SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise are equivalent to mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]

Kyle J. Miller ¹, Pinyadapat Areerob¹, Declan Hennessy ¹, Daniela C. Gonçalves-Bradley², Christopher Mesagno ¹, Fergal Grace ¹

¹School of Health and Life Sciences, Federation University, Ballarat, Victoria, 3350, Australia

²Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

V1 First published: 13 Nov 2020, 9:1325
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.27123.1>

Latest published: 14 Jul 2021, 9:1325
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.27123.2>

Abstract

Background: Exercise has been identified as an allied health strategy that can support the management of depression in older adults, yet the relative effectiveness for different exercise modalities is unknown. To meet this gap in knowledge, we present a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) to examine the head-to-head effectiveness of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise to mitigate depressive symptoms in adults aged ≥ 65 years.

Methods: A PRISMA-NMA compliant review was undertaken on RCTs from inception to September 12th, 2019. PubMed, Web of Science, CINAHL, Health Source: Nursing/Academic Edition, PsycARTICLES, PsycINFO, and SPORTDiscus were systematically searched for eligible RCTs enrolling adults with a mean age ≥ 65 years, comparing one or more exercise intervention arms, and which used valid measures of depressive symptomology. Comparative effectiveness was evaluated using network meta-analysis to combine direct and indirect evidence, controlling for inherent variation in trial control groups.

Results: The systematic review included 81 RCTs, with 69 meeting eligibility for the network meta-analysis ($n = 5,379$ participants). Pooled analysis found each exercise type to be effective compared with controls (Hedges' $g = -0.27$ to -0.51). Relative head-to-head comparisons were statistically comparable between exercise types: resistance versus aerobic (Hedges' $g = -0.06$, $PrI = -0.91, 0.79$), mind-body versus aerobic (Hedges' $g = -0.12$, $PrI = -0.95, 0.72$), mind-body

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 2			
(revision)			
14 Jul 2021	view	view	
version 1			
13 Nov 2020	view	view	view

1. **Walid Kamal Abdelbasset** , Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia
Kasr Al-Aini Hospital, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
2. **Andrea Camaz Deslandes**, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
3. **Christian Imboden** , Private Clinic Wyss AG, Sierre, Switzerland
University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

versus resistance (Hedges' $g = -0.06$, $PrI = -0.90, 0.79$). High levels of compliance were demonstrated for each exercise treatment.

Conclusions: Aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise demonstrate equivalence to mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults aged ≥ 65 years, with comparably encouraging levels of compliance to exercise treatment. These findings coalesce with previous findings in clinically depressed older adults to encourage personal preference when prescribing exercise for depressive symptoms in older adults, irrespective of severity.

Registration: PROSPERO [CRD42018115866](https://www.crd42018115866) (23/11/2018).

Keywords

Older adults, elderly, seniors, exercise, physical activity, depression, randomised controlled trial, RCT

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Kyle J. Miller (kj.miller@federation.edu.au)

Author roles: **Miller KJ:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Areerob P:** Data Curation, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Hennessy D:** Data Curation, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Gonçalves-Bradley DC:** Methodology, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mesagno C:** Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Grace F:** Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Project Administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: Kyle J. Miller was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) Fee-Offset Scholarship through Federation University Australia.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2020 Miller KJ *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Miller KJ, Areerob P, Hennessy D *et al.* **Aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise are equivalent to mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2020, 9:1325

<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.27123.1>

First published: 13 Nov 2020, 9:1325 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.27123.1>

Introduction

At the close of this decade, the last remaining ‘baby boomers’ will transition to an expanding peer demographic aged ≥ 65 years projected to constitute more than one billion older adults, worldwide¹. Physical exercise is proposed as a low-risk adjunctive mitigant of age-associated functional deterioration in mental health, including for dementia² and depression^{3,4}. In light of impending demographic shifts, and with the burden of age-associated depression estimated to affect ~20% of older adults^{5–7}, 2030 may confer a burden of 200 million adults aged ≥ 65 years presenting with clinical depression. In preparation for inevitable future demands on primary care systems⁸, there is prevailing opportunity for concerted efforts to support primary and allied health personnel with informed preventative strategies.

International public health consortia are in concert with the antidepressant effects of exercise as a low-risk adjunct for optimal mental health^{9–11}. While we do not yet have the answers for low uptake of exercise in older adults¹², it may in some way be due to nuanced regimen design, which in turn, may similarly impact compliance in exercise prescription by primary and stakeholders in aged care. By exemplar, ‘Exercise is Medicine’ is a global initiative promulgated through 40 member countries by the American College of Sports Medicine with a platform to promote and encourage routine physical exercise for general health and a broad range of medical conditions. This manifesto encourages primary care physicians and health care providers to refer patients to qualified exercise personnel when prescribing treatment plans. However, despite conventional agreement for exercise as a prophylactic for geriatric depression, contemporary literature is yet to quantify the antidepressant treatment effectiveness for individual exercise types.

Perhaps a lesser appreciated obstacle to optimising exercise prescription for mental health in older adults lies with the ‘catch all’ characterisation of exercise. During the past four decades, widely different metabolic, social, and environmental demands between exercise modalities (i.e., running vs. weightlifting vs. Tai Chi) have been well-characterised. Given that there is variation between exercise regimens, and these variations are not merely semantics, one may be surprised to discover that only a few randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have deliberately compared the antidepressant effects of different exercise regimens in older adults^{13,14}. This begs a meaningful question, ‘are all exercise types equal?’.

In attempting to quantify the magnitude of potential antidepressant effects of exercise, researchers have deployed conventional pairwise meta-analysis^{4,15,16} during recent years. In departure from the value offered by pooling conventional treatments during pairwise meta-analysis, this amalgamation is inherently prone to overgeneralisation and concomitant overestimation of treatment effectiveness¹⁷. More specifically in mental health literature, pooling individual trial effect comparisons during the pairwise meta-analytical process precludes any opportunity for head-to-head comparison between different exercise types. In the same vein, a further nuance of pairwise meta-analysis is that effect-sensitivity is compromised by foregoing potentially

relevant characterisation of control arms (i.e., wait-list, usual care, attention-control) of included trials¹⁸. In this respect, one must acknowledge that pairwise meta-analysis has intrinsic restrictive boundaries in circumstances where head-to-head comparison of different exercise treatments are required.

In circumstances where relative effectiveness of multiple treatment comparisons are required, network meta-analysis offers a methodological solution to provide more precise pooled head-to-head effect estimates than may otherwise be achieved^{19,20}. Performed correctly, network meta-analysis can allow comparative effectiveness of exercise treatment regimens (aerobic, resistance, and mind-body), avoiding the assumption of treatment homogeneity, controlling for bias from small study effects, and likewise, controlling for characteristically different control arms (wait-list, usual care, and attention-control).

Exercise regimens are broadly categorized into either aerobic, resistance, or mind-body exercise types^{21,22}, and any individual exercise program may consist of multiple combinations of these activities. Extended description of these three exercise types may be found as *Extended data*. It is well established that independent regimens of either aerobic or resistance exercise elicit uniquely different metabolic and phenotypic adaptations, whereas mind-body exercise is unique as a low impact form of exercise. Therefore, it is reasonable to theorise comparative differences in the capacity to elicit any antidepressant effect. Until recently, investigation of head-to-head treatment effects of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise had not been undertaken and their comparative ability to moderate symptoms of depression in older adults without pre-existing clinical depression is unknown.

Recently, Miller and colleagues²³ quantitatively compared head-to-head effectiveness of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise in older adults with pre-existing clinical depression. In realisation of their study hypothesis, Miller *et al.*²³ excluded studies of older adults which lacked participant-level diagnosis of clinical depression. In doing so, there is an assumption that older adults with diagnosis of clinical depression should not be pooled with similarly aged counterparts without diagnosis. These differences are not merely semantics, and while beyond the broad scope of the present study, are worthy of summary.

Present day phenotypic characterisation of clinically depression in older adults is supported by the coalition of more than six decades of cross-disciplinary research into major depressive disorders. Limbic brain regions, monoamine neurotransmitters, and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis contribute to the pathophysiology of depression²⁴. In addition, hypothalamic oversight of pulsatile stress hormone perception from brain regions is central for homeostatic regulation of human stress response and maintenance of systemic feedback loop physiology in concert with the pituitary and adrenal cortex. Similarly, it is well-established that stress hormones have broad infiltration through large areas of the brain via neuronal supply originating from midbrain and brainstem regions. Indeed, these monoaminergic systems have been widely recognised by neuroscientists, pharmacologists, and clinicians alike as key determinants (thus targets)

of a person's mood, cognition, sleep quality, appetite, and reward systems; each of which is known to be affected by physical exercise and the cornerstone of many pharmacological treatments for major depressive disorders.

Long-term prospective data²⁵ has demonstrated qualitatively distinct populations within patients with major depressive disorder, and a more recent systematic review²⁶ of 67,318 participants enrolled to longitudinal cohort studies identified that people with subthreshold depression had an elevated risk of developing major depressive disorder. However, the existence for a continuum of depressive symptomology is inconclusive²⁷ and subsyndromal symptoms are not yet classified as a continuum²⁸. Taken together, it remains prudent to respect the binary threshold separating clinical diagnosis with subclinical depressive symptomology, and it stands to reason that clinical and mentally healthy categories should not be 'merged' into the same network in order to compare head-to-head effectiveness of different exercise treatments.

With these aspects in mind, the purpose of this systematic review and network meta-analysis was to quantitatively assess the best evidence from RCTs to establish relative (head-to-head) effectiveness of resistance, aerobic, and mind-body exercise in adults aged ≥ 65 years below the clinical threshold for diagnosed depression. More specifically, we investigated whether (i) resistance, aerobic, and mind-body exercise training can induce substantive treatment effect on depressive symptoms in older adults, (ii) while considering relative treatment compliance to each exercise regimen, and further, (iii) to juxtapose the optimal exercise treatment for all adults aged ≥ 65 years irrespective of depressive symptomology.

Methods

This review was prospectively registered with PROSPERO (registration number: [CRD42018115866](#), 23/11/2018). The network meta-analysis extension for the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-NMA) guidelines²⁹ and the Cochrane Intervention Review that Compares Multiple Interventions³⁰ each provided further support in guiding this review. Guidelines specific for geriatric meta-analyses³¹ were consulted to identify baseline characteristics, equity considerations, inclusion/exclusion criteria, known confounders, and potentially important effect modifiers. *Extended data* for this review can be found online³².

Eligibility criteria

Studies were eligible for inclusion if they (i) followed an RCT protocol, (ii) used a wait-list, usual care, or attention-control group, (iii) included one or more aerobic, resistance, or mind-body exercise intervention arms, (iv) reported depressive symptoms as an outcome at baseline and during follow-up, (v) used one or more psychometrically validated depression questionnaires, (vi) recruited participants with a minimum mean sample age of 65 years. Studies were excluded when (i) the intervention condition used a multicomponent treatment including non-exercise components with the exercise condition, or (ii) the participants were diagnosed with clinical depression, defined by DSM or

ICD criteria, or a clinical threshold on a questionnaire validated against a structured diagnostic interview prior to study enrollment. Eligibility was not restricted to specific years, languages, or publication status.

Literature search

Studies were identified from computerised searches of the following databases: PubMed, Web of Science, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Health Source: Nursing/Academic Edition, PsycARTICLES, PsycINFO, and SPORTDiscus. Databases were searched for key terms pertaining to four main concepts: older adults, exercise, depressive symptoms, and RCTs. Search terms were identified using text mining procedures and an example of a search strategy is presented in detail as *Extended data*. Published studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses^{4,15,16,33–36} were also screened for additional articles. Databases were searched up to and including September 12th, 2019.

Study selection

All articles collated from the systematic search initially went through a title and abstract screening, followed by a full-text screening. Eligibility criteria were used to determine whether each article should be included or excluded. The screening process was performed concurrently for both the current review and a parallel review²³. Once the screening process was complete, the remaining studies were included in the systematic review and descriptively reported. Studies were only included in the quantitative analysis if they contained sufficient outcome data.

Data extraction and coding

Detailed data extraction was undertaken independently by a minimum of two researchers (KJM, PA, and/or DH) in compliance with a data extraction form (see *Extended data*), with any inconsistencies being arbitrated by another researcher (CM). Study characteristics are presented in [Table 1](#). Methodological characteristics of each study were used to evaluate the quality of evidence, which included publication status, intention-to-treat principle, use of a cluster design, and validated measure(s) of depressive symptoms used.

Control groups within each individual study were further categorised as either wait-list, usual care, or attention-control. Participants undergoing wait-list conditions received the exercise intervention following trial completion. Participants randomised to usual care were those in sole receipt of conventional treatment during the trial. Participants randomised to attention-control conditions (also known as attention placebo control or active control) received activities completely unrelated to physical activity and/or exercise during their respective trials (e.g., social activities, educational programs, etc.).

Important participant and intervention characteristics were used to verify whether potential effect modifiers were similarly distributed across comparisons within the network. Participant characteristics were coded according to sample size, age (mean and standard deviation), percentage of females, and place of

Table 1. Participant, intervention, and methodological characteristics of studies included in the systematic review.

