 fatty acids and inflammation.** *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids.* 2018; **132**: 41–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Goulet O, Antebi H, Wolf C, *et al.*: **A new intravenous fat emulsion containing soybean oil, medium-chain triglycerides, olive oil and fish oil: A single-center, double-blind randomized study on efficacy and safety in pediatric patients receiving home parenteral nutrition.** *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2010; **34**(5): 485–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Wichmann MW, Thui P, Czametzki HD, *et al.*: **Evaluation of clinical safety and beneficial effects of a fish oil containing lipid emulsion (Lipoplus, MLF541): data from a prospective, randomized, multicenter trial.** *Crit Care Med.* 2007; **35**(3): 700–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
31. Morlion BJ, Torwesten E, Lessire H, *et al.*: **The effect of parenteral fish oil on leukocyte membrane fatty acid composition and leukotriene-synthesizing capacity in patients with postoperative trauma.** *Metabolism.* 1996; **45**(10): 1208–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. Hanindita MH, Irawan R, Ugrasena IDG, *et al.*: **Consort Checklist: Comparison of Two Lipid Emulsions on Interleukin-1 β , Interleukin-8 and Fatty Acid Composition in Infants Post Gastrointestinal Surgery: A Randomized Trial.** *figshare.* Preprint. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12906395.v1>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 30 November 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.30813.r75205>

© 2020 Sidiartha I. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

I Gusti Lanang Sidiartha

Child Health Department, Faculty of Medicine, Sanglah General Hospital, Udayana University, Denpasar, Indonesia

PICO of this manuscript:

- P: infants who underwent gastrointestinal surgery.
- I: mix intravenous lipid emulsion, MCT/LCT/OO/FO.
- C: intravenous lipid emulsion, standard.
- O: IL-1b, IL-8, fatty acids.

Comments:

1. The assignment of patients to treatments was randomized.
2. The groups were similar at the start of the trial according to table 2.
3. Aside from the allocated treatment, the groups were treated equally.
4. All patients who entered the trial accounted for and randomized were analyzed at the end of the trial.
5. This trial used a single-blind where the participants did not know which treatment they received.
6. The treatment effect on IL-1b and IL-8 were changed by 0.19 and 6.20, respectively, while in the control group changes by 0.49 and 14.4, respectively. The results were not statistically significant with a p-value > 0.05.
7. The results will not help our patients.

So, this trial is valid but not important and applicable. It is needed to further study.

My comment on this study is still that it is approved for indexing.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Pediatric Nutrition and Metabolic Disease

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 23 November 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.30813.r75125>

© 2020 Stehle P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peter Stehle

Department of Nutrition and Food Sciences, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

In general, the authors adequately answered my questions and modified the manuscript accordingly. Still not optimal is the definition of primary outcomes: following the strategy in power calculation, only interleukin 1 β can be "primary", all other parameters "secondary".

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Clinical nutrition; nutritional physiology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 23 November 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.30813.r75124>

© 2020 Calder P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Philip C. Calder

School of Human Development and Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

This is the revised version of this manuscript. The authors have taken the previous comments seriously and have made significant revisions. These certainly improve the manuscript. However, some minor points for correction remain (see below). In addition there are serious issues with a) the power calculation and b) the fatty acid data.

1. Throughout the manuscript w-6 and w-3 should be followed by "fatty acids".
2. Abstract. Background refers to "plasma fatty acids" but these were determined in serum.
3. Abstract conclusion. "infants underwent" should read "infants who had undergone".
4. The power calculation is seriously flawed. We are told that an effect on IL-1b was used to

power the study. An effect size of 20 pg/ml was used; there is no indication of what that effect size or the SD is based on. Effect size is the estimated size of the effect that will occur in the treatment group compared to the control (in other words a decrease of 20 pg/ml). However IL-1b at study entry was 3.65 pg/ml. Thus it is impossible to have an effect size of 20 pg/ml in this cohort.

