SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Nurse practitioners and physician assistants working in ambulance care: A systematic review [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]

Risco van Vliet ¹, Remco Ebben ², Nicolette Diets³, Thomas Pelgrim ², Jorik Loef¹, Lilian Vloet^{2,4}

¹Emergency Medical Service, RAV Brabant MWN, 's-Hertogenbosch, Brabant, 5212VM, The Netherlands

²Research Department of Emergency and Critical Care, HAN University of Applied Science, School of Health Studies, Nijmegen, Gelderland, 6525 EJ, The Netherlands

³Emergency medical service RAVU, Utrecht, Utrecht, 3723 BC, The Netherlands

⁴IQ healthcare, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, Gelderland, 6500 HB, The Netherlands

V1 First published: 29 Sep 2020, 9:1182
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.25891.1>
 Latest published: 29 Sep 2020, 9:1182
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.25891.1>

Abstract

Background: This review aims to describe the activities of nurse practitioners (NPs) and physician assistants (PAs) working in ambulance care, and the effect of these activities on patient outcomes, process of care, provider outcomes, and costs.

Methods: PubMed, MEDLINE (EBSCO), EMBASE (OVID), Web of Science, the Cochrane Library (Cochrane Database of Systematic Review), CINAHL Plus, and the reference lists of the included articles were systematically searched in November 2019. All types of peer-reviewed designs on the three topics were included. Pairs of independent reviewers performed the selection process, the quality assessment, and the data extraction.

Results: Four studies of moderate to poor quality were included. Activities in medical, communication and collaboration skills were found. The effects of these activities were found in process of care and resource use outcomes, focusing on non-conveyance rates, referral and consultation, on-scene time, or follow-up contact

Conclusions: This review shows that there is limited evidence on activities of NPs and PAs in ambulance care. Results show that NPs and PAs in ambulance care perform activities that can be categorized into the Canadian Medical Education Directives for Specialists (CanMED) roles of Medical Expert, Communicator, and Collaborator. The effects of NPs and PAs are minimally reported in relation to process of care and resource use, focusing on non-conveyance rates, referral and consultation, on-scene time, or follow-up contact. No evidence on patient outcomes of the substitution of NPs and PAs in ambulance care exists.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1			
29 Sep 2020	view	view	view
1. Elena Lopatina , University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada			
2. Lisette Schoonhoven , Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands University of Southampton, Southampton, UK			
3. Barbara Todd , Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA			

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

PROSPERO registration: [CRD42017067505](#) (07/07/2017)

Keywords

nurse-practitioners, physician-assistants, ambulance care, patient outcomes, implementation, emergency medical services

Corresponding author: Risco van Vliet (r.vliet@ravbrabantmwn.nl)

Author roles: **van Vliet R:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Ebben R:** Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Diets N:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Pelgrim T:** Data Curation, Resources; **Loef J:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Vloet L:** Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2020 van Vliet R *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: van Vliet R, Ebben R, Diets N *et al.* **Nurse practitioners and physician assistants working in ambulance care: A systematic review [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2020, 9:1182 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.25891.1>

First published: 29 Sep 2020, 9:1182 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.25891.1>

Background

Ambulance utilisation has increased in the Western world over the past 20 years, potentially compromising access, quality, safety, and patient outcomes^{1,2}. Population ageing, changes in social support and accessibility, increasing community health awareness, patients presenting themselves with higher complexity and comorbidities, repeated ambulance care requests, and ambulance care request for primary healthcare problems have been described as associated factors for this increase^{1,3–6}. The pressure to reduce costs and the potentially negative effects of this increase of ambulance utilisation have led to the redefinition of the roles of professionals in prehospital care^{1,2}. With the impending rise in demand for health services, an effective utilization of the workforce is paramount to ensure high-quality yet cost-effective health service delivery⁷. This can be done by optimising triage and ambulance allocation, but also by introducing other types of healthcare professionals in the ambulance domain. A possible solution to improve the balance between the increasing demand for care and the decreasing supply of medical healthcare workers is enhancing the role of allied healthcare workers, such as nurse practitioners (NPs) or physician assistants (PAs)⁸.