Author (year)	Treatment M_{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M_{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Adler (2007) ³⁷	72.8 (5.4) 100	70.8 (8.0) 87.5	Community	Osteoarthritis	Yes	N/R	$n = 8$ Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, low intensity, 1 time/week, 60 mins/session **Encouraged to practice at home at least 3 times per week for 15 minutes	$n = 6$ Attention- control (bingo)	10 weeks	85	CESD-20
Angeles (2016) ³⁸	65.0 (3.3) 80	69.3 (7.4) 70	N/R	N/R	N/R	No	$n = 10$ Mind-body (flexibility, toning, and balance - FlexToBa DVD), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 50 mins/session	$n = 10$ Usual care	12 weeks	N/R	POMS-D
Ansai (2015) ³⁹	82.8 (2.8) 65.2	82.6 (2.6) 65.2	Community	Sedentary	Yes	No	$n = 23$ Resistance (strength exercises with machines), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 60 mins/ session Adverse events: Mild muscle pain ($n = 9$)	$n = 23$ Usual care	16 weeks	N/R	GDS-15
Antunes (2005) ⁴⁰	68.1 (5.5) 0	65.9 (3.8) 0	Community	Sedentary	N/R	No	$n = 23$ Aerobic (ergometric cycling), supervised, N/R, moderate intensity (50-60% VO_{2max}), 3 times/week, 20-60 mins/ session	$n = 23$ Wait-list	6 months	N/R	GDS-30
Bernard (2015) ⁴¹	65.5 (4.0) 100	65.5 (4.4) 100	Community	N/R	Yes	No	$n = 61$ Aerobic (walking - ActiMarch Program), supervised, individual, moderate intensity (40-75% HR_{max}), 2 times/week, 40 mins/ session **One 40 minute unsupervised session	$n = 60$ Wait-list	6 months	53.8	BDI

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Bethany (2005) ⁴²	83.1 (4.8) 92.9		Community	N/R	N/R	No	n = 11 Aerobic (chair aerobics), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 30 mins/ session	n = 10 Attention- control (social games)	6 weeks	71.7	BDI-II
							n = 10 Aerobic (walking), unsupervised, individual, N/R, 3 times/week, 30 mins/ session			62.2	
							n = 11 Mind-body (chair yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 30 mins/ session			78.3	
Blumenthal (1991) ⁴³	66.5 (4.3) 48.4	66.8 (4.3) 52.9	Community	Sedentary	N/R	No	n = 31 Aerobic (ergometric cycling, walking, jogging, and arm ergometry), supervised, group, moderate intensity (70% HRmax), 3 times/week, 60 mins/session	n = 32 Wait-list	16 weeks	95.8	CESD-20
							n = 34 Mind-body (yoga), supervised, group, low intensity, 2 times/week, 60 mins/session			100	
							n = 33 Resistance (chair strength and balance), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 45 mins/session ***Practice on their own for 15 minutes during non- class days			83.8	
Bonura (2014) ⁴⁴	77.0 (7.3) 69.8		Community	N/R	N/R	No	n = 33 Mind-body (chair yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 45 mins/session ***Practice on their own for 15 minutes during non- class days	n = 32 Wait-list	6 weeks	95	GDS-30

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Bostrom (2016) ⁴⁵	84.4 (6.2) 75.3	85.9 (7.8) 76.3	Residential	Dementia	N/R	Yes (36)	<i>n</i> = 83 Resistance (functional weight-bearing and balance - High-Intensity Functional Exercise Program), supervised, group, moderate-high intensity, 2.5 times/week, 45 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 80 Attention-control (seated social activities)	4 months	73	GDS-15; MADRS*
Bouaziz (2019) ⁴⁶	72.9 (2.5) 70	74.3 (3.4) 76.6	Community	Sedentary	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 27 Aerobic (cycle ergometry), supervised, individual, moderate intensity, 2 times/week, 30 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 29 Wait-list	9.5 weeks	94.7+	GADS-D
Brown (2009) ⁴⁷	81.5 (6.9) 91.6	78.1 (6.4) 86.8	Community	N/R	Yes	Yes (8)	<i>n</i> = 26 Mind-body (flexibility and relaxation), supervised, group, low intensity, 2 times/week, 60 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 34 Usual care	6 months	44.8	GDS-30
Cancela (2016) ⁴⁸	80.6 (8.3) 43.8	82.9 (7.4) 81	Residential	Dementia	Yes	No	<i>n</i> = 73 Aerobic (low resistance cycling), supervised, individual, N/R, 7 times/week, 15+ mins/session	<i>n</i> = 116 Attention-control (recreational activities)	15 months	88	CSDD
Chen (2009) ⁴⁹	65.8 (4.3) 83.9	72.4 (6.0) 62.1	Community	N/R	N/R	Yes (8)	<i>n</i> = 62 Mind-body (silver yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 70 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 66 Wait-list	6 months	87	TDQ
Chen (2015) ⁵⁰	79.2 (7.0) 49.1		Residential	Wheelchair-bound	N/R	Yes (10)	<i>n</i> = 59 Aerobic (resistance bands, aerobic motion, and harmonic stretching - Wheelchair-bound Senior Elastic Band Program), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 40 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 55 Usual care	6 months	94.5	TDQ

Author (year)	Treatment M_{pre} (SD), females (%)	Control M_{pre} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention-to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Chen (2017) ⁵¹	80.7 (8.0) 58.5	81.6 (6.7) 54.8	Residential	Dementia; wheelchair-bound	N/R	Yes (8)	$n = 65$ Aerobic (resistance bands, aerobic motion, and harmonic stretching - Wheelchair-bound Senior Elastic Band Program), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 40 mins/session	$n = 62$ Usual care	15 months	96.9	CSDD
Chin A Paw (2004) ⁵²	81.0 (5.8) 73.2	81.3 (4.4) 82.9	Residential	N/R	Yes	No	$n = 41$ Resistance (progressive resistance training), supervised, group, moderate intensity, 2 times/week, 45–60 mins/session Adverse events: Program was too intensive ($n = 8$)	$n = 35$ Attention-control (educational programs)	6 months	78	GDS-30
Choi (2018) ⁵³	77.6 (5.69) 90.9	78.8 (5.83) 96.7	Residential	N/R	N/R	Yes (6)	$n = 33$ Mind-body (sitting yoga), supervised, group, moderate intensity (10–14/20 RPE), 4 times/week, 30–40 mins/session	$n = 30$ Wait-list	12 weeks	N/R	GDS-15
Clegg (2014) ⁵⁴	79.4 (7.9) 73.3	78.0 (10.5) 69.2	Community	Frailty	Yes	No	$n = 40$ Resistance (strengthening exercises - Home-based Older People's Exercise Program), unsupervised, individual, N/R, 15 times/week, 15 mins/session Adverse events: Fell at least once ($n = 7$)	$n = 30$ Usual care	12 weeks	67	GDS-15
Collier (1997) ⁵⁵	71 (N/R) 63	69 (N/R) 46.2	Community	N/R	N/R	No	$n = 25$ Resistance (strength exercises with machines), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 50 mins/session	$n = 13$ Usual care	10 weeks	N/R	GDS-30
Conradsson (2010) ⁵⁶	85.3 (6.1) 73.6	84.2 (6.8) 72	Residential	N/R	Yes	Yes (34)	$n = 75$ Resistance (functional weight-bearing and balance - High-Intensity Functional Exercise Program), supervised, group, high intensity, 2.5 times/week, 45 mins/session	$n = 90$ Attention-control (seated social activities)	3 months	72	GDS-15

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention-to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Cramer (2016) ⁵⁷	68.7 (9.1) 37	67.8 (10.4) 40.7	Community	Colorectal cancer	Yes	No	<i>n</i> = 27 Mind-body (hatha yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 90 mins/session Adverse events: Muscle soreness (<i>n</i> = 3), abdominal pain (<i>n</i> = 1), neck pain (<i>n</i> = 1), minor vertigo (<i>n</i> = 1), hip pain (<i>n</i> = 1)	<i>n</i> = 27 Wait-list	10 weeks	53	HADS-D
De Lima (2019) ⁵⁸	66.2 (5.5) N/R	67.2 (5.2) N/R	Community	Parkinson's disease	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 17 Resistance (free weights and machines), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 30-40 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 16 Usual care	20 weeks	N/R	HRSD
Donesky-Cuenco (2009) ⁵⁹	72.2 (6.5) 73.3	67.7 (11.5) 71.4	Community	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Yes	No	<i>n</i> = 14 Mind-body (yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 15 Usual care	12 weeks	83.3	CESD-20
Dong (2013) ⁶⁰	68.5 (N/R) 100		Community	N/R	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 32 Mind-body (YijinJing qigong), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 60 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 34 N/R	12 weeks	N/R	GDS-15
							<i>n</i> = 36 Mind-body (LiuZiJue qigong), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 60 mins/session			N/R	
Donner (2007) ⁶¹	86.7 (6.1) 76.7	86.9 (5.7) 76.7	Residential	Frailty; cognitive impairment	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 15 Resistance (resistance bands, soft weights, and balance), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 50 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 15 N/R	10 weeks	91.8	GDS-15
Emery (1990) ⁶²	72 (6) 83.3		Community	N/R	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 14 Aerobic (aerobic walking and rhythmic muscle strengthening), supervised, group, moderate intensity (70% HR _{max}), 3 times/week, 60 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 13 Wait-list	12 weeks	61-94	CESD-20
								<i>n</i> = 11 Attention-control (social games)			

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Eyigor (2009) ⁶³	73.5 (7.6) 100	71.2 (5.5) 100	Community	N/R	N/R	N/R	n = 19 Aerobic (folkloric dance), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 60 mins/ session **Walk for 30 minutes at least twice a week	n = 18 Usual care	8 weeks	N/R	GDS-30
Eyre (2017) ⁶⁴	68.1 (8.7) 65.8	67.6 (8.0) 65.9	Community	Cognitive impairment	N/R	No	n = 29 Mind-body (kundalini yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 60 mins/session Adverse events: Dizziness (n = 1) **Home meditation and finger movements for 12 minutes	n = 32 Attention- control (memory enhancement training)	12 weeks	59.4	GDS-30
Fakhari (2017) ⁶⁵	69.2 (5.5) 48.1	69.3 (5.0) 58.6	Residential	Sedentary	N/R	No	n = 27 Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 20-25 mins/ session	n = 29 Usual care	12 weeks	N/R	BDI-II
Fransen (2007) ⁶⁶	70.8 (6.3) 67.9	69.6 (6.1) 82.9	Community	Osteoarthritis	Yes	No	n = 56 Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/ session	n = 41 Wait-list	12 weeks	N/R	DASS-D
Frye (2007) ⁶⁷	69.2 (9.3) 64.3		Community	Sedentary	N/R	No	n = 23 Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, low- moderate intensity, 3 times/ week, 60 mins/session **Tai Chi video to assist with home practice, but was not monitored	n = 21 Usual care	12 weeks	72.2-100	CESD-20
Gary (2004) ⁶⁸	67 (11) 100	69 (11) 100	Community	Diastolic heart failure	N/R	No	n = 15 Aerobic (walking), supervised, individual, low- moderate intensity (40-60% HR _{max}), 3 times/week, 20-30 mins/session	n = 13 Attention- control (educational programs)	12 weeks	N/R	GDS-15

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Gusi (2008) ⁶⁹	71 (5) 100	74 (6) 100	Community	Moderate depression or overweight	N/R	No	n = 55 Aerobic (walking), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 50 mins/ session	n = 51 Usual care	6 months	N/R	GDS-15
Hars (2014) ⁷⁰	75 (8) 97	76 (6) 95.6	Community	Risk of falling	Yes	No	n = 66 Aerobic (aerobic training), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 60 mins/session	n = 68 Wait-list	6 months	79	HADS-D
Hsu (2016) ⁷¹	80.7 (9.7) 63.3	81.7 (6.3) 63.3	Residential	Wheelchair- bound	Yes	No	n = 30 Mind-body (seated Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 40 mins/ session	n = 30 Usual care	26 weeks	85.3	GDS-15
Irwin (2012) ⁷²	70.7 (5.9) 69.6	71.4 (7.7) 51.4	Community	N/R	Yes	No	n = 58 Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 40 mins/ session	n = 53 Attention- control (health education)	16 weeks	83	BDI
Irwin (2014) ⁷³	66.3 (7.4) 64.6	66.4 (7.7) 72	Community	Insomnia	Yes	No	n = 48 Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 120 mins/ session	n = 25 Attention- control (sleep seminar education)	4 months	81	IDS-C
Kekalainen (2018) ⁷⁴	68.9 (2.7) 53.8	68.3 (2.3) 47.8	Community	N/R	Yes	No	n = 24 Resistance (progressive resistance training), supervised, group, N/R, 2 (month 1–3) and 1 (month 4–9) times/week, 60 mins/ session	n = 19 Usual care	9 months	N/R	BDI-II
	67.7 (2.8) 59.6						n = 25 Resistance (progressive resistance training), supervised, group, N/R, 2 (month 1–3) and 2 (month 4–9) times/week, 60 mins/ session				
	69.0 (3.3) 57.1						n = 27 Resistance (progressive resistance training), supervised, group, N/R, 2 (month 1–3) and 3 (month 4–9) times/week, 60 mins/ session				

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Kim (2019) ⁷⁵	76.1 (3.85) 100	76.40 (3.27) 100	Community	N/R	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 11 Resistance (strength exercises), N/R, N/R, moderate intensity (9-13/20 RPE), 3 times/week, 30-60 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 10 Usual care	24 weeks	N/R	GDS-15
Kohut (2005) ⁷⁶	73.1 (5.6) 50	70.3 (5.6) 53.8	Community	Sedentary	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 14 Aerobic (treadmills or ergometric cycling), supervised, group, high intensity (71% HR _{max}), 3 times/week, 20-30 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 13 Usual care	10 months	80+	GDS-30
Krishnamurthy (2007) ⁷⁷	70.1 (8.3) 69.6	72.3 (7.4) 73.9	Residential	N/R	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 18 Mind-body (yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 6 times/week, 75 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 20 Wait-list	24 weeks	N/R	GDS-15
Kuo (2018) ⁷⁸	68.93 (3.81) 80	70.38 (5.22) 85.7	Clinical	N/R	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 15 Aerobic (stepping exercises), supervised, N/R, moderate-high intensity (13-15/20 RPE; 60-80% HR _{max}), 2 times/week, 30 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 21 Usual care	8 weeks	N/R	GDS-15
Kupecz (2001) ⁷⁹	74.3 (6.2) 5.1	73.6 (5.9) 13	Community	N/R	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 30 Aerobic (walking), supervised, group, low-moderate intensity, 3 times/week, 45 mins/session Adverse events: Minor medical attention (<i>n</i> = 2)	<i>n</i> = 35 Usual care	12 weeks	66	CESD-20
Li (2001) ⁸⁰	73.2 (4.9) 76.5		Community	Sedentary	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 40 Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/session ** Encouraged to practice at home	<i>n</i> = 32 Wait-list	24 weeks	90	CESD-20