5. Patient allocation. We are told that 8 numbered papers were put in envelopes and removed at random. However there are 12 participants. It is not clear how 8 numbers can allocate 12 participants.
6. The authors provide more detail on the fatty acid analysis which is welcome (but see later points). They use the word "deconstructed" to describe one of their steps. This word is absolutely important in order to understand what they have done. Do they actually mean the lipid has been "deconstructed" i.e. somehow broken up? Or do they simply mean it was "redissolved" in solvent.
7. The fatty acid standard is added in concentration units of mg/ml. However the fatty acid data are given in units of $\mu\text{mol/l}$. How was this transformation done?
8. CRP is 10 x higher in one group than the other at study entry. Why?
9. Fatty acid data. ALA increased from 0.39 to 18.35 but the change between these values is 0.29. How can that be?
10. "Free" fatty acid data. I still have an issue understanding these data. Total "Free" fatty acids is shown at about 6500 $\mu\text{mol/l}$. This is ten times higher than values quoted for "non-esterified" (i.e. "free") fatty acids elsewhere and does not seem credible. I wonder whether the authors are actually reporting "total" fatty acids (i.e. the sum of fatty acids in triglycerides, cholesteryl esters, phospholipids and free). This is a vital point that needs clarifying as without being clear about this it is impossible to understand these data. This also relates back to my question about the word "deconstructed".

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: The reviewer has acted as an advisor to BBraun and Fresenius Kabi and has received speaking honoraria from both.

Reviewer Expertise: Fatty acids; Human nutrition; Inflammation and immunity; Artificial nutrition support; Clinical trials

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to state that I do not consider it to be of an acceptable scientific standard, for reasons outlined above.

Author Response 11 Dec 2020

Meta Herdiana Hanindita, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

Thank you again for your willingness to re-review this article. There are some changes made based on your suggestions. These are the responses to your previous comments.

1. The words "fatty acids" were already added throughout the manuscript.
2. It's already changed to "serum fatty acids".
3. It's already revised to "infants who had undergone".
4. We decided to use the effect size of 20 pg/ml based on this research: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2018.06.929>. There is no research on IL-1b level in infant underwent gastrointestinal surgery before. The closest sample to our research is this one. I hope that explains why we decided to use 20 pg/ml as our effect size.
5. It's already revised to twelve-numbered papers.
6. We simply mean it was re-dissolved (already changed).
7. The conversion from mg/ml to umol/l was done with molar mass/mass (molecular weight).
8. We believed that it could be caused by huge variation due to our small sample size. (It's our study's limitation).
9. Thank you for pointing this out. We rechecked our dataset and found an honest mistake. One data in ALA post-surgery was written as 107.0 which should be written as 1.07. We made a revised dataset and recounted the statistic analysis.
10. What is measured is the sum of fatty acids in triglycerides, cholesteryl esters, phospholipids, and free.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 06 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28994.r71909>

© 2020 Stehle P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peter Stehle

Department of Nutrition and Food Sciences, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

This manuscript summarizes results gained within a clinical nutrition trial in infants after gastrointestinal surgery. Infants parenterally received either a "traditional", omega 6-rich lipid emulsion composed of soybean oil and MCT, in the "verum" group a newly composed lipid emulsion produced with a blend of MCT, olive oil, fish oil and some soybean oil was administered. The study was generally well designed and performed; data presentation and interpretation is adequate. However, some information should be added and/or sharpened.

1. Power calculation: Which parameter was used to make calculations? One of the primary outcomes? And which (already published) data were considered (sensitivity of the method, expected changes in concentrations)? Very crucial: Is that power calculation also valid for fatty acid analyses?
2. General nutritional concept: Which other components (glucose, amino acids...) were administered? And in which amounts? Was the concept isonitrogenous and isoenergetic?
3. What was the reason to give lipids for (only) 3 consecutive days?
4. Analysis of fatty acids in blood samples: It is unclear what has been measured: only "free" fatty acids? Fatty acid composition of (total) lipids? When free fatty acids have been measured: how long after stopping infusion the blood sample was harvested? Please, comment and complete the text.
5. Table 4: The capture is misleading. Please, reword and include that these data are "blood" analyses (see 4.).
6. Discussion: Any comparison with previous analytical data with respect to fatty acid profiles should mention what was measured (free fatty acids, lipid composition etc.) and under which clinical conditions.

7. Discussion: As mentioned by the authors themselves, metabolites, e.g. cytokines, are endogenously synthesized from fatty acid precursors released from membranes. With this background: how should a 3 day-infusion influence these metabolite concentrations?

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Clinical nutrition; nutritional physiology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 14 Nov 2020

Meta Herdiana Hanindita, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

Thank you for your willingness to review this paper.

1. Details on the power calculation are already added in the paper. The parameter that was used for sample size calculation was Interleukin-1b, with effect size of 20 pg/ml, and SD of 10.6 pg/ml. The formula used data from our previous published research (reference no.17). No, the power calculation is only valid for interleukin-1b.