The first NPs and PAs in the Dutch healthcare system made their appearance in 2001 and 2004. NPs are situated in the nursing domain and perform broadening activities in the medical domain within selected groups of patients and simultaneously on deepening activities in the nursing domain. PAs focus on broadening and deepening activities in the medical domain, within their medical specialty.

Several reviews about the implementation of NPs and PAs have been performed^{9–12}. These reviews have revealed not only a higher quality of care but also an increase in patient satisfaction and that NPs and PAs have the potential to reduce doctors' workloads and direct health care costs. However, this research has been limited to long-term care facilities and primary health care; there currently is no evidence pertaining to what activities NPs or PAs in ambulance care perform and what the effects of these activities are.

Aims

Therefore, this review has two aims. First, to describe the activities of nurse practitioners and physician assistants working in ambulance care. Second to describe the effects of these activities on patient outcomes, process of care, provider outcomes, and costs.

Methods

Design

This study is a systematic literature review reported according to the steps of Cochrane Handbook¹³ and reported to conform with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement. For background and an extensive method section, see the study protocol¹⁴.

Search strategy

The Cochrane Database for Systematic Reviews and PROSPERO were inspected for similar reviews or protocols. No (pending) review was identified, so systematic searches were performed in PubMed, MEDLINE (EBSCO), EMBASE (OVID), Web of Science, the Cochrane Library (Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews), and CINAHL Plus in November 2019.

Search strategies were developed to represent terms for ambulance care and NPs or PAs. Full search strategies per database are provided as extended data¹⁵.

Study selection procedure

Searches were not restricted by year of publication. All types of peer-reviewed systematic reviews, and quantitative or qualitative designs in real clinical practice or simulation situations on NPs or PAs working in ambulance care for all kinds of patients were included. Conference abstracts, narrative reviews, editorials, personal communications, and unpublished studies were excluded.

Studies were included if they (a) described activities of professionals with a master's degree in ambulance care (NPs or PAs) and/or described the effects of the NP or PA on patient outcomes, process of care, provider outcomes and costs.

Due to the heterogeneity of the names that are used for the emergency medical service (EMS) professional worldwide¹⁶, we began with a broad search. Some terms covered a variety of different professionals; for example, the education level of the emergency care practitioner (ECP) can be that of a paramedic or a nurse. We explicitly searched for professionals with a master's degree and excluded studies where this was not present.

Two reviewers (RvV and ND) independently screened the title and abstract of each potentially relevant study. Differences between the reviewers were resolved through discussion. Couples of two independent reviewers (RvV, RE, ND, JL, and LV) screened the full texts of the remaining articles. In addition, two reviewers (RvV and RE) screened the reference lists of the included articles.

Quality assessment

To assess the quality of observational studies, we used a tool developed for evaluating primary research papers in a variety of fields¹⁷. Couples of independent researchers (RvV, RE, ND, JL, and LV) performed this assessment. Differences between two reviewers were resolved through discussion; in cases of doubt, a third reviewer from another couple made the final decision.

Data extraction, synthesis, and presentation

Couples of independent researchers (RvV, RE, ND, JL, and LV) extracted the data. Due to the heterogeneity of study designs,

settings, countries, care providers, interventions, and outcome measures, a meta-analysis was not possible; the results of this systematic review are therefore presented in tabular form.

Results

Review statistics

The initial search identified 1283 unique records; 68 articles were included for full-text screening (see [Figure 1](#)), from which we included four articles for data extraction. A list of excluded articles and the reasons for their exclusion ($n = 64$) is provided as extended data¹⁸. Common reasons for exclusion were a non-master educational level, the lack of peer review, and the wrong setting (not prehospital ambulance care).

Study characteristics (Table 1)

Designs of the included studies comprised of one cross sectional¹⁹, one retrospective observational²⁰, one action research²¹, and one retrospective descriptive review²² (Table 1). Two studies were performed in the UK, one in the Netherlands and one in the USA. All these studies extracted the data from

ambulance run records or patient records. The focus of three of these studies^{19,21,22} was primarily on ambulance care, where the retrospective observational study²⁰ had a broader perspective of home care, ambulance care, and emergency care. One study¹⁹ compared the PA with a registered nurse (RN), two compared the NP with other EMS professionals (e.g., paramedics)^{20,21} and one solely described the NP²² without comparison.