Author (year)	Treatment M_{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M_{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Lin (2007) ^{§1}	77.1 (7.8) 51	76.2 (7.3) 51	Community	History of falling	N/R	No	$n = 39$ Resistance (muscle strengthening and balance), supervised, individual, N/R, 0.5 times/week, 40–60 mins/ session **Instructed to practice exercises at least three times a week	$n = 40$ Attention- control (educational programs)	4 months	N/R	GDS-15
Lincoln (2011) ^{§2}	66.0 (7.9) 69	66.6 (7.4) 58.6	Community	Type 2 diabetes	N/R	No	$n = 29$ Resistance (progressive resistance training), supervised, N/R, high intensity, 3 times/week, 45 mins/session	$n = 29$ Attention- control (regular phone calls)	16 weeks	N/R	GDS-30
Ma (2018) ^{§3}	70.2 (10.3) 31.7	69.7 (10.8) 30.4	Community	Hypertension	Yes	No	$n = 79$ Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 4 times/week, 60 mins/ session	$n = 79$ Usual care	6 months	N/R	CESD-10
Maki (2012) ^{§4}	71.9 (4.1) 69.3	72.0 (3.9) 72	Community	N/R	Yes	No	$n = 66$ Aerobic (walking and group work), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 90 mins/ session	$n = 67$ Attention- control (educational programs)	3 months	87.5	GDS-30
Martinez (2015) ^{§5}	76.1 (8.1) 89.7	72.5 (8.0) 86.2	Clinical	N/R	Yes	No	$n = 29$ Mind-body (qigong), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 90 mins/ session	$n = 25$ Usual care	4 weeks	88.8	GDS-5

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Martins (2011) ³³	75.9 (7.0) 66.7	77.7 (8.8) 58.1	Residential	Sedentary	N/R	No	n = 24 Aerobic (rhythmic walking and stepping sequences), supervised, group, low-high intensity (40-85% HR _{max}), 3 times/week, 45 mins/session	n = 31 Usual care	16 weeks	N/R	POMS-SF-D
	73.4 (6.4) 56.5						n = 23 Resistance (resistance bands and calisthenics), supervised, group, moderate intensity, 3 times/week, N/R			N/R	
McMurdo (1993) ³⁶	82.3 (6.9) 80	79.3 (6.2) 80.7	Residential	N/R	N/R	N/R	n = 10 Resistance (strengthening exercises), supervised, group, low intensity, 2 times/week, 45 mins/session	n = 23 Attention-control (reminiscence sessions)	7 months	91	GDS-30
Monga (2005) ³⁷	68 (4.2) 0	70.6 (5.3) 0	Community	Prostate Cancer	N/R	No	n = 11 Aerobic (treadmill walking), supervised, group, moderate intensity (65% HR _{max}), 3 times/week, 45-50 mins/session	n = 10 Usual care	8 weeks	N/R	BDI
Mortazavi (2012) ³⁸	71.7 (8.2) 64.1	71.7 (8.2) 61.3	Community	N/R	N/R	No	n = 181 Aerobic (upper, lower, and whole body movements), supervised, group, low intensity, 2 times/week, 45 mins/session ***Pamphlet explaining details of each session for exercising at home	n = 191 Attention-control (physical activity pamphlets)	2 months	N/R	GHQ-D
Netz (1994) ³⁹	70.7 (6.8) N/R	74.1 (8.9) N/R	Clinical	Cognitive or affective deterioration	N/R	No	n = 15 Aerobic (light calisthenic and rhythmic movements), supervised, group, low intensity, 3 times/week, 45 mins/session	n = 15 Attention-control (social intellectual activity)	8 weeks	N/R	GDS-30

Author (year)	Treatment M_{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M_{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention-to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Ng (2017) ⁹⁰	70.3 (5.3) 56.2	70.1 (5.0) 56	Community	Frailty	Yes	No	$n = 48$ Resistance (functional strength and balance), supervised, group, moderate intensity, 2 times/week, 90 mins/session **Weeks 13-24 were instructed to participate at home	$n = 50$ Usual care	24 weeks	85	GDS-15
Oken (2006) ⁹¹	73.6 (5.1) 78.7	71.2 (4.4) 75	Community	Low activity (aerobic exercise less than 210 minutes per week)	N/R	No	$n = 38$ Aerobic (walking), supervised, group, moderate intensity (6-7/10 RPE; 70% HR_{max}), 1 time/week, 60 mins/session Adverse events: Hip pain ($n = 1$) **Exercise daily at least 5 times per week was strongly encouraged	$n = 42$ Wait-list	6 months	68.7	CESD-10*; POMS-D
	71.5 (4.9) 70.5						$n = 38$ Mind-body (hatha yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 90 mins/session Adverse events: Groin muscle strain ($n = 1$) **Exercise daily at least 5 times per week was strongly encouraged			77.6	
Park (2016) ⁹²	75.3 (7.5) 75		Community	Osteoarthritis	N/R	No	$n = 52$ Mind-body (chair yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 45 mins/session	$n = 48$ Attention-control (health education)	8 weeks	95	PROMIS-EDD SF-8a
Payne (2008) ⁹³	64.7 (6.3) 100		Community	Breast cancer	N/R	N/R	$n = 9$ Aerobic (walking), unsupervised, individual, moderate intensity, 4 times/week, 20 mins/session	$n = 9$ Usual care	12 weeks	N/R	CESD-20
Pedersen (2017) ⁹⁴	79.2 (6.6) 52.6	81.3 (5.1) 50	Residential	N/R	N/R	N/R	$n = 19$ Resistance (resistance training), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/session	$n = 12$ N/R	12 weeks	N/R	HADS-D

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention-to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Penninx (2002) ¹⁴	68.7 (5.6)	70.1	Community	Osteoarthritis	Yes	N/R	n = 149 Aerobic (walking), supervised, N/R, moderate intensity (50-70% HR _{max}), 3 times/week, 60 mins/session **Months 4-18 were unsupervised	n = 144 Attention-control (health education)	18 months	N/R	CESD-6
	70.1						n = 146 Resistance (dumbbell and cuff weight exercises), supervised, N/R, N/R, 3 times/week, 60 mins/session **Months 4-18 were unsupervised				
Pinniger (2013) ⁹⁵	79.4 (N/R)	100	Community	Age-related macular degeneration	N/R	No	n = 8 Aerobic (tango dance), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 90 mins/session	n = 9 Wait-list	4 weeks	96.9	GDS-15
Ramanathan (2017) ⁹⁶	68.9 (7.6)	68.2 (8.8)	Residential	N/R	N/R	No	n = 20 Mind-body (yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/session	n = 20 Wait-list	12 weeks	N/R	HRSD
Roswiyani (2019) ⁹⁷	71.90 (8.57)	74.31 (9.57)	Residential	N/R	Yes	No	n = 67 Mind-body (qigong), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 90 mins/session	n = 65 Usual care	8 weeks	61.2	BDI-II
Sahin (2018) ⁹⁸	84.5 (4.8)	85.4 (4.7)	Residential	Frailty	N/R	No	n = 16 Resistance (dumbbell and cuff weight exercises), supervised, N/R, low intensity (40% 1R _{max}), 3 times/week, 40 mins/session	n = 16 Usual care	8 weeks	N/R	GDS-15
	N/R						n = 16 Resistance (dumbbell and cuff weight exercises), supervised, N/R, high intensity (70% 1R _{max}), 3 times/week, 40 mins/session				

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Sattin (2005) ⁹⁹	80.4 (3.1) 95.4	80.5 (3.2) 93.6	Residential	Frailty; history of falling	Yes	Yes (20)	n = 158 Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60-90 mins/ session	n = 153 Attention- control (health education)	48 weeks	76	CESD-20
Sola-Serrabou (2019) ¹⁰⁰	71.9 (5.0) 76.5	74.8 (6.1) 77.8	Residential	Sedentary	N/R	No	n = 18 Resistance (strength exercises), supervised, N/R, moderate intensity (5-6/10 RPE), 2 times/week, 60 mins/session	n = 17 Usual care	24 weeks	90	GDS-15
Song (2019) ¹⁰¹	76.22 (5.76) 80	75.33 (6.78) 70	Community	Cognitive impairment; low activity (aerobic exercise less than 150 minutes per week)	Yes	No	n = 60 Aerobic (stepping exercises), supervised, group, moderate intensity (12- 14/20 RPE), 3 times/week, 60 mins/session	n = 60 Attention- control (health education)	16 weeks	73.1	GDS-30
Sparrow (2011) ¹⁰²	70.3 (7.5) 32.7	71.7 (7.2) 29.4	Community	Low activity (exercise less than 20 minutes per week)	Yes	N/R	n = 49 Resistance (Resistance training - Telephone-Linked Computer-based Long- term Interactive Fitness Trainer), unsupervised, N/R, N/R, 3 times/week, 60 mins/session Adverse events: Musculoskeletal injury and discomfort (n = 8)	n = 51 Attention- control (health education)	12 months	54	BDI-II
Swoap (1994) ¹⁰³	65.2 (4.2) 53.8	65.2 (4.2) 50	Community	Sedentary	N/R	No	n = 24 Aerobic (walking), supervised, group, moderate intensity (65-70% HR _{max}), 3 times/week, 30-65 mins/session n = 14 Aerobic (walking), supervised, group, high intensity (80-85% HR _{max}), 3 times/week, 30-60 mins/ session	n = 11 Wait-list	26 weeks	N/R N/R	GDS-30

Author (year)	Treatment M_{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M_{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention-to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Tapps (2013) ¹⁰⁴	76 (N/R) N/R		Residential	N/R	N/R	No	$n = 11$ Resistance (resistance band and chair exercises), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 30 mins/session	$n = 14$ Usual care	12 weeks	N/R	BDI-II
Taylor-Piliae (2014) ¹⁰⁵	71.5 (10.3) 35.8	68.2 (10.3) 52.1	Community	History of stroke	Yes	No	$n = 53$ Mind-body (Yang-style Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 3 times/week, 60 mins/session	$n = 48$ Usual care	12 weeks	82	CESD-20
Tsang (2003) ¹⁰⁶	72.9 (9.5) 62.5	76.3 (8.4) 37.5	Residential	Chronic medical illness	N/R	No	$n = 24$ Mind-body (eight-section brocade qigong), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/session **Practice daily for at least 30 minutes	$n = 26$ Attention-control (remedial rehabilitation activities)	12 weeks	N/R	GDS-30
Tsang (2013) ¹⁰⁷	83.3 (6.3) 77	84.9 (6.0) 72.7	Clinical	Frailty	Yes	No	$n = 61$ Mind-body (Yan Chai Yi jin ten-section brocade qigong), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/session	$n = 55$ Attention-control (newspaper reading)	12 weeks	N/R	GDS-15
Tsutsumi (1998) ¹⁰⁸	68.5 (6.1) 100		Community	Sedentary	N/R	N/R	$n = 12$ Resistance (strength exercises with machines), supervised, group, moderate intensity (55-65% $1R_{max}$), 3 times/week, N/R	$n = 12$ N/R	12 weeks	N/R	POMS-D
							$n = 12$ Resistance (strength exercises with machines), supervised, group, high intensity (75-85% $1R_{max}$), 3 times/week, N/R			N/R	
Vahlberg (2017) ¹⁰⁹	72.6 (5.5) 20.6	73.7 (5.3) 27.3	Community	History of stroke	Yes	No	$n = 34$ Resistance (functional weight-bearing and balance - High-Intensity Functional Exercise Program), supervised, group, high intensity, 2 times/week, 55 mins/session	$n = 33$ Usual care	3 months	91	GDS-20

Author (year)	Treatment M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Control M _{age} (SD), females (%)	Source of participants	Inclusion criteria	Intention- to-treat	Cluster design	Treatment group	Control group	Length of intervention	Adherence (%)	Outcome measure(s)
Vankova (2014) ¹⁰	83.4 (8.2) 91.6	82.9 (7.9) 92.4	Residential	N/R	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 79 Aerobic (ballroom dance - Exercise Dance for Seniors Program), supervised, group, N/R, 1 time/week, 60 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 83 Wait-list	3 months	84.6	GDS-15
Wang (2009) ¹¹	75.5 (9.2) 100	74.5 (8.1) 80	Community	N/R	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 7 Mind-body (yoga), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/ session	<i>n</i> = 10 Attention- control (social group)	4 weeks	78.1	CESD-10
Witham (2005) ¹²	80 (6) 37	81 (4) 54	Community	Frailty; chronic heart failure	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 36 Aerobic (progressive aerobic seated exercise with small weights), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 20 mins/ session **Months 4–6 were home exercise 2–3 times per week with no face-to-face contact	<i>n</i> = 32 Usual care	6 months	82.7	HADS-D
Yang (2005) ¹³	72.6 (5.4) 68.4	72.7 (7.5) 90.5	Community	Chronic pain	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 19 Mind-body (Chun Soo Energy Healing qigong), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 20 mins/ session	<i>n</i> = 21 Wait-list	4 weeks	N/R	POMS-D
Yeh (2003) ¹⁴	65 (6) 40	66 (6) 40	Community	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Yes	N/R	<i>n</i> = 5 Mind-body (Tai Chi), supervised, group, N/R, 2 times/week, 60 mins/ session	<i>n</i> = 5 Usual care	12 weeks	74.2	CESD-20
Zanuso (2012) ¹⁵	74.3 (3.5) 50	67.1 (2.0) 50	Community	Sedentary	N/R	No	<i>n</i> = 10 Resistance (resistance training), supervised, N/R, moderate intensity, 3 times/ week, 60 mins/session	<i>n</i> = 10 Wait-list	12 weeks	90.7	POMS-D

Note. N/R = not reported; *n* = Total participants included in intention-to-treat or post-treatment data analysis; HR_{max} = Heart rate maximum; VO_{2max} = Maximal oxygen uptake; 1R_{max} = One-repetition maximum; RPE = Rating of perceived exertion; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; CESD = Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale; CSDD = Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia; DASS-D = Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale (depression subscale); GADS-D = Goldberg Anxiety and Depression Scale (depression subscale); GHQ-D = General Health Questionnaire (depression subscale); HADS-D = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (depression subscale); HRSD = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; IDS-C = Inventory of Depression Symptomatology; MADRS = Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale; POMS-D = Profile of Mood States (depression subscale); PROMIS-EDD SF-8a = Patient Reported Outcome Measurement Information System - Emotional Distress and Depression Short Form-8a; TDQ = Taiwanese Depression Questionnaire.

* Outcome measure used in network meta-analysis.

** Additional unsupervised exercise component.

residence (community-dwelling, residential care, clinical setting). Relevant inclusion criteria (e.g., sedentary, dementia, etc.) were further used to assess the risk of bias from equity considerations¹¹⁶.

Intervention characteristics were appropriately coded. Exercise types were categorised as aerobic, resistance, or mind-body exercise. Length of interventions were coded in weeks or months. Exercise intensities were coded according to ratings of perceived exertion¹¹⁷, heart rate maximum (HR_{max} ; low = <50%, moderate = 50-70%, high = >70%), maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2max} ; low = <40%, moderate = 40-60%, high = >60%) or one-repetition maximum ($1R_{max}$; low = <50%, moderate = 50-74%, high = >74%)¹¹⁸, or where unavailable, this was estimated according to the assessment of the original author(s). Frequency was coded as total sessions per week. Duration was coded as the average number of minutes engaging in exercise per session. Format of program was dichotomously coded as exercise in a group or individual setting. Format of supervision was dichotomously coded as supervised or unsupervised exercise. Agreement between the three researchers was 91.3%.