2. Yes, the concept was isonitrogenous and isocaloric. This statement is already added to the paper.

3. Our consideration for giving lipids for 3 consecutive days was because we wanted to know the inflammatory response in the initial/early inflammatory phase in the

postoperative wound healing period. The initial effect of inflammation in the postoperative wound healing period occurs within 0-3 days.

4. It should be written as serum free fatty acid (revised already). Details on this were already included in the paper. The blood samples were harvested after stopping infusion for 3 hours.

5. It is already changed.

6. It is already added.

7. This study is the first study in Indonesia to examine the effects of intravenous fat emulsions on metabolites (such as cytokines) in postoperative infants. To be honest, we are making this study the baseline for our future studies. 3 days was chosen because this is the initial inflammatory period in the wound healing period. Looking at the results of this study, we probably will make further studies using lipid emulsion in infants after surgery over a longer time such as 7 days, 14 days and more than 14 days.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 28 September 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28994.r71910>

© 2020 Calder P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Philip C. Calder

School of Human Development and Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

This manuscript describes findings from a clinical trial in neonates who underwent surgery and then were randomised to receive one of two lipid emulsions intravenously over the course of 3 days. One lipid emulsion was a mix of coconut and soybean oils (control) and the other was a mix of coconut, soybean, olive and fish oils (treatment). The main outcomes were two cytokines (IL-1b and IL-8) and serum fatty acids. In addition a number of secondary outcomes are reported including white cell count and CRP. This study is of interest. A major limitation is sample size: there were 6 infants per group. The cytokines were not different between groups, but white cells and CRP were lower and omega-6 fatty acids higher at 3 days in the treatment group. The article is well written, data are clearly presented and the discussion refers to relevant existing literature.

Comments:

1. I believe the abstract should report findings for serum omega-3 fatty acids.

2. For the sample size calculation what outcome(s) was used? This is not stated. Usually an effect size in a specific outcome is needed to calculate sample size.
3. Table 1. Lists docosapentaenoic acid but this should read eicosapentaenoic acid.
4. More detail is required about the fatty acid analysis. In the methods section you refer to "free fatty acids in serum" but in the Discussion you refer to "profiling phospholipid fatty acids". It is necessary to a) clarify what you have actually measured and b) provide details of how the serum was processed prior to gas chromatography.
5. Stat analysis section is written in future tense (will be) but should be in the past tense (were/was).
6. Stat analysis. The key comparison is between groups either at day 3 controlling for baseline or the change to day 3. It is not clear whether this comparison has been done.
7. Data display. What are the errors shown: SD or SEM?
8. Data that does not have normal distribution should not be shown as mean and SD/SEM but as median and IQR.
9. Table 4. What are the units for total fatty acids?
10. Table 4. Huge variation is apparent here bringing the small sample size into focus. For example in the treatment group ALA changes from 0.39 pre to 18.35 post but this almost 50-fold increase is not significant.
11. Table 4. Why does EPA increase in the MCT/LCT group?

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

No

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: The reviewer acts as an advisor to Fresenius Kabi, BBraun and Baxter Healthcare, producers of lipid emulsions for intravenous nutrition support.

Reviewer Expertise: Fatty acids; Human nutrition; Inflammation and immunity; Artificial nutrition support; Clinical trials

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to state that I do not consider it to be of an acceptable scientific standard, for reasons outlined above.

Author Response 14 Nov 2020

Meta Herdiana Hanindita, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

Thank you for your willingness to review this paper, it's a great honour for us.

1. Serum omega-3 fatty acid finding is already included in the abstract.
2. For the sample size calculation, the outcome used was Interleukin-1beta. Detail on this sample size calculation is already added in the paper. The effect size was 20 pg/ml, with SD 10.6 pg/ml.
3. Table 1 is already revised.
4. Detail on the fatty acid analysis is already added. It should be serum free fatty acid.
5. Stat analysis section is already revised.
6. All parameters examined were compared for differences before surgery, 3 days after surgery (controlling to baseline) and differences within those 3 days (delta). This statement is already added to the paper.
7. The errors shown in SD.
8. We changed the data that does not have normal distribution, is already shown in median and IQR.
9. The unit is umol/L (added).
10. Yes, unfortunately due to limitation of this study (small sample size). The incidence of these cases in infants in our hospital that needed parenteral nutrition was only 18-20 patients/year.
11. We really tried to find reasons for this finding but we could not find one. We need to have another research on this, to understand its mechanism better.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research