Quality assessment (extended data²³)

The cross sectional study¹⁹ is of moderate quality due to the representativeness of results, and small population. The other three studies²⁰⁻²² are of poor quality.

Activities by a NP or PA (Table 2). Two articles reported on activities NPs or PAs perform in ambulance care^{19,20}, these activities were related to medical skills, communication and collaboration. For medical skills, the usage of the SCEBS methodology and overall care, assessment, investigation, management, and quality of record registration were described^{19,20}. For communication, the provision of medical advice and for collaboration referral to the ED or GP were described¹⁹.

Figure 1. Study selection process.

Table 1. Characteristics of quantitative included studies (n=4).

1 st author (year) Country (ref)	Design	Aim	Setting	Data source	Patients (n)	Professionals (n)
Bloemhoff (2016) NL	Cross-sectional	To provide insight in the outcome of patient care between PA's and RN's, working as solo emergency care providers in EMS	2 EMSs	Ambulance run records (n=972)	Patients with urgency level A1 (arrival <15min) and A2 (arrival <30min) who were treated by Pa (n=493) or RN (n=498)	PA (n=2), RN (n=23)
O'Hara (2011) UK	retrospective observational	To compare the quality and safety of care provided by ECPs and non-ECPs (eg paramedic or NP)	3 Different types of emergency care setting: (1) static centers (ED, walk-in-center, minor injury unit) (2) ambulance/ care home services (Mobile) (3) primary care out of hours services	Patient records (n=480)	Static center (n=318) Ambulance (n=80) Primary care out of hours services (n= 80)	ECPs vs non-ECPs (eg paramedic, NP)
Walsh (2001) UK	Action Research	To provide insight in the proportion of patients that could be treated at the scene by an NP	1 EMS	Patients record (n=130)	Patients (n=130) attended by a NP	NP (n=1)
Sanko (2019) USA	retrospective, descriptive review	describes the initial 18-month experience implementing this new APRU	1 EMS	Patient records (n=812)	812 APRU-attended patients	NP (n=1)

Abbreviations: APRU Advanced Provider Response Unit, ECP Emergency care practitioner, ED Emergency department, EMS Emergency medical services, NP Nurse practitioner, PA Physician assistant, RN Registered nurse

Effects of activities (Table 3)

None of the included studies reported on patient outcomes, care provider outcomes, or costs; the studies did report on process of care and resource use.

All four studies^{19–22} reported on process of care outcomes. The outcomes used included the proportion of non-conveyance, the number of referrals in non-conveyance patients, the number of consultations, the length of on-scene treatment, the follow-up contact of non-conveyance patients, diagnostic measurements, adherence to guidelines and protocols and, number of performed interventions. One study reported on resource use.

Non-conveyance (n=3). Three studies reported on non-conveyance^{19,21,22} and showed non-conveyance rates ranging from 20% –50% for PAs. Non-conveyance rates for the NP were not described.

Referral and consultation (n=1). One study¹⁹ found that PAs refer 50% of their patients to another health care professional (e.g., a GP or an emergency department (ED)) while RNs

referred 73%. Furthermore, PAs consulted other health care professionals (e.g., a GP, an emergency physician, or a medical specialist) significantly more often compared to RNs.

On-scene time (n=2). One study found no significant difference between PAs and RNs regarding the length of on-scene treatment time¹⁹. Another study described²² an average length of treatment time on scene of 21.47min, but made no comparison with other EMS professionals.

Follow-up contacts (n=1). Follow-up contact after the completion of prehospital EMS care also indicated no significant differences between PAs and RNs¹⁹.

Resource use (n=1). One study²² found in 107 cases other EMS resources were released from the scene and put back in service while the NP attended the patient, (by default, two units respond to a call). 18 high utilizers of 911 were connected with a social work organization, and 12 of 18 (66.7%) decreased their use of EMS in the 90-days following.

Table 2. Activities by a NP or PA.