Risk of bias and quality assessment

Risk of bias of the included RCTs was assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration's Tool for Assessing Risk of Bias¹¹⁹, which were independently conducted by a minimum of two researchers (KJM, PA, and/or DH). Discrepancies were arbitrated by another co-author (CM). Appraisal for 'other sources of bias' were evaluated with consideration for small sample size ($n < 15$), low adherence (less than 80%), cluster randomisation, and inequity in the selection of the sample.

Summary of outcomes

Outcome statistics including means (M), standard deviations (SD), and sample sizes (n) for depressive symptoms were used to calculate the mean change in the primary outcome. Test statistics (i.e., t -, F -, and p -values) were used to estimate effect sizes when descriptive statistics were unavailable. Pairwise relative (head-to-head) treatment effects for depressive symptoms were estimated using Hedges' g ¹²⁰, which corrects for overestimation biases due to small sample sizes¹²¹. Hedges' g coefficients were interpreted according to Cohen's conversions¹²², whereby effects were considered small (0.2), medium (0.5), and large (0.8). Independent subgroups (e.g., males vs. females) within studies were treated as independent effect size estimates¹²³. When individual studies reported more than one post-treatment depression score for the same group of participants, only the initial post-treatment time-point was used. When studies reported depression scores on multiple outcome measures, the included depression measure was selected in compliance with clinical applicability^{124,125}.

Secondary outcome data were also extracted for study attrition, treatment adherence, and adverse events. Pairwise relative (head-to-head) treatment effects for study attrition were reported as odds ratios based on the pre-treatment sample size versus post-treatment dropout in the treatment and comparison conditions. Treatment adherence were reported as a percentage of total attendance in, or compliance to, the treatment condition.

Adverse events were qualitatively reported according to the descriptive information provided in the transcript.

Data synthesis

One notable assumption of network meta-analysis relates to comparison group estimates, which are derived from pooling studies with homogenous between-study effect modifiers¹²⁶. If the distribution of an effect modifier is heterogeneous across studies within a specific comparison group, the assumption of transitivity can be violated. In the context of this network meta-analysis, we separate exercise conditions (i.e., aerobic, resistance, mind-body) and control conditions (i.e., wait-list, usual care, attention-control) to account for the between-study variation of these effect modifiers. Given the intricacies of depression severity, a forest plot was generated to depict the juxtaposition of treatment effects between (i) participants in the present network meta-analysis with depressive symptomology but not clinically diagnosed and (ii) participants in a previous network meta-analysis with clinical depression²³.

Risk of bias assessment identified the potential for unit-of-analysis error within studies using a cluster design for treatment allocation. Thus, sample sizes were recalculated to account for error in cluster RCTs by determining a design effect¹²⁷ with a conservative intracluster correlation coefficient of 0.05, in accordance with past studies^{45,128}. The certainty of evidence contributing to network estimates was assessed according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach¹²⁹.

Data were analysed and figures were generated using STATA/SE 15.1¹³⁰. Comparison-adjusted funnel plots were used to evaluate publication bias and small-study effects. Random-effects meta-regression was used to investigate modifying effects of age, gender, source of participants, length of intervention, exercise intensity, frequency, duration, and format of program, which have been identified as potential risks to transitivity in geriatric meta-analyses^{4,35,131}.

A multivariate random-effects meta-analysis was conducted using the 'mvmeta' command¹³². A random-effects model assumes variance both within and between studies, explaining the heterogeneity of treatment effects¹³³. A common heterogeneity parameter was assumed across comparisons. Heterogeneity was evaluated using tau-squared (τ^2), which estimates the deviation in effect sizes across the population of studies¹²³. The 95% prediction interval (PrI) was also used to estimate the true dispersion of effect within two standard deviations of the mean effect size¹²³. The significance level was $p < 0.05$ for all analyses.

Results

Study selection

The initial systematic search yielded a total of 3,704 citations. When duplicate studies were removed ($n = 1,395$), 2,309 eligible records were identified. Titles and abstracts were screened by two independent researchers (KJM and DCGB) with an agreement of 91.9%. Subsequently, 356 full-text articles were assessed for compliance with inclusion criteria and outcome data. Full-text screening was performed independently by two

researchers (KJM and PA) with an agreement of 81.8%, where discrepancies were arbitrated and resolved by consensus with a third researcher (CM). Studies using duplicate sample sets ($n = 12$) were identified, where the most informative publication with complete data being included in the quantitative analysis. Finally, 81 studies fulfilled all inclusion criteria to be included in the systematic review. In cases of missing data, authors were emailed by the first author (KJM). If authors failed to respond following two contact efforts, and there were insufficient data to calculate effect size estimates, the study was excluded from

the quantitative analysis ($n = 9$). Additionally, three studies^{60–62} fulfilled all criteria but necessitated exclusion from the quantitative analysis due to control conditions being insufficiently defined, and the authors being unobtainable. Figure 1 outlines study selection and additional exclusion criteria.

Characteristics of the studies

Data from a total of 5,379 participants (2,815 treatment and 2,564 control) across 69 studies were pooled in the quantitative analysis. Each study reported pre- and post-treatment measures

Figure 1. Flowchart of screening process.

of depression symptoms, and data from 60 of the 69 studies were obtained for post-treatment attrition. **Figure 2** illustrates the network of pairwise comparisons across all studies. Aerobic, resistance, and mind-body conditions had one or more direct comparison with each of the five comparison conditions. Direct comparisons for depressive symptoms were robustly characterised between intervention and corresponding control conditions (aerobic = 28, resistance = 22, mind-body = 32), with proportions being similar for study attrition (aerobic = 24, resistance = 21, mind-body = 25).

From the 69 included studies, 43 studies enrolled young-old samples (65–75 years) and 26 studies enrolled old-old samples (>75 years). Participants were identified as either community-dwelling ($n = 46$), living in residential care ($n = 18$), clinical ($n = 4$), or uncategorised ($n = 1$). Twelve studies enrolled participants with sedentary lifestyles, while the remainder enrolled participants with a range of active and sedentary lifestyles. Some studies recruited older adults that were either frail ($n = 5$), wheelchair bound ($n = 3$), or identified as presenting with a risk of falling ($n = 2$). Participants with age-associated comorbidities were also recruited, including cardiovascular problems ($n = 6$), dementia ($n = 3$), cognitive impairment ($n = 3$), cancer ($n = 2$), and other chronic illness ($n = 6$).

From 79 independent exercise interventions, the majority were supervised in group exercise classes ($n = 64$). The remainder were either individually supervised ($n = 5$), unsupervised in an individual format ($n = 2$), supervised in an undisclosed format

($n = 1$), or entirely undisclosed ($n = 1$). The average exercise program length (weeks) was shorter for mind-body ($M = 13.67$, $SD = 6.77$) than aerobic ($M = 19.76$, $SD = 14.44$) or resistance ($M = 18.00$, $SD = 9.07$). Average weekly exercise minutes (calculated as frequency multiplied by duration) were calculated for aerobic ($M = 107.78$, $SD = 40.88$), resistance ($M = 126.90$, $SD = 50.28$), and mind-body exercise ($M = 132.75$, $SD = 76.07$). Treatment adherence ($n = 44$) was comparable between aerobic ($M = 81.01$, $SD = 13.72$), resistance ($M = 81.17$, $SD = 9.00$), and mind-body exercise ($M = 79.34$, $SD = 14.73$). Adverse events were observed during several of the included trials, which are presented in **Table 2**.

Risk of bias and quality assessment

Risk of bias for each study is presented comprehensively as *Extended data* (see **Figure S1**). Both blinding of participants and personnel to treatment was implausible due to the implicit nature of exercise training interventions, and thus, the remaining six criteria were used to assess the overall risk of bias within each study. Methodological quality of included studies can be considered low-to-moderate ($M = 4.08/6$, where low = 1, unclear = 0.5, high = 0). Assessment of random sequence generation (selection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), and selective outcome reporting (reporting bias) may be considered adequate for most studies, whereas allocation concealment (selection bias) and blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) were diverse. High 'other sources of bias' was due to low study adherence ($n = 14$), small sample sizes ($n = 8$), or both ($n = 1$). See **Figure 3** for a summary of the risk of bias in the included studies.

Figure 2. Network plot of comparisons for all studies included in the network meta-analysis. Line width is proportional to the number of pairwise effect size estimates and node size is proportional to the number of participants.

Table 2. Adverse events from included studies.

Exercise group	Study	Adverse event(s)
Aerobic	Kupecz (2001) ⁷⁹	Minor medical attention ($n = 2$)
	Oken (2006) ⁹¹	Hip pain ($n = 1$)
Resistance	Ansai (2015) ³⁹	Mild muscle pain ($n = 9$)
	Chin A Paw (2004) ⁵²	Program was too intensive ($n = 8$)
	Clegg (2014) ⁵⁴	Fell at least once ($n = 7$)
Mind-body	Cramer (2016) ⁵⁷	Muscle soreness ($n = 3$), abdominal pain ($n = 1$), neck pain ($n = 1$), minor vertigo ($n = 1$), hip pain ($n = 1$)
	Eyre (2017) ⁶⁴	Dizziness ($n = 1$)
	Oken (2006) ⁹¹	Groin muscle strain ($n = 1$)

Figure 3. Risk of bias chart of studies included in the quantitative analysis.

Assessment of inconsistency

Inconsistency network models were used to test the global consistency of direct and indirect effects of pairwise and multi-arm comparisons. Assumption of consistency was satisfied for each treatment ($p > 0.05$). Tests for inconsistency between direct and indirect estimates were not significant ($p > 0.05$), thus indirect and direct estimates were not different to direct evidence. Inconsistency tables can be found as *Extended data* (see *Tables S1* and *S2*).

Loop-specific heterogeneity was explored using inconsistency plots (see *Extended data*, *Figure S7* and *S8*). Within the depressive symptoms network, inconsistency factors (IF) did not indicate high inconsistency ($IF = 0.00$ to 0.65) or loop-specific heterogeneity ($\tau^2 = 0.05$ to 0.28). The AER-MB-UC loop departed from the minimum lower-bound confidence interval (CI), yet fell short of presenting risk for heterogeneity ($IF = 0.54$, $\tau^2 = 0.05$, $CI = 0.04$, 1.03). Within the attrition network, the

ratio of two odds ratios (RoR) indicated a high degree of inconsistency for the AER-RES-UC ($RoR = 1.87$) and MB-RES-UC ($RoR = 1.77$) loops. Each were interpreted as presenting elevated risk for heterogeneity, which were subsequently downgraded during GRADE assessment. All remaining loops satisfied assumption of consistency.

Publication bias and sensitivity analyses

Comparison-adjusted funnel plots were used to detect publication bias and small-study effects. Funnel plots were roughly symmetrical for both depressive symptoms and attrition, indicative of low risk of publication bias and no presence of small-study effects. See *Figure 4* for the depressive symptoms network and *Extended data* for the attrition network (see *Figure S9*).

In order to test transitivity across networks, potential effect modifiers were tested with meta-regression sensitivity analyses for the entire pool of studies and separately for each exercise

Figure 4. Comparison-adjusted funnel plot for the depressive symptoms network. The red vertical line represents the null hypothesis that independent effect size estimates are not different to comparison-specific pooled estimates. AC = attention-control; AER = aerobic; MB = mind-body; RES = resistance; UC = usual care; WL = wait-list.

comparison (aerobic vs. resistance vs. mind-body). No significant modifying effects were observed for the pool of studies or any separate exercise comparison for age, gender, source of participants, length of intervention, format of program, exercise intensity, frequency, duration, adherence, year of study, risk of bias, publication status, intention-to-treat analysis, nor cluster design, indicating that the assumption of transitivity was upheld. Full analyses are presented as *Extended data* (see *Tables S6–S8*).

Results of the network meta-analysis

Data pooled from 69 eligible studies provided a total of 88 individual comparisons for depressive symptoms and 76 individual comparisons for study attrition. *Table 3* presents the network meta-analysis of depressive symptoms and attrition. Network estimates were calculated to establish relative effectiveness between pairs of comparisons.

Each exercise type effectively reduced depressive symptoms compared with control conditions (see *Figure 5*). Ranking of treatments for depressive symptoms are presented on SUCRA plots of ranked mean values, which can be found as *Extended data* (see *Figure S11* and *Table S3*). Ranked order of quantitative values

determined mind-body exercise to be the most effective type of exercise to mitigate depressive symptoms, followed closely by resistance and aerobic exercise, respectively. The magnitude of study effect did not reach statistical threshold to favour any individual exercise treatment.

Resistance exercise demonstrated the highest study compliance compared with each of the other comparison groups, but the dispersion of effect estimates presented a level of heterogeneity that confounded any substantive differences. Comprehensive reporting of study attrition can be found as *Extended data* (see *Figure S10*) in addition to SUCRA plots and ranked order (see *Figure S12* and *Table S4*).

Effectiveness vs. attrition

A two-dimensional clustered ranking plot was employed to illustrate the average reduction in depressive symptoms for each comparison, relative to average attrition rate. *Figure 6* presents the ranking of the exercise conditions with respective control conditions in conjunction with SUCRA values for depressive symptoms (effectiveness) and attrition. The three exercise conditions amalgamated a single cluster and were more effective than control conditions.

Table 3. League table for head-to-head comparisons.

Wait-list	-0.11 (-0.40, 0.17)	-0.45 (-0.77, -0.13)	-0.51 (-0.74, -0.27)	-0.39 (-0.63, -0.14)	-0.12 (-0.41, 0.18)
1.10 (0.48, 2.48)	Usual care	-0.34 (-0.57, -0.10)	-0.39 (-0.62, -0.16)	-0.27 (-0.51, -0.04)	-0.00 (-0.27, 0.27)
0.74 (0.30, 1.83)	0.68 (0.37, 1.26)	Resistance	-0.06 (-0.33, 0.22)	0.06 (-0.22, 0.35)	0.33 (0.05, 0.61)
1.00 (0.50, 2.01)	0.92 (0.47, 1.77)	1.35 (0.61, 2.99)	Mind-body	0.12 (-0.12, 0.35)	0.39 (0.15, 0.63)
1.03 (0.50, 2.14)	0.94 (0.48, 1.84)	1.39 (0.62, 3.10)	1.03 (0.51, 2.07)	Aerobic	0.27 (0.02, 0.52)
1.03 (0.41, 2.57)	0.94 (0.41, 2.12)	1.38 (0.60, 3.19)	1.02 (0.47, 2.23)	1.00 (0.46, 2.15)	Attention-control

Note. Depressive symptoms (upper) are reported as Hedges' *g* and 95% confidence intervals. Negative scores indicate a greater decrease in depressive symptoms for the column group. Attrition (lower) is reported as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. An odds ratio lower than 1 indicate a greater attrition for the column-defining comparison.

Figure 5. Predictive interval plot for the depressive symptoms network. Black diamonds represent the difference in the effect size estimate (Hedges' *g*). Narrow horizontal lines represent the confidence intervals (CI) and the wider horizontal lines represent the prediction intervals (PrI). The blue vertical line represents the null hypothesis (Hedges' *g* = 0). Negative scores indicate a greater decrease in depressive symptoms for the comparison (left) group. AC = attention-control; AER = aerobic; MB = mind-body; RES = resistance; UC = usual care; WL = wait-list.

Figure 6. Clustered ranking plot of the network presenting clustered analysis of SUCRA values for depressive symptoms (effectiveness) and attrition outcomes. Each colour represents a group of treatments that belong to the same cluster. Treatments in the upper right corner represent effective treatments with low attrition.

GRADE assessment

The certainty of evidence was assessed with the GRADE approach¹²⁹. All control comparisons in the depressive symptoms network were rated as high or moderate certainty, which were downgraded due to small sample size or potential risks of bias (i.e., attrition bias, detection bias, or bias resulting from low adherence). Comparisons between exercise interventions were moderate-to-low certainty, resulting from imprecision in confidence intervals and small sample sizes. Detailed summary of the depressive symptoms network is presented in Table 4. Estimates of the attrition network were downgraded due to inconsistency and imprecision, which reflect moderate to very low confidence this outcome (see *Extended data, Table S5*).

Generalisation for all adults aged ≥ 65 years

Figure 7 presents collective representation for all adults aged ≥ 65 years. This comparison employs scaled distribution (Hedges' g) for the present data and that of clinically depressed older adults²³.

Discussion

The present review offers new information for general exercise prescription to support mental health outcomes for adults aged ≥ 65 years. Specifically, (i) aerobic, resistance, and mind-body each demonstrate equivalent benefit to mitigate symptoms of depression in adults aged ≥ 65 years, (ii) compliance to exercise treatment is notably encouraging for each exercise types, and (iii) when combined with the pool of data from clinically

depressed older adults²³, the effectiveness of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise is comparably consistent for all adults aged ≥ 65 years, irrespective of depression severity. These findings should provide reassurance for personnel and stakeholders in healthy ageing to encourage exercise prescription from a point of pragmatism and in collaboration with patient preference.

Theoretical implications for the current findings

Behavioural and physiological research^{24,25,27,28} coalesce to provide ample reasoning to separate participant cohorts with existing clinical depression from those without diagnosis. This study is no different. In agreement with findings from previous research^{13,14,35}, aerobic and resistance exercise demonstrated similar treatment effectiveness in older samples aged ≥ 65 years (Hedges' $g = -0.06$, $PrI = -0.91, 0.79$). Exercise characteristics (i.e., intensity, frequency, duration, etc.) are often similar between aerobic and resistance exercise, representing two sides of the same coin. Meta-analytical data¹⁵ on older adults with existing clinical depression observed that exercise programs incorporating a combination of aerobic and resistance training were most beneficial. Although the synergistic effects of combined exercise types were beyond the scope of the current review, it is conceivable that aerobic and resistance exercise may complement one another in an exercise intervention.

Pooled direct and indirect estimates marginally favoured treatment with mind-body exercise over either aerobic

Table 4. Summary of GRADE assessment for the certainty in depressive symptoms estimates.

Comparison Effect	Number of participants	Number of direct comparisons	Nature of evidence	Certainty	Reason for downgrading
Aerobic vs. wait-list	371 vs. 357	11	Mixed	High	None
Aerobic vs. usual care	309 vs. 310	9	Mixed	Moderate	Risk of bias ^a
Aerobic vs. attention-control	431 vs. 472	8	Mixed	High	None
Resistance vs. wait-list	43 vs. 42	2	Mixed	Moderate	Imprecision ^b
Resistance vs. usual care	358 vs. 272	15	Mixed	High	None
Resistance vs. attention-control	267 vs. 274	5	Mixed	Moderate	Risk of bias ^c
Mind-body vs. wait-list	380 vs. 363	12	Mixed	High	None
Mind-body vs. usual care	358 vs. 356	10	Mixed	Moderate	Risk of bias ^d
Mind-body vs. attention-control	298 vs. 265	10	Mixed	High	None
Aerobic vs. resistance	24 vs. 23	1	Mixed	Low	Imprecision ^{be}
Aerobic vs. mind-body	90 vs. 83	4	Mixed	Moderate	Imprecision ^e
Resistance vs. mind-body	33 vs. 33	1	Mixed	Low	Imprecision ^{be}

^aPotential attrition bias due to high number of studies with incomplete outcome data.

^bSmall sample size.

^cPotential risk of bias due to high number of studies with low adherence.

^dPotential detection bias due to high number of studies without blinding of outcome assessment.

^eConfidence intervals include values favouring either treatment.

(Hedges' $g = -0.12$, $PrI = -0.95$, 0.72) or resistance exercise (Hedges' $g = -0.06$, $PrI = -0.90$, 0.79). However, it must be noted that the magnitude of effect falls short of being statistically different between groups and should be considered equivalent. Certainty of evidence is moderate, due to the dispersion in effect size estimates resulting in imprecision. Direct comparisons from multi-arm RCTs have offered mind-body exercise to be more effective than aerobic⁹¹ or resistance⁴⁴ exercise. Moreover, subgroup analyses¹⁶ have indicated that clinically depressed older adults respond more favourably to mind-body exercise, but this hypothesis has not been substantiated⁴.

Since mind-body exercise engages low intensity muscular activity (i.e., yoga, Tai Chi, qigong), the novel evidence in the current systematic analysis challenge the idea that intensity is the primary mechanism for the antidepressive effect of exercise. Rather, mind-body exercise combines the mental and physical aspects of exercise, which may result in similar antidepressive effects to higher intensity exercise^{134,135}. Critical to these mental aspects is interoceptive sensations such as an internally directed focus on breathing and proprioception, which have previously been linked to the resilience of depressive states^{136,137}. Thus, it is plausible that mind-body exercise allows older adults to regulate negative mood states, which is not normally possible during aerobic and resistance activities.

Other important determinants of successful programming include study attrition, adherence rate, and adverse events. Here, we hypothesised that each exercise condition would demonstrate lower compliance to treatment than wait-list, usual care, and attention-control comparisons. Contrary to expectations, pooled direct and indirect estimates indicated that study attrition was comparable for all comparisons apart from resistance exercise, which offered a higher degree of compliance. However, on deeper scrutiny of absolute sample size, any differences observed in attrition become abrogated due to relatively smaller participant numbers within the resistance exercise studies ($n = 705$) compared with aerobic ($n = 1,143$) or mind-body ($n = 1,005$). Thus, in consideration of the wide dispersion in effect size estimates and a moderate risk of bias in individual studies, there are limitations in the certainty to confidently conclude substantive difference in study attrition between any comparisons.

Each of the three types of exercise had similar adherence rates and time spent per week (calculated as frequency multiplied by duration). Interestingly, mind-body exercise had relatively shorter intervention length than either aerobic or resistance exercise ($M_{diff} = 6.09$ and 4.33 weeks, respectively) despite having a greater reduction in depressive symptoms. This could be explained by (i) mind-body exercise having the same antidepressive effects in a shorter time than aerobic and resistance exercise, or (ii) a potential

Figure 7. Forest plot depicting juxtaposed collective RCT evidence of older adults ($n = 5,975$) in the depressive symptoms network. Circles represent the difference in the effect size estimate (Hedges' g) for those with depressive symptomatology but not diagnosed with clinical depression ($n = 5,379$). Triangles represent the difference in the effect size estimate (Hedges' g) for those with clinical depression ($n = 596$; effect sizes adapted from Miller *et al.*, 2020). Horizontal lines represent the confidence intervals (CI). The dotted vertical line represents the null hypothesis (Hedges' $g = 0$). Negative scores indicate a greater decrease in depressive symptoms for the left group, and vice versa.

plateau effect whereby the antidepressive effects reach a maximum threshold during the first 10–15 weeks of an exercise program and are then maintained during the remaining weeks. Either way, it seems plausible that mind-body exercise provides a slightly more effective intervention against depressive symptoms in older populations without clinical depression, but that these treatment effects are not substantive enough to constitute statistical difference.

Practical considerations

With consideration to projected population estimates over the next decade and the consequential demand on healthcare services^{1,8}, the findings from this network meta-analysis offer a message of support for exercise prescription to promote mentally healthy ageing. When considering the collective findings of the present review in conjunction with the recent network

meta-analysis in clinically depressed adults aged ≥ 65 years²³, stakeholders in healthy ageing and exercise prescription have encouraging pooled RCT evidence for the antidepressant effects of either aerobic, resistance, or mind-body exercise for older adults across the mental health continuum.

Treatment safety is a matter of ongoing importance in gerontological health, and exercise treatment programs are no different. Systematic scrutiny of the included RCTs found that study participants reported no major adverse events and only a few minor somatic complaints ($n = 28$). Taken together, this provides encouraging support for personnel wanting to safely prescribe exercise-based intervention programs in older populations. Of course, there is always a possibility of underreporting adverse events in clinical trials, and the present review was no exception. Therefore, the importance of reporting event outcomes, adverse

or otherwise, cannot be understated. In fact, there is a known phenomenon in geriatric exercise research whereby adverse events are often underreported because authors do not consider minor adverse events to be noteworthy and/or essential to the primary purpose of the trial¹³⁸, giving rise to an ongoing issue that will not be corrected until all studies routinely report event outcomes.

Nevertheless, participants engaging in aerobic exercise reported the least adverse events ($n = 3$), including minor medical attention and hip pain. Amongst studies included in this meta-analysis, aerobic exercise predominantly involved walking and stationary cycling, which may reflect a safe and natural form of exercise for older adults. Resistance exercise was typically associated with participants experiencing mild muscular pain and falls ($n = 16$), which may be explained by the progressive overloading of resistance-based training. Notably, incidents of falling were reported in an unsupervised exercise program. Finally, mind-body exercise was typically associated with different types of muscular pain and body strain ($n = 9$). It is speculated that the higher rates of injury in mind-body exercise are predominantly because it incorporates flexibility, balance, and stability movements, which may be unique to older bodies. In general, exercise seems to be a relatively safe intervention for older adults living in both the local community and residential aged care, although intensity and supervision, particularly for resistance training, should be monitored to ensure falls and injury do not occur.

The present review has some notable advantages above a traditional pairwise meta-analysis. RCTs with considerable non-exercising components, such as those using a multicomponent exercise intervention, were excluded because they may have overestimated the magnitude of the true effect in past reviews. Specifically, it is likely that multicomponent exercise interventions such as laughter therapy¹³⁹, depression awareness training¹²⁸, or self-efficacy training¹⁴⁰ may have introduced a risk of bias by inflating the observed effectiveness of the exercise program on depressive symptoms through a secondary, complementary treatment effect. Pairwise meta-analysis also assumes that all control groups are the same, which is not always the case¹⁸. To manage heterogeneity from this assumption, control groups were separated into individual network comparisons. Taken together, the current findings provide a more accurate estimate of the true effects of exercise on depressive symptoms in adults aged ≥ 65 years.

Limitations and future directions

The present network meta-analysis is not without limitations. As study participants and personnel cannot be successfully blinded, there is an inherent risk of performance bias. It is also believed that many exercise-based interventions have a small number of participants, shorter follow-up, and do not adequately conceal randomisation¹⁴¹, which are all likely to reduce the quality of RCTs and increase the risk of bias. However, we mitigated the impact of this by comparing relative effects with multiple control groups in order to increase reliability and specificity.

This, combined with the relatively low risk of bias in individual RCTs, were extremely important in minimising overall risk of bias and achieving accurate effect comparisons in the present review.

Since most RCTs did not explicitly describe the exclusion of participants with ongoing diagnosis of clinical depression, there was potential contamination with data from participants with existing clinical diagnosis and medical treatment that went unreported. This was primarily managed by separating (i) participants with depressive symptomology but not clinically diagnosed in the present review from (ii) participants with clinical depression in a previous network meta-analysis²³. Within this review, we further mitigated this effect modifier by only including RCTs, where this risk would be balanced by control participants. We recommended that ageing researchers encourage the reporting of all ongoing pharmacological regimens in trials recruiting older participants.

Although modifying effects were explored using meta-regression, potential compounding effects from exercise modifiers (e.g., fitness improvements, length of program, session frequency and duration, exercise intensity, supervision, group format) were outside the scope of our network meta-analysis. There has been a modicum of such exploration in subgroup and meta-regression analyses of previous reviews^{4,16,35}, providing researchers with an encouraging opportunity in their planning of future similar work. Future meta-analyses with extensive subgroup analyses should explain the heterogeneity of effect sizes between similar exercise intervention studies in older persons.

Conclusions

Pooled RCT evidence highlights that each individual exercise mode (aerobic, resistance, and mind-body) demonstrate equivalence to mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults, irrespective of depression severity. As each exercise treatment demonstrated encouraging levels of treatment compliance, we endorse personnel and stakeholders in healthy ageing to encourage individual/patient preference when prescribing exercise to older adults ≥ 65 years presenting with depressive symptomology.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise are equivalent to mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (extended data). <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v2>³².

This project contains the following underlying data:

- Data File D1 (PDF file containing raw outcome data)
- STATA/SE 15.1 files (DTA files containing raw data)

Extended data

Figshare: Aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise are equivalent to mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults: A

systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (extended data). <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v2>³².

This project contains the following extended data:

- Supplementary File S1 (PDF file containing additional information, tables, and figures not in the main manuscript)

Reporting guidelines

Figshare: PRISMA-NMA checklist for “Aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise are equivalent to mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults: A systematic review and network

meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (extended data). <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v2>³²

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

Acknowledgments

The authors graciously acknowledge the skilled contributions of Dr. Beyon Miloyan for his technical guidance, thoughtful discussions, and expert critique of the present work.