Data extraction outcomes				
Medical skills	Bloemhoff (2016) NL	Monitoring vital sign of patient by Pa (n=2) RN (n=23) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respiratory rate • Oxygen saturation • Systolic blood pressure • Diastolic blood pressure • Pulse rate • ECG/ heart rhythm • GCS/AVPU • Glucose • Body temperature • Pain intensity score SCEBS methodology used Systematic physical exams of organ tract systems <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suture • Administer medication not in national EMS standard 	PA (n=493) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 294 (60%) • 219 (44%) • 229 (47%) • 229 (7%) • 316 (64%) • 39 (8%) • 430 (87%) • 55 (11%) • 33 (7%) • 0 (0%) • 77 (16%) • 155 (31%) • 16 (3%) • 47 (10%) 	RN (n=498) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 343 (69%) • 246 (49%) • 269 (54%) • 255 (51%) • 325 (65%) • 144 (29%) • 437 (88%) • 95 (19%) • 12 (2%) • 72 (15%) • 0 (0%) • 0 (0%) • 0 (0%) • 0 (0%)
	O'Hara (2011) UK	Ambulance/ care home service (mobile) Overall care <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean (SD) • 95% CI (for mean) • Mean difference (95% CI) Assessment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean (SD) • 95% CI (for mean) • Mean difference (95% CI) Investigation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean (SD) • 95% CI (for mean) • Mean difference (95% CI) Management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean (SD) • 95% CI (for mean) • Mean difference (95% CI) Quality of records <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean (SD) • 95% CI (for mean) • Mean difference (95% CI) 	ECP (n=40) 3.7 (1.1) 3.4 to 4.1 -0.45 (-0.97 to 0.73) 3.8 (1.2) 3.4 to 4.2 -0.53 (-1.1 to 0.03) 4.1 (1.4) 3.6 to 4.5 -0.30 (-0.92 to 0.32) 3.8 (1.4) 3.4 to 4.3 -0.43 (-1.02 to 0.17) 4.0 (1.2) 3.6 to 4.4 -0.23 (-0.74 to 0.29)	Non-ECP (n=40) 4.2 (1.30) 3.8 to 4.6 4.3 (1.3) 3.9 to 4.7 4.4 (1.4) 3.9 to 4.8 4.3 (1.3) 3.8 to 4.7 4.2 (1.1) 3.8 to 4.6
Communication	Bloemhoff (2016) NL	Provide the patient of medical advice	PA (n=493) 235 (48%)	RN (n=498) 0 (0%)
Collaboration	Bloemhoff (2016) NL	Referral after EMS treatment on scene In case of referral: type of health care organisation referred to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Referral to GP • Referral to ED 	n=489 245 (50%) 44 (18%) 201 (82%)	n=482 351 (73%) 86 (25%) 265 (75%)

Abbreviations: ECG electrocardiograph, ED emergency department, EMS emergency medical services, GCS/AVPU Glasgow coma scale/ Alert Voice Pain Unresponsive, GP general practitioner, SCEBS Somatic complaints, Cognitions, Emotions, Behaviour and Social functioning of the patient, NP nurse practitioner, PA physician assistant

Discussion

This review aimed to describe which activities NPs or PAs deploy in ambulance care, and if there were effects on patient outcomes, process of care, provider outcomes, and costs.

The results indicate that little is known on the activities PAs and NPs deployed in ambulance care. This can be explained by the relatively young professions these professionals represent. The activities that were identified can be categorized

Table 3. Process of care and resource use outcomes.