References

- United Nations DoEaSA, Population Division: **World Population Prospects 2019**. Online Edition. Rev. 1. 2019.
[Reference Source](#)
- Tari AR, Nauman J, Zisko N, *et al.*: **Temporal changes in cardiorespiratory fitness and risk of dementia incidence and mortality: a population-based prospective cohort study**. *Lancet Public Health*. 2019; **4**(11): e565–e74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Murri MB, Ekkekakis P, Menchetti M, *et al.*: **Physical exercise for late-life depression: Effects on symptom dimensions and time course**. *J Affect Disord*. 2018; **230**: 65–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Rhyner KT, Watts A: **Exercise and Depressive Symptoms in Older Adults: A Systematic Meta-Analytic Review**. *J Aging Phys Act*. 2016; **24**(2): 234–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Barua A, Ghosh MK, Kar N, *et al.*: **Prevalence of depressive disorders in the elderly**. *Ann Saudi Med*. 2011; **31**(6): 620–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Luppa M, Sikorski C, Luck T, *et al.*: **Age- and gender-specific prevalence of depression in latest-life-systematic review and meta-analysis**. *J Affect Disord*. 2012; **136**(3): 212–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Volkert J, Schulz H, Härter M, *et al.*: **The prevalence of mental disorders in older people in Western countries - A meta-analysis**. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2013; **12**(1): 339–53.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, *et al.*: **Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017**. *Lancet*. 2018; **392**(10159): 1789–858.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- American Psychiatric Association: **Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with Major Depressive Disorder**. 3 ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2010.
[Reference Source](#)
- Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Hallgren M, *et al.*: **EPA guidance on physical activity as a treatment for severe mental illness: A meta-review of the evidence and Position Statement from the European Psychiatric Association (EPA), supported by the International Organization of Physical Therapists in Mental Health (IOPTMH)**. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2018; **54**: 124–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- World Health Organization: **Global recommendations on physical activity for health**. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2010.
[Reference Source](#)
- Knowles AM, Herbert P, Easton C, *et al.*: **Impact of low-volume, high-intensity interval training on maximal aerobic capacity, health-related quality of life and motivation to exercise in ageing men**. *Age (Dordr)*. 2015; **37**(2): 25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Martins R, Silva MCE, Pindus D, *et al.*: **Effects of strength and aerobic-based training on functional fitness, mood and the relationship between fatness and mood in older adults**. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 2011; **51**(3): 489–96.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Penninx BWJH, Rejeski WJ, Pandya J, *et al.*: **Exercise and depressive symptoms: A comparison of aerobic and resistance exercise effects on emotional and physical function in older persons with high and low depressive symptomatology**. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2002; **57**(2): P124–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bridle C, Spanjers K, Patel S, *et al.*: **Effect of exercise on depression severity in older people: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials**. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2012; **201**(3): 180–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Heinzel S, Lawrence JB, Kallies G, *et al.*: **Using exercise to fight depression in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis**. *GeroPsych*. 2015; **28**(4): 149–62.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bucher HC, Guyatt GH, Griffith LE, *et al.*: **The results of direct and indirect treatment comparisons in meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials**. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1997; **50**(6): 683–91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Pagoto SL, McDermott MM, Reed G, *et al.*: **Can attention control conditions have detrimental effects on behavioral medicine randomized trials?** *Psychosom Med*. 2013; **75**(2): 137–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Caldwell DM, Ades AE, Higgins JPT: **Simultaneous comparison of multiple treatments: Combining direct and indirect evidence**. *BMJ*. 2005; **331**(7521): 897–900.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Salanti G, Higgins JPT, Ades AE, *et al.*: **Evaluation of networks of randomized trials**. *Stat Methods Med Res*. 2008; **17**(3): 279–301.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- National Health Lung and Blood Institute: **Your guide to physical activity and your heart**. (NIH Publication No. 06–5714). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2006.
[Reference Source](#)
- US Department of Health and Human Services: **Physical activity guidelines for Americans**. 2 ed. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2018.
[Reference Source](#)
- Miller KJ, Gonçalves-Bradley DC, Areerob P, *et al.*: **Comparative effectiveness of three exercise types to treat clinical depression in older adults: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials**. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2020; **58**: 100999.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Jesulola E, Micalos P, Baguley IJ: **Understanding the pathophysiology of depression: From monoamines to the neurogenesis hypothesis model - are we there yet?** *Behav Brain Res*. 2018; **341**: 79–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Klein DN, Kotov R: **Course of depression in a 10-year prospective study: Evidence for qualitatively distinct subgroups**. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2016; **125**(3): 337–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Lee YY, Stockings EA, Harris MG, *et al.*: **The risk of developing major depression among individuals with subthreshold depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies**. *Psychol Med*. 2019; **49**(1): 92–102.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Solomon A, Haaga DA, Arnow BA: **Is clinical depression distinct from subthreshold depressive symptoms? A review of the continuity issue in depression research**. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2001; **189**(8): 498–506.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Ruscio AM: **Normal Versus Pathological Mood: Implications for Diagnosis**. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2019; **15**: 179–205.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

29. Hutton B, Salanti G, Caldwell DM, *et al.*: **The PRISMA extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses of health care interventions: Checklist and explanations.** *Ann Intern Med.* 2015; **162**(11): 777–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. **Cochrane Methods: Cochrane intervention review that compares multiple interventions.** 2014.
[Reference Source](#)
31. Shenkin SD, Harrison JK, Wilkinson T, *et al.*: **Systematic reviews: Guidance relevant for studies of older people.** *Age Ageing.* 2017; **46**(5): 722–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
32. Miller K, Areerob P, Hennessy D, *et al.*: **Aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise are equivalent to mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials (extended data).** 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v2>
33. Blake H, Mo P, Malik S, *et al.*: **How effective are physical activity interventions for alleviating depressive symptoms in older people? A systematic review.** *Clin Rehabil.* 2009; **23**(10): 873–87.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
34. Mura G, Carta MG: **Physical activity in depressed elderly. A systematic review.** *Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health.* 2013; **9**: 125–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
35. Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Rosenbaum S, *et al.*: **Exercise for depression in older adults: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials adjusting for publication bias.** *Braz J Psychiatry.* 2016; **38**(3): 247–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
36. Sjostrom N, Kivela SL: **The effects of physical exercise on depressive symptoms among the aged: A systematic review.** *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2006; **21**(5): 410–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Adler PA: **The effects of Tai Chi on pain and function in older adults with osteoarthritis.** Case Western Reserve University; 2007.
[Reference Source](#)
38. Angeles MAV, Jimenez JM, Sanchez JG, *et al.*: **Effects of a Pilates-based exercise program on mood states in older adults in Mexico.** *Retos-Nuevas Tendencias En Educacion Fisica Deporte Y Recreacion.* 2016; (30): 106–9.
[Reference Source](#)
39. Ansai JH, Rebelatto JR: **Effect of two physical exercise protocols on cognition and depressive symptoms in oldest-old people: A randomized controlled trial.** *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* 2015; **15**(9): 1127–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
40. Antunes HKM, Stella SG, Santos RF, *et al.*: **Depression, anxiety and quality of life scores in seniors after an endurance exercise program.** *Braz J Psychiatry.* 2005; **27**(4): 266–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
41. Bernard P, Ninot G, Bernard PL, *et al.*: **Effects of a six-month walking intervention on depression in inactive post-menopausal women: a randomized controlled trial.** *Aging Ment Health.* 2015; **19**(6): 485–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
42. Bethany KH: **The effects of selected exercise modalities on stress, anxiety, and depression responses in the elderly [Thesis].** 2005.
[Reference Source](#)
43. Blumenthal JA, Emery CF, Madden DJ, *et al.*: **Long-term effects of exercise on psychological functioning in older men and women.** *J Gerontol.* 1991; **46**(6): P352–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
44. Bonura KB, Tenenbaum G: **Effects of yoga on psychological health in older adults.** *J Phys Act Health.* 2014; **11**(7): 1334–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
45. Bostrom G, Conradsson M, Hornsten C, *et al.*: **Effects of a high-intensity functional exercise program on depressive symptoms among people with dementia in residential care: A randomized controlled trial.** *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2016; **31**(8): 868–78.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
46. Bouaziz W, Schmitt E, Vogel T, *et al.*: **Effects of a short-term Interval Aerobic Training Programme with active Recovery bouts (IATP-R) on cognitive and mental health, functional performance and quality of life: A randomised controlled trial in sedentary seniors.** *Int J Clin Pract.* 2019; **73**(1): e13219.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
47. Brown AK, Liu-Ambrose T, Tate R, *et al.*: **The effect of group-based exercise on cognitive performance and mood in seniors residing in intermediate care and self-care retirement facilities: a randomised controlled trial.** *Br J Sports Med.* 2009; **43**(8): 608–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
48. Cancela JM, Ayán C, Varela S, *et al.*: **Effects of a long-term aerobic exercise intervention on institutionalized patients with dementia.** *J Sci Med Sport.* 2016; **19**(4): 293–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
49. Chen KM, Chen MH, Chao HC, *et al.*: **Sleep quality, depression state, and health status of older adults after silver yoga exercises: cluster randomized trial.** *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2009; **46**(2): 154–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
50. Chen KM, Huang HT, Cheng YY, *et al.*: **Sleep quality and depression of nursing home older adults in wheelchairs after exercises.** *Nurs Outlook.* 2015; **63**(3): 357–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
51. Chen KM, Kuo CC, Chang YH, *et al.*: **Resistance Band Exercises Reduce Depression and Behavioral Problems of Wheelchair-Bound Older Adults with Dementia: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial.** *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2017; **65**(2): 356–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
52. Paw MJMCA, van Poppel MNM, Twisk JWR, *et al.*: **Effects of resistance and all-round, functional training on quality of life, vitality and depression of older adults living in long-term care facilities: a 'randomized' controlled trial [ISRCTN87177281].** *BMC Geriatr.* 2004; **4**: 5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
53. Choi MJ, Sohng KY: **The Effects of Floor-seated Exercise Program on Physical Fitness, Depression, and Sleep in Older Adults: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial.** *Int J Gerontol.* 2018; **12**(2): 116–21.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
54. Clegg A, Barber S, Young J, *et al.*: **The Home-based Older People's Exercise (HOPE) trial: a pilot randomised controlled trial of a home-based exercise intervention for older people with frailty.** *Age Ageing.* 2014; **43**(5): 687–95.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
55. Collier CD: **Isotonic resistance training related to functional fitness, physical self-efficacy, and depression in adults ages 65-85.** US: ProQuest Information & Learning; 1998.
[Reference Source](#)
56. Conradsson M, Littbrand H, Lindelof N, *et al.*: **Effects of a high-intensity functional exercise programme on depressive symptoms and psychological well-being among older people living in residential care facilities: A cluster-randomized controlled trial.** *Aging Ment Health.* 2010; **14**(5): 565–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
57. Cramer H, Pokhrel B, Fester C, *et al.*: **A randomized controlled bicenter trial of yoga for patients with colorectal cancer.** *Psychooncology.* 2016; **25**(4): 412–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
58. de Lima TA, Ferreira-Moraes R, Alves W, *et al.*: **Resistance training reduces depressive symptoms in elderly people with Parkinson disease: A controlled randomized study.** *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2019; **29**(12): 1957–1957.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
59. Donesky-Cuenca D, Nguyen HQ, Paul S, *et al.*: **Yoga therapy decreases dyspnea-related distress and improves functional performance in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a pilot study.** *J Altern Complement Med.* 2009; **15**(3): 225–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
60. Dong L, Lee JB, Kim YK, *et al.*: **The effects of health Qigong training of elderly single women on pain consciousness and depression.** *International Journal of Applied Sports Sciences.* 2013; **25**(2): 118–26.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
61. Dorner T, Kranz A, Zettl-Wiedner K, *et al.*: **The effect of structured strength and balance training on cognitive function in frail, cognitive impaired elderly long-term care residents.** *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2007; **19**(5): 400–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
62. Emery CF, Gatz M: **Psychological and cognitive effects of an exercise program for community-residing older adults.** *Gerontologist.* 1990; **30**(2): 184–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
63. Eyigor S, Karapolat H, Durmaz B, *et al.*: **A randomized controlled trial of Turkish folklore dance on the physical performance, balance, depression and quality of life in older women.** *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2009; **48**(1): 84–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
64. Eyre HA, Siddarth P, Acevedo B, *et al.*: **A randomized controlled trial of Kundalini yoga in mild cognitive impairment.** *Int Psychogeriatr.* 2017; **29**(4): 557–67.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
65. Fakhari M: **Effects of Tai Chi exercise on depression in older adults: A randomized controlled trial.** *Bali Medical Journal.* 2017; **6**(3): 679–83.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
66. Franssen M, Nairn L, Winstanley J, *et al.*: **Physical activity for osteoarthritis management: A randomized controlled clinical trial evaluating hydrotherapy or Tai Chi classes.** *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007; **57**(3): 407–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
67. Frye B, Scheinthal S, Kemarskaya T, *et al.*: **Tai chi and low impact exercise: Effects on the physical functioning and psychological well-being of older people.** *J Appl Gerontol.* 2007; **26**(5): 433–53.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
68. Gary RA, Sueta CA, Dougherty M, *et al.*: **Home-based exercise improves functional performance and quality of life in women with diastolic heart failure.** *Heart Lung.* 2004; **33**(4): 210–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
69. Gusi N, Reyes MC, Gonzalez-Guerrero JL, *et al.*: **Cost-utility of a walking programme for moderately depressed, obese, or overweight elderly women in primary care: A randomised controlled trial.** *BMC Public Health.*

- 2008; **8**: 231.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
70. Hars M, Herrmann FR, Gold G, *et al.*: **Effect of music-based multitask training on cognition and mood in older adults.** *Age Ageing*. 2014; **43**(2): 196–200.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 71. Hsu CY, Moyle W, Cooke M, *et al.*: **Seated Tai Chi versus usual activities in older people using wheelchairs: A randomized controlled trial.** *Complement Ther Med*. 2016; **24**: 1–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 72. Irwin MR, Olmstead R: **Mitigating cellular inflammation in older adults: A randomized controlled trial of Tai Chi Chih.** *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2012; **20**(9): 764–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 73. Irwin MR, Olmstead R, Carrillo C, *et al.*: **Cognitive behavioral therapy vs. Tai Chi for late life insomnia and inflammatory risk: A randomized controlled comparative efficacy trial.** *Sleep*. 2014; **37**(9): 1543–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 74. Kekalainen T, Kokko K, Sipilä S, *et al.*: **Effects of a 9-month resistance training intervention on quality of life, sense of coherence, and depressive symptoms in older adults: Randomized controlled trial.** *Qual Life Res*. 2018; **27**(2): 455–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 75. Kim YS, O'Sullivan DM, Shin SK: **Can 24 weeks strength training reduce feelings of depression and increase neurotransmitter in elderly females?** *Exp Gerontol*. 2019; **115**: 62–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 76. Kohut ML, Lee W, Martin A, *et al.*: **The exercise-induced enhancement of influenza immunity is mediated in part by improvements in psychosocial factors in older adults.** *Brain Behav Immun*. 2005; **19**(4): 357–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 77. Krishnamurthy MN, Telles S: **Assessing depression following two ancient Indian interventions: Effects of yoga and ayurveda on older adults in a residential home.** *J Gerontol Nurs*. 2007; **33**(2): 17–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 78. Kuo MC, Chen CM, Jeng C: **A Randomized Controlled Trial of the Prescribed Stepper Walking Program in Preventing Frailty Among the Dwelling Elderly Application of Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment.** *Top Geriatr Rehabil*. 2018; **34**(3): 223–33.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 79. Kupez DB: **Effects of a structured exercise program in older veteran patients: University of Northern Colorado**, 2001.
 80. Li F, Duncan TE, Duncan SC, *et al.*: **Enhancing the psychological well-being of elderly individuals through Tai Chi exercise: A latent growth curve analysis.** *Struct Equ Modeling*. 2001; **8**(1): 53–83.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 81. Lin MR, Wolf SL, Hwang HF, *et al.*: **A randomized, controlled trial of fall prevention programs and quality of life in older fallers.** *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2007; **55**(4): 499–506.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 82. Lincoln AK, Shepherd A, Johnson PL, *et al.*: **The impact of resistance exercise training on the mental health of older Puerto Rican adults with type 2 diabetes.** *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2011; **66**(5): 567–70.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 83. Ma C, Zhou W, Tang Q, *et al.*: **The impact of group-based Tai chi on health-status outcomes among community-dwelling older adults with hypertension.** *Heart Lung*. 2018; **47**(4): 337–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 84. Maki Y, Ura C, Yamaguchi T, *et al.*: **Effects of intervention using a community-based walking program for prevention of mental decline: A randomized controlled trial.** *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2012; **60**(3): 505–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 85. Martinez N, Martorell C, Espinosa L, *et al.*: **Impact of Qigong on quality of life, pain and depressive symptoms in older adults admitted to an intermediate care rehabilitation unit: A randomized controlled trial.** *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2015; **27**(2): 125–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 86. McMurdo ME, Rennie L: **A controlled trial of exercise by residents of old people's homes.** *Age Ageing*. 1993; **22**(1): 11–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 87. Monga U, Garber SL, Thornby J, *et al.*: **Exercise prevents fatigue and improves quality of life in prostate cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy.** *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2007; **88**(11): 1416–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 88. Mortazavi SS, Mohammad K, Ardebili HE, *et al.*: **Mental disorder prevention and physical activity in Iranian elderly.** *Int J Prev Med*. 2012; **3**(Suppl 1): S64–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 89. Netz Y, Yaretski A, Salganik I, *et al.*: **The effect of supervised physical activity on cognitive and affective state of geriatric and psychogeriatric in-patients.** *Clin Gerontol*. 1994; **15**(1): 47–56.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 90. Ng TP, Nyunt MSZ, Feng L, *et al.*: **Multi-domains lifestyle interventions reduces depressive symptoms among frail and pre-frail older persons: Randomized controlled trial.** *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2017; **21**(8): 918–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 91. Oken BS, Zajdel D, Kishiyama S, *et al.*: **Randomized, controlled, six-month trial of yoga in healthy seniors: Effects on cognition and quality of life.** *Altern Ther Health Med*. 2006; **12**(1): 40–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 92. Park J, Newman D, McCaffrey R, *et al.*: **The effect of chair Yoga on biopsychosocial changes in English- and Spanish-speaking community-dwelling older adults with lower-extremity osteoarthritis.** *J Gerontol Soc Work*. 2016; **59**(7–8): 604–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 93. Payne JK, Held J, Thorpe J, *et al.*: **Effect of exercise on biomarkers, fatigue, sleep disturbances, and depressive symptoms in older women with breast cancer receiving hormonal therapy.** *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2008; **35**(4): 635–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 94. Pedersen MT, Vorup J, Nistrup A, *et al.*: **Effect of team sports and resistance training on physical function, quality of life, and motivation in older adults.** *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2017; **27**(8): 852–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 95. Pinniger R, Brown RF, Thorsteinsson EB, *et al.*: **Tango programme for individuals with age-related macular degeneration.** *Br J Vis Impair*. 2013; **31**(1): 47–59.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 96. Ramanathan M, Bhavanani AB, Trakroo M: **Effect of a 12-week yoga therapy program on mental health status in elderly women inmates of a hospice.** *Int J Yoga*. 2017; **10**(1): 24–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 97. Roswiyan R, Hiew CH, Witterman CLM, *et al.*: **Art activities and qigong exercise for the well-being of older adults in nursing homes in Indonesia: A randomized controlled trial.** *Aging Ment Health*. 2020; **24**(10): 1569–1578.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 98. Sahin UK, Kirdi N, Bozoglu E, *et al.*: **Effect of low-intensity versus high-intensity resistance training on the functioning of the institutionalized frail elderly.** *Int J Rehabil Res*. 2018; **41**(3): 211–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 99. Sattin RW, Easley KA, Wolf SL, *et al.*: **Reduction in fear of falling through intense tai chi exercise training in older, transitionally frail adults.** *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005; **53**(7): 1168–78.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 100. Sola-Serrabou M, Lopez JL, Valero O: **Effectiveness of Training in the Elderly and its Impact on Health-related Quality of Life.** *Apunts Educacion Fisica Y Deportes*. 2019; **137**: 30–42.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 101. Song D, Yu DSF: **Effects of a moderate-intensity aerobic exercise programme on the cognitive function and quality of life of community-dwelling elderly people with mild cognitive impairment: A randomised controlled trial.** *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2019; **93**: 97–105.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 102. Sparrow D, Gottlieb DJ, Demolles D, *et al.*: **Increases in muscle strength and balance using a resistance training program administered via a telecommunications system in older adults.** *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2011; **66**(11): 1251–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 103. Swoap RA, Norvell N, Graves JE, *et al.*: **High versus moderate intensity aerobic exercise in older adults: Psychological and physiological effects.** *J Aging Phys Act*. 1994; **2**(4): 293–303.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 104. Tapps TP: **An Investigation into the Effects of Resistance Based Physical Activity Participation on Depression of Older Adults in a Long-term Care Facility.** *Annual in Therapeutic Recreation*. 2013; **21**: 63–72.
[Reference Source](#)
 105. Taylor-Piliae RE, Hoke TM, Hepworth JT, *et al.*: **Effect of Tai Chi on physical function, fall rates and quality of life among older stroke survivors.** *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014; **95**(5): 816–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 106. Tsang HWH, Mok CK, Au Yeung YT, *et al.*: **The effect of Qigong on general and psychosocial health of elderly with chronic physical illnesses: A randomized clinical trial.** *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2003; **18**(5): 441–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 107. Tsang HWH, Lee JLC, Au DWH, *et al.*: **Developing and testing the effectiveness of a novel health qigong for frail elders in Hong Kong: A preliminary study.** *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2013; **2013**: 827392.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 108. Tsutsumi T, Don BM, Zaichkowsky LD, *et al.*: **Comparison of high and moderate intensity of strength training on mood and anxiety in older adults.** *Percept Mot Skills*. 1998; **87**(3 Pt 1): 1003–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 109. Vahlberg B, Cederholm T, Lindmark B, *et al.*: **Short-term and long-term effects of a progressive resistance and balance exercise program in individuals with chronic stroke: A randomized controlled trial.** *Disabil Rehabil*. 2017; **39**(16): 1615–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 110. Vankova H, Holmerova I, Machacova K, *et al.*: **The effect of dance on**

- depressive symptoms in nursing home residents. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2014; **15**(8): 582–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
111. Wang DS: **The impact of a yoga intervention on the mental well-being and physical functioning in older adults living in the community.** US: ProQuest Information & Learning; 2009.
[Reference Source](#)
 112. Witham MD, Gray JM, Argo IS, *et al.*: **Effect of a seated exercise program to improve physical function and health status in frail patients > or = 70 years of age with heart failure.** *Am J Cardiol*. 2005; **95**(9): 1120–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 113. Yang KH, Kim YH, Lee MS: **Efficacy of Qi-therapy (external Qigong) for elderly people with chronic pain.** *Int J Neurosci*. 2005; **115**(7): 949–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 114. Yeh GY, Roberts DH, Wayne PM, *et al.*: **Tai chi exercise for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A pilot study.** *Respir Care*. 2010; **55**(11): 1475–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 115. Zanusso S, Sieverdes JC, Smith N, *et al.*: **The effect of a strength training program on affect, mood, anxiety, and strength performance in older individuals.** *Int J Sport Psychol*. 2012; **43**(1): 53–66.
[Reference Source](#)
 116. O'Neill J, Tabish H, Welch V, *et al.*: **Applying an equity lens to interventions: Using PROGRESS ensures consideration of socially stratifying factors to illuminate inequities in health.** *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2014; **67**(1): 56–64.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 117. Borg GA: **Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1982; **14**(5): 377–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
 118. Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Wasserman DH, *et al.*: **Physical activity/exercise and type 2 diabetes.** *Diabetes Care*. 2004; **27**(10): 2518–39.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 119. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, *et al.*: **The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials.** *BMJ*. 2011; **343**: d5928.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 120. Hedges LV: **Distribution Theory for Glass's Estimator of Effect Size and Related Estimators.** *J Educ Stat*. 1981; **6**(2): 107–28.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 121. Hedges LV, Olkin I: **Statistical methods for meta-analysis.** New York, NY: Academic Press; 1985.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 122. Cohen J: **Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences.** 2 ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.
[Reference Source](#)
 123. Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT, *et al.*: **Introduction to meta-analysis.** Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons; 2009.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 124. Costa MV, Diniz MF, Nascimento KK, *et al.*: **Accuracy of three depression screening scales to diagnose major depressive episodes in older adults without neurocognitive disorders.** *Braz J Psychiatry*. 2016; **38**(2): 154–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 125. Smarr KL, Keefer AL: **Measures of depression and depressive symptoms: Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9).** *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011; **63** Suppl 11: S454–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 126. Jansen JP, Naci H: **Is network meta-analysis as valid as standard pairwise meta-analysis? It all depends on the distribution of effect modifiers.** *BMC Med*. 2013; **11**: 159.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 127. Higgins JP, Deeks JJ, Altman DG: **Special topics in statistics.** *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. England: John Wiley & Sons; 2008; 481–529.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 128. Underwood M, Lamb SE, Eldridge S, *et al.*: **Exercise for depression in elderly residents of care homes: A cluster-randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet*. 2013; **382**(9886): 41–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 129. Salanti G, Del Giovane C, Chaimani A, *et al.*: **Evaluating the quality of evidence from a network meta-analysis.** *PLoS One*. 2014; **9**(7): e99682.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 130. StataCorp: **Stata Statistical Software: Release 15.** College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2017.
 131. Netz Y, Wu MJ, Becker BJ, *et al.*: **Physical activity and psychological well-being in advanced age: A meta-analysis of intervention studies.** *Psychol Aging*. 2005; **20**(2): 272–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 132. White IR: **Multivariate random-effects meta-analysis.** *The Stata Journal*. 2009; **9**(1): 40–56.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 133. Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JP, *et al.*: **A basic introduction to fixed-effect and random-effects models for meta-analysis.** *Res Synth Methods*. 2010; **1**(2): 97–111.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 134. La Forge R: **Mind-body fitness: encouraging prospects for primary and secondary prevention.** *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 1997; **11**(3): 53–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 135. Prakhinkit S, Suppakitporn S, Tanaka H, *et al.*: **Effects of Buddhism walking meditation on depression, functional fitness, and endothelium-dependent vasodilation in depressed elderly.** *J Altern Complement Med*. 2014; **20**(5): 411–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 136. Avery JA, Drevets WC, Moseman SE, *et al.*: **Major depressive disorder is associated with abnormal interoceptive activity and functional connectivity in the insula.** *Biol Psychiatry*. 2014; **76**(3): 258–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 137. Paulus MP, Stein MB: **Interoception in anxiety and depression.** *Brain Struct Funct*. 2010; **214**(5–6): 451–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 138. Liu CJ, Latham N: **Adverse events reported in progressive resistance strength training trials in older adults: 2 sides of a coin.** *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2010; **91**(9): 1471–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 139. Hirotsaki M, Ohira T, Kajiura M, *et al.*: **Effects of a laughter and exercise program on physiological and psychological health among community-dwelling elderly in Japan: randomized controlled trial.** *Geriatr Gerontol Int*. 2013; **13**(1): 152–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 140. Resnick B, Luisi D, Vogel A: **Testing the Senior Exercise Self-efficacy Project (SESEP) for use with urban dwelling minority older adults.** *Public Health Nurs*. 2008; **25**(3): 221–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 141. Daley A, Jolly K: **Exercise to treat depression.** *BMJ*. 2012; **344**: e3181.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ? ? ✓

Version 1

Reviewer Report 09 June 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.29961.r83975>

© 2021 Imboden C. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Christian Imboden

¹ Private Clinic Wyss AG, Sierre, Switzerland

² Psychiatric Services Solothurn, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

General

The review and network meta-analysis are very well written and conclusive. I especially appreciate the aim of the authors to analyze studies of different modalities of physical activity (PA) on depressive symptoms in older adults without clinical depression and comparing them to their already published network-MA on the effects of PA in clinically depressed older adults. The methods are described thoroughly. The authors analyze RCTs evaluating the effects of aerobic, resistance or mind-body exercise on depressive symptoms separately. In addition, they also group control groups by waitlist, attention control and care as usual which yields a very detailed analysis. They found significant positive effects on depressive symptoms for all three modalities of PA versus control interventions with no specific modality yielding significantly stronger effects than the other. They also analyzed attrition to the study protocol, an important variable that adds additional weight to the analysis. Considering attrition, the authors found comparable values in all comparisons. The results are displayed in appropriate ways by graphical and numerical means. And thus, provides a good overview of the various results.