Data extraction outcomes and effect				
Process of care outcomes	Bloemhoff (2016) NL	Interventions described in the national EMS standard	PA (n=493)	RN (n=498)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administer medication according to national EMS standard Placement intravenous drip Supply of oxygen Immobilisation 	84 (17%) 23 (5%) 14 (3%) 10 (2%)	87 (17%) 36 (7%) 5 (1%)
		Interventions not described in the national EMS standard		0 (0%)
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suture Administer medication not in national EMS standard Medical advice to patient 	16 (3%) 47 (10%) 235 (48%)	0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)
		Length of treatment time on scene median in minutes (IQR) ^b	n=489 25 (19)	n=489 26 (17)
		Referral after EMS treatment on scene	n=489 245 (50%)	n=482 351 (73%)
		In case of referral: type of health care organisation referred to		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Referral to GP Referral to ED 	44 (18%) 201 (82%)	86 (25%) 265 (75%)
		In case of consultation: type of health care professional consulted n %	n=164	n=84
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> General practitioner Emergency department Other (e.g. medical specialist) 	119 (73%) 18 (11%) 27 (16%)	73 (87%) 2 (2%) 9 (11%)
		Follow up contact after prehospital EMS care n (%)	n=493	n=493
		Within 72 h	25 (5%)	20 (4%)
		Within 24 h	16 (3%)	12 (2%)
		Follow up contact of non-referred patients (to ED/GP) after prehospital EMS care n (%)	n=244	n=129
		Within 72 h	9 (4%)	4 (3%)
		Within 24 h	7 (3%)	2 (2%)
	Bloemhoff (2016) NL	Provide the patient of medical advice	PA (n=493) 235 (48%)	RN (n=498) 0 (0%)
	Walsh (2001) UK	Non-conveyance rates	20% treat-and-release when NP was staffed at regular ambulance, 34% treat-and-release when NP was staffed at solo first response vehicle	
	Sanko (2019) USA	Non-conveyance rates	N=400 (50,5%) were treated and released on scene or medically cleared and transported to a mental health urgent care; 49.5% required or ultimately opted for transport by LAFD ambulance to the ED.	
		Length of treatment time on scene	N=400	
		median in minutes (IQR) ^b	21:47min	
		Safety patient attended by APRU		
		911-patients non-conveyed.	26 (6.5%) re-contacted 911 within 3 days	
		Deceased after 2 months	n=1 (Gun Shot)	

Data extraction outcomes and effect				
		Experience of care and perceived quality of care	n=51 96.9% of patients rated their overall quality of care as good, very good or excellent.	
Resource use	Sanko (2019) USA	EMS resources		107 other EMS resources were released from the scene of these mental health incidents and put back in service
			18 high utilizers of 911 were connected with a social work organization, and 12 of 18 (66.7%) decreased their use of EMS in the 90-days following	

Abbreviations: EMS emergency medical services, GP general practitioner, NP nurse practitioner, PA physician assistant, RN registered nurse

using the Canadian Medical Education Directives for Specialists (CanMED) framework. The CanMEDS system is a widely used instrument to describe medical professionals activities and forms the basis of the education of NPs and PAs²⁴. A competent professional seamlessly integrates all seven competencies CanMEDS roles²⁴ (Medical Expert (the integrating role), Communicator, Collaborator, Leader, Health Advocate, Scholar and Professional). However, the activities found in this review can be categorized into the medical professional, communicator and collaborator. This is remarkable because the full NP and PA profiles includes seven CanMEDS roles. There are several reasons why all seven roles are not reported on. First, it is possible that not all seven roles are applicable in ambulance care, or are not visible in the primary process of ambulance care. Also, PAs and NPs have only recently integrated into the ambulance care system, a clear job description or interpretation of their duties may be lacking. Developing a systematic description of the roles and competences of NPs or PAs in ambulance care would therefore be useful.

Although there are differences in education between NPs and PAs, there also seems to be a large degree of overlap in the tasks that NPs and PAs perform in practice²⁵; for instance, both professionals perform tasks that are part of the medical process, such as, drafting and evaluating treatment plans, and carrying out interventions²⁵.

Results shows that little is known on the effects of the activities of NPs and PAs in ambulance care. Some effects found can be linked to process of care and resource use. We found no effects on patient outcomes or care provider

outcomes. Reviews^{12,25,26} in other health care settings revealed an increase in quality of care and patient satisfaction. Evidence in primary care²⁶, elderly care³, and out-of-hours primary care²⁷ suggests that the substitution of NPs or PAs is feasible with at least the maintenance of quality and no increase in costs.

Although we have found no description on the effect on costs, Walsh *et al.*²¹ assumed that the substitution of NPs could produce substantial savings for the EMS and relieve the burden on hard-pressed ambulance and ED. Bloemhoff *et al.*¹⁹ recommended further exploration into the costs.

Further research is necessary to draw any conclusions on the effects of the substitution of NPs and PAs in ambulance care for multiple outcomes. This should be addressed by using the six dimensions of quality of healthcare: 1- effectiveness, 2- efficiency, 3- patient safety, 4- accessibility, 5- timeliness and 6- target population directed²⁶. Measuring these outcomes within all phases of the ambulance process (from initial call, to handover or referral) will gain more insight in the effects of PAs and NPs in ambulance care.

Strengths and limitations

A strength of this systematic review is that the search began with a broad strategy for six online databases, following the quality standards from the Cochrane Handbook¹³ and reported to conform with the PRISMA statement²⁸.

One limitation of this review lies in the fact that our broad search strategy produced only four studies that described NPs

or PAs working in ambulance care. Within these studies, the settings are completely different which made it impossible to perform a meta-analysis. Due to the diversity of the professionals working in ambulance care worldwide, it was difficult to identify the educational level of the professionals. Another limitation concerns the quality assessment tools for quantitative and qualitative designs a variety of these tools exists without a clear evidence base¹³.

Conclusion

This review shows that there is limited evidence on activities of NPs and PAs in ambulance care. Results show that NPs and PAs in ambulance care perform activities that can be categorized into the CanMED roles of Medical Expert, Communicator, and Collaborator. The effects of NPs and PAs are minimally reported in relation to process of care and resource use, focusing on non-conveyance rates, referral and consultation, on-scene time, or follow-up contact. There is no evidence on patient outcomes of the substitution of NPs and PAs in ambulance care. Further research is necessary to provide insight into these effects.

Data availability

Underlying data

All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.

Extended data

Figshare: Appendix 1 search strategies.docx. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12949730.v1>¹⁵

Figshare: Appendix 2 Reason full text exclusion.docx.

<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12949736.v1>¹⁸

Figshare: Appendix 3 Quality of quantitative studies (n=4).docx.

<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12949748.v1>²³

Reporting guidelines

Figshare: PRISMA checklist for 'Nurse practitioners and physician assistants working in ambulance care: A systematic review' <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12949766.v1>²⁹