The statistical methods and interpretation seem absolutely appropriate to me, but since I am not a specialist for network meta-analysis I can not provide an in-depth evaluation.

I have some minor comments to the authors:

P 24, Results of the network-MA:

Please spell out "SUCRA" at its first mentioning

Figure 7:

In the notes, the confidence interval is not specified. Is it 95% CI? Please specify. I also don't understand why the horizontal lines (95%? CI) are different from Figure 5: i.e., the comparison AER vs. WL has a 95% CI of -0.63 to -0.14. So why does the horizontal line depicting the CI cross the vertical zero-line in Figure 7? Please explain.

Discussion:

p. 27: you described that mind-body exercise has additional mental effects such as interoceptive sensations. Often mind-body exercise also incorporates aspects of mindfulness. Therefore, I believe it would be appropriate to insert a short paragraph discussing the effects of mindfulness (such as meditation and MBSR) on depressive symptoms. A suggested reference might be: Li *et al.* (2019) DOI: [10.1111/inm.12568](https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.12568)¹

On the same page, you discuss compliance to treatment. You state, that you hypothesized that compliance would be lower in exercise treatments. However, the meta-analysis on dropout rates of Stubbs *et al.* (2016), DOI: [10.1016/j.jad.2015.10.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.10.019)² found similar dropout rates for exercise and control conditions but analyzed samples of adult patients (not specifically elderly). Nonetheless, you might discuss this in a sentence.

Conclusions:

You state that exercise mitigates symptoms of depression regardless of depression severity. If interpreted strictly, according to the current paper this only applies to subclinical severity of symptoms. If you incorporate your earlier paper (network MA of exercise in clinically depressed elderly) into the conclusion, I believe this should be mentioned accordingly.

References

1. Li SYH, Bressington D: The effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on depression, anxiety, and stress in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Ment Health Nurs*. 2019; **28** (3): 635-656 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, et al.: Dropout from exercise randomized controlled trials among people with depression: A meta-analysis and meta regression. *J Affect Disord*. 2016; **190**: 457-466 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Depression, physical activity for depression

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 30 Jun 2021

Kyle Miller, Federation University, Australia

General

The review and network meta-analysis are very well written and conclusive. I especially appreciate the aim of the authors to analyze studies of different modalities of physical activity (PA) on depressive symptoms in older adults without clinical depression and comparing them to their already published network-MA on the effects of PA in clinically depressed older adults. The methods are described thoroughly. The authors analyze RCTs evaluating the effects of aerobic, resistance or mind-body exercise on depressive symptoms separately. In addition, they also group control groups by waitlist, attention control and care as usual which yields a very detailed analysis. They found significant positive effects on depressive symptoms for all three modalities of PA versus control interventions with no specific modality yielding significantly stronger effects than the other. They also analyzed attrition to the study protocol, an important variable that adds additional weight to the analysis. Considering attrition, the authors found comparable values in all comparisons. The results are displayed in appropriate ways by graphical and numerical means. And thus, provides a good overview of the various results. The statistical methods and interpretation seem absolutely appropriate to me, but since I am not a specialist for network meta-analysis I can not provide an in-depth evaluation.

We wish to firstly thank the Reviewer for their thoughtful commentary. We have taken time to consider all feedback and make the necessary revisions to the article.

I have some minor comments to the authors:

P 24, Results of the network-MA:

Please spell out "SUCRA" at its first mentioning

We have included "surface under the cumulative ranking curve" before the first mention of the acronym.

Figure 7:

In the notes, the confidence interval is not specified. Is it 95% CI? Please specify.

We thankful to the Reviewer for picking up this clerical error, on our behalf. This has now been rectified to state the "95% CI" in notes for Figures 5 and 7.

I also don't understand why the horizontal lines (95%? CI) are different from Figure 5: i.e., the comparison AER vs. WL has a 95% CI of -0.63 to -0.14. So why does the horizontal line depicting the CI cross the vertical zero-line in Figure 7? Please explain.

We are grateful to the Reviewer for highlighting a lack of consistency in the article, specifically for intervals between Figure 5 and 7. Version 1 of the article as read by Reviewers contained both confidence and prediction intervals for Figures 5 and 7, respectively. In light of this, Figure 7 is now converted to 95% confidence intervals in order to maintain observational consistency with Figure 5. We have also proofed consistency of data (and presentation thereof) within article figures.

Discussion:

p. 27: you described that mind-body exercise has additional mental effects such as interoceptive sensations. Often mind-body exercise also incorporates aspects of

mindfulness. Therefore, I believe it would be appropriate to insert a short paragraph discussing the effects of mindfulness (such as meditation and MBSR) on depressive symptoms. A suggested reference might be: Li et al. (2019) DOI: 10.1111/inm.125681

This is a very reasonable query relating to the potential for 'mindfulness' being an adjunct to potential improvement from mind-body exercise. The authors have taken the opportunity to record these findings within the article, with enhanced detail within the online supplementary material. This supplementary material extends upon the current article discussion to include potential discrete mechanisms that may underpin the psycho-adaptive response to exercise in older adults. This can be found within the online repository which accompanies this article:

- 'Data Availability' > 'Extended data' > 'Supplementary File S1'
- <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v3>

On the same page, you discuss compliance to treatment. You state, that you hypothesized that compliance would be lower in exercise treatments. However, the meta-analysis on dropout rates of Stubbs et al. (2016), DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.10.0192 found similar dropout rates for exercise and control conditions but analyzed samples of adult patients (not specifically elderly). Nonetheless, you might discuss this in a sentence.

This is an interesting point and one which we credited with consideration during the planning of this review. As the Reviewer will be aware, every epidemiological study conducted to date identify older adults to be the least active and least compliant with exercise compared with younger demographics. For reasons of being conservative and containing the potential for observer bias, this was handled in the negative unless data demonstrated otherwise. However, on reflection we deem the use of 'hypothesised' as potentially inappropriate compared with alternatives such as 'anticipated'. This has been amended in the Discussion section to read: "Here, we anticipated that each exercise condition would demonstrate lower compliance to treatment than wait-list, usual care, and attention-control comparisons."

Conclusions:

You state that exercise mitigates symptoms of depression regardless of depression severity. If interpreted strictly, according to the current paper this only applies to subclinical severity of symptoms. If you incorporate your earlier paper (network MA of exercise in clinically depressed elderly) into the conclusion, I believe this should be mentioned accordingly.

We extend our gratitude to this Reviewer, who in coalition with Reviewer 2, identified this very same point. On reflection, this was clearly an overreach by the authors. We fully accept the requirement for amendment, which resulted in amendments to the Abstract, Discussion, and Conclusion sections.

Competing Interests: None

Reviewer Report 24 May 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.29961.r83980>

© 2021 Camaz Deslandes A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Andrea Camaz Deslandes

Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Objective:

The study aimed to investigate the head-to-head effectiveness of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise in ameliorating depressive symptoms in older adults. The study is relevant and original, considering the increased prevalence of depression in older adults and the need for treatment through complementary and alternative practices, such as exercise. This topic has indeed received little attention in literature until now. The method used is adequate to the purpose of the study, and the results and the discussion were developed appropriately. However, some minor adjustments are necessary for a better understanding of the manuscript. Please find below some of the specific items regarding the issues.

Introduction:

I suggest a presentation of the concepts of physical activity and exercise, as well as a discussion about divergences in the classification of Yoga and other mind-body practices as physical exercise.

Methods:

The inclusion of older adults with Dementia (n=3), Cognitive Decline (n=3), Parkinson's Disease (n=1), and Cognitive frailty (n=1) can be a possible limitation of the present study, considering the differences related to comorbidity. I suggest the author exclude these studies. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in MCI and Dementia are related to several different mechanisms, as well as the psychological and physiological effects of physical exercise in these populations. The characteristics of Depression in these cases can be very specific, with causes, neurophysiological changes and treatments that differ from depressive symptoms in healthy older adults. The inclusion of these studies can contribute of shrinking the effect size of exercise. For example, the effectiveness of pharmacological approaches to managing depressive symptoms in Dementia and MCI is less effective and the antidepressant effect of exercise in these populations is still controversial. If the authors decide to include these population (MCI, Parkinson, Dementia), several other studies that investigated the effect of exercise on neuropsychiatric symptoms (such as depression) in patients with MCI and Dementia should be included in the present meta-analysis, For more details, see Liang 2018 and Leng *et al.*, 2018.¹ Moreover, this issue should be included in the limitation section.

Factors related to the prescription of exercise (frequency, intensity, duration, length, supervision, cognitive engagement) should be more explored in analyses and results.

Discussion:

The author should discuss the possible different mechanisms related to the antidepressant effect of mind-body practices, aerobic and resistance training in older adults, considering both psychological and physiological hypotheses. Please consider more recent neurobiological mechanisms related to the effect of exercise on depressive symptoms (e.g., myokines, neurotrophins, inflammatory cytokines, etc.).

Conclusion:

The authors concluded: "Pooled RCT evidence highlights that each individual exercise mode (aerobic, resistance, and mind-body) can effectively mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults, irrespective of depression severity". I suggest excluding the phrase "**irrespective of depression severity**", since the authors did not run a specific analysis to evaluate this issue.

References

1. Leng M, Liang B, Zhou H, Zhang P, et al.: Effects of Physical Exercise on Depressive Symptoms in Patients With Cognitive Impairment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* **206** (10): 809-823 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Physical exercise and mental health

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 30 Jun 2021

Kyle Miller, Federation University, Australia

Objective:

The study aimed to investigate the head-to-head effectiveness of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise in ameliorating depressive symptoms in older adults. The study is relevant and original, considering the increased prevalence of depression in older adults and the need for treatment through complementary and alternative practices, such as exercise. This topic has indeed received little attention in literature until now. The method used is adequate to the purpose of the study, and the results and the discussion were developed appropriately. However, some minor adjustments are necessary for a better understanding of the manuscript. Please find below some of the specific items regarding the issues.

Firstly, the authors are extremely grateful for the considered commentary from this Reviewer. We take this opportunity to extend our gratitude for being provided an

opportunity to improve our article. The author team have taken time to deliberate on each point and have reached consensus within our responses below. Thank you.

Introduction:

I suggest a presentation of the concepts of physical activity and exercise, as well as a discussion about divergences in the classification of Yoga and other mind-body practices as physical exercise.

We acknowledge this as an important point, and one which would require detailed expansion and integration of broad knowledge of the different forms of exercise classification and their nuanced differences. In order to preserve the flow of rationale within the Introduction section, while similarly being complete with exercise characteristics of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body regimens, we have provided supplementary reading to satisfy the broader readership of exercise specialists, including background material for readers out-with this specialist topic.

We have taken this opportunity to include further statement within the Introduction section to highlight the differences between types of exercise: "We direct the reader to the Extended data of this article for detailed description of the exercise classifications included in this review."

'Extended data' for publication in F1000 is repository for non-essential information or data. To diligently tackle important divergences in classifications in exercise type required 750 words, which the authors deemed as an important point with an appropriate home within the online repository, which can be found here:

- 'Data Availability' > 'Extended data' > 'Supplementary File S1'
- <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v3>

Methods:

The inclusion of older adults with Dementia (n=3), Cognitive Decline (n=3), Parkinson's Disease (n=1), and Cognitive frailty (n=1) can be a possible limitation of the present study, considering the differences related to comorbidity. I suggest the author exclude these studies. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in MCI and Dementia are related to several different mechanisms, as well as the psychological and physiological effects of physical exercise in these populations. The characteristics of Depression in these cases can be very specific, with causes, neurophysiological changes and treatments that differ from depressive symptoms in healthy older adults. The inclusion of these studies can contribute of shrinking the effect size of exercise. For example, the effectiveness of pharmacological approaches to managing depressive symptoms in Dementia and MCI is less effective and the antidepressant effect of exercise in these populations is still controversial.

We agree with the Reviewers comments relating to the antidepressant effects of exercise, and in particular that evidence in these populations remains controversial. Before undertaking this review, specific inclusion criteria for this work were considered greatly, wherein, the authors remained agnostic to any particular effect size resulting from exercise participation on depressive symptoms in older adults. The purpose of the present review was to provide point-specific information that is generalisable to all older adults aged >65 years without diagnosis of clinical depression.

The binary approach taken within this review was (a) without diagnosis of clinical depression, thus excluding (b) those diagnosed with clinical depression. This review

included RCTs limited to the former, which became the defining characteristic. Specifically, we cannot bias the inclusion criteria based on ubiquitous conditions, and as such, any given adult aged >65 years is by definition a primary candidate for each of the suggested limiting covariates.

We are genuinely grateful to this Reviewer for generating some important points relating to exercise gerontology which remain unresolved. Until such time as epidemiological controversy such as nuanced and unresolved characteristics amongst ageing cohorts is evidenced, it is our belief that we must accept perceived imperfections within the literature, which is a notable limitation of meta-analytical investigation. This Reviewer does imply an important fact, and we do not offer this article as being definitive as such potential limitations are unresolved. However, we do believe that it is best practice to present in the article's current form, but importantly, accept and acknowledge the value of the Reviewer's observation by noting this as a generalised limitation within the limitations section. By doing this, there is opportunity for researchers to improve on this research, should new evidence add confirmatory knowledge. Supplementary sensitivity analysis specific to this can be found in the online repository, located here:

- 'Data Availability' > 'Extended data' > 'Additional Sensitivity Analysis'
- <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v3>

If the authors decide to include these population (MCI, Parkinson, Dementia), several other studies that investigated the effect of exercise on neuropsychiatric symptoms (such as depression) in patients with MCI and Dementia should be included in the present meta-analysis. For more details, see Liang 2018 and Leng et al., 2018.¹ Moreover, this issue should be included in the limitation section.

We are further grateful to this Reviewer for providing a list of potential references and have applied article inclusion criteria in review of each. From this list, one study (i.e., Abd El-Kader & Al-Jiffri, 2016) met the inclusion criteria for the systematic review but was not eligible for inclusion in network meta-analytics. Thus, the Systematic Review component of this article has been updated, yet the network meta-analysis remains unchanged. In response to the Reviewer's recommendation, we have carefully examined the review conducted by Leng et al. (2018). We have applied the present article inclusion criteria in review of each study and further included detailed reasoning for inclusion/exclusion for each which may be in the online repository, each of which may be observed at:

'Data