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

References

- Lowthian JA, Cameron PA, Stoelwinder JU, *et al.*: **Increasing utilisation of emergency ambulances.** *Aust Health Rev.* 2011; **35**(1): 63–69.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- de Hoon S, Jansen T, Verheij R: **De Verpleegkundig Specialist: Een Alternatief Om de Ambulancezorg Te Ontlasten? Een Draagvlakmeting Onder Zorgverleners in Ambulance regio Zuid-Holland Zuid.** 2017.
[Reference Source](#)
- Lovink MH, Persoon A, Koopmans RTCM, *et al.*: **Effects of substituting nurse practitioners, physician assistants or nurses for physicians concerning healthcare for the ageing population: a systematic literature review.** *J Adv Nurs.* 2017; **73**(9): 2084–2102.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jones CMC, Wasserman EB, Li T, *et al.*: **The Effect of Older Age on EMS Use for Transportation to an Emergency Department.** *Prehosp Disaster Med.* Cambridge University Press; 2017; **32**(3): 261–268.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Edwards MJ, Bassett G, Sinden L, *et al.*: **Frequent callers to the ambulance service: patient profiling and impact of case management on patient utilisation of the ambulance service.** *Emerg Med J.* 2015; **32**(5): 392–396.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Booker MJ, Purdy S, Shaw ARG: **Seeking ambulance treatment for 'primary care' problems: a qualitative systematic review of patient, carer and professional perspectives.** *BMJ Open.* 2017; **7**(8): e016832.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Ozcan S, Taranto Y, Hornby P: **Shaping the health future in Turkey: a new role for human resource planning.** *Int J Health Plann Manage.* 1995; **10**(4): 305–319.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cooper RA: **New directions for nurse practitioners and physician assistants in the era of physician shortages.** *Acad Med.* 2007; **82**(9): 827–828.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Woo BFY, Lee JXY, Tam WWS: **The impact of the advanced practice nursing role on quality of care, clinical outcomes, patient satisfaction, and cost in the emergency and critical care settings: a systematic review.** *Hum Resour Health.* 2017; **15**(1): 63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kmietowicz Z, Anderson E: **Primary care trusts should give local people a voice, says report.** *BMJ.* 2002; **324**(7338): 633a.
[Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Laurant M, Reeves D, Hermens R, *et al.*: **Substitution of doctors by nurses in primary care.** *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005; **18**(2): CD001271.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Martin-Misener R, Harbman P, Donald F, *et al.*: **Cost-effectiveness of nurse practitioners in primary and specialised ambulatory care: systematic review.** *BMJ Open.* 2015; **5**(6): e007167.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Higgins JGS: **Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of interventions Version 5.1.0.** The Cochrane Collaboration. 2011.
[Reference Source](#)
- NHS National Institute for Health Research: **PROSPERO, Inrenational prospective register of systematic reviews.** The Cochrane Collaboration. 2017.
[Reference Source](#)
- van Vliet R: **Appendix 1 search strategies.docx.** *figshare.* Journal contribution. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12949730.v1>
- Pulcini J, Jelic M, Gul R, *et al.*: **An international survey on advanced practice nursing education, practice, and regulation.** *J Nurs Scholarsh.* 2010; **42**(1): 31–39.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kmet LM, Cook LS, Lee RS: **Standard Quality Assessment Criteria for Evaluating Primary Research Papers from a Variety of Fields.** 2004.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- van Vliet R: **Appendix 2 Reason full text exclusion.docx.** *figshare.* Journal contribution. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12949736.v1>
- Bloemhoff A, Schoonhoven L, de Kreek AJL, *et al.*: **Solo emergency care by a physician assistant versus an ambulance nurse: a cross-sectional document study.** *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med.* 2016; **24**(1): 86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- O'Hara R, O'Keeffe C, Mason S, *et al.*: **Quality and safety of care provided by emergency care practitioners.** *Emerg Med J.* 2012; **29**(4): 327–332.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Walsh M, Little S: **Study of a nurse practitioner working in a paramedic role.** *Emerg Nurse.* 2001; **9**(6): 11–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sanko S, Kashani S, Ito T, *et al.*: **Advanced Practice Providers in the Field: Implementation of the Los Angeles Fire Department Advanced Provider**

Response Unit. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2020; **24**(5): 693–703.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

23. van Vliet R: **Appendix 3 Quality of quantitative studies (n=4).docx.** *figshare.* Journal contribution. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12949748.v1>
24. Frank J, Snell L, Sherbino J: **CanMEDS 2015 Physician Competency Framework.** Ottawa: Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. *CanMEDS 2015 Physician Competency Fram Ottawa R Coll Physicians Surg Canada.* 2015; 1–30.
[Reference Source](#)
25. Wijers M: **Een studie naar functieprofielen, taken en verantwoordelijkheden van Physician Assistants en Verpleegkundig Specialisten.** 2014; 1–146.
[Reference Source](#)
26. Lovink MH, Persoon A, van Vught AJAH, *et al.*: **Substituting physicians with nurse practitioners, physician assistants or nurses in nursing homes: protocol for a realist evaluation case study.** *BMJ Open.* 2017; **7**(6): e015134.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
27. Van Der Biezen M, Adang E, Van Der Burgt R, *et al.*: **The impact of substituting general practitioners with nurse practitioners on resource use, production and health-care costs during out-of-hours: a quasi-experimental study.** *BMC Fam Pract.* 2016; **17**(1): 132.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
28. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, *et al.*: **The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration.** *PLoS Med.* 2009; **6**(7): e1000100.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
29. van Vliet R: **Prisma statement.** *figshare.* Journal contribution. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12949766.v1>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 06 January 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28573.r75887>

© 2021 Todd B. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Barbara Todd

Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this manuscript. As care models continue to emerge, it is improved to report on outcomes of care related to NP and PA provided care. I have a few comments.

1. The abstract could be more robust in the background section to provide the context and necessity for this review.
2. There are limited studies as pointed out by the authors and concern with comparison of PA to RN rather than PA to NP care. I suspect there would be differences dependent on RN scope in some of the regions.
3. Is there enough evidence to put forward a systematic review, obviously more research is needed on PA and NP care in ambulance services.
4. It would have been helpful to describe utilization of NPs and PAs in other care delivery models.
5. What was the acuity of the patients managed by NP and PA, how does that compare to others delivering the same care. I think this would have provided rich context to the manuscript.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

No

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Not applicable

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: NP and PA models of care

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 22 December 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28573.r72764>

© 2020 Schoonhoven L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Lisette Schoonhoven

¹ Nursing Science, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

² School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Environmental and Life Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. The topic presented is very timely given the strains healthcare is under and the future developments in the Western population. This review is performed well and the fact that only 4 papers were identified which only reported on limited outcomes signals the need for further research in this area.

After reading the paper I do have some questions and comments.

1. The abstract does not state why it is important to do this review. Perhaps this is due to a restriction in number of words.
2. In the background the authors mention broadening activities and deepening activities for NPs and PAs. Examples of these activities could have added clarity for the reader who is not familiar with these positions.
3. The results on effects of the activities seem to focus on a comparison with RN's. While I understand this is the focus of some of the papers included, this is not the focus of this review and does not answer the research question.
4. Given that there are only 4 studies describing NPs and PAs in ambulance care, I wonder if other studies are needed first before focusing on quality outcomes. For example a study on where and how are these professionals are employed and a focus on their CanMEDS roles. Why are these professionals the answer to the problems mentioned in the introduction?

The authors could have discussed this more thoroughly.

5. The limitations mention the quality assessment tools used. This comes as a bit of a surprise. Also, given that only quantitative designs were found (according to table 1) I am not sure how the lack of an evidence base for the tools for assessing qualitative designs can be a limitation in this paper.
6. Overall, I wonder if doing a systematic review limited to scientific papers should have been the design of choice for this review. Perhaps also looking at policy documents etc could have provided a richer overview of the positioning of these professionals in the field of ambulance care. This could have been mentioned in the discussion.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

Partly

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Not applicable

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Nursing Science

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 23 Dec 2020

Risco van Vliet, Emergency Medical Service, RAV Brabant MWN, 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands

Dear Lisette Schoonhoven

Thank you for your valuable review of our manuscript and the critical questions you have asked.

Before we started this review, we searched for available information that provides insight into NPs and PAs working in ambulance care. We found very little and what was written was often a personal story and not judged by a peer reviewer. We wanted to tackle this in a scientific way and expected that little had been written but were surprised by the low inclusions. Because of this, you can indeed question whether this is the correct design to answer this research question. Perhaps we would have emphasized this more strongly in

the discussion.

After evaluating the process and based on the information found, we find a reason to continue scientific research into the role of NPS and PAs in ambulance care and to support initiatives and distant developments with solid research.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 23 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.28573.r72226>

© 2020 Lopatina E. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Elena Lopatina

University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

Thank you for the opportunity to review this interesting systematic review. The review explores activities of nurse practitioners and physician assistants working in ambulance care and effects of those activities in terms of patient and provider outcomes, processes of care, and costs. The fact that only 4 studies were identified and none of the identified studies reported on patient or care providers outcomes, or costs highlights the need for more research in this area.

Two minor comments appeared while reviewing the manuscript:

1. Introduction, paragraph 3: NPs' roles and activities as well as outcomes of those activities have also been explored in the context of inpatient and outpatient specialized care. Also, it would be useful to provide references to showcase previous research on NPs' and PAs' activities in various settings.
2. Authors limited their search to care providers with a Master degree only, thus excluding 22 articles during the full-text review. While authors recognize the existing differences in the level of training of NPs and PAs working in ambulatory care, it would be helpful to explain the rationale for focusing on providers with a Master degree only. Would authors expect roles and activities to differ between providers with a Master degree and without it? Given the low number of articles included and a relatively large number of full-texts excluded based on this criteria, one would wonder if it would be useful to take a broader approach.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

Partly

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Not applicable

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Models of care, quality of care, non-physician care providers

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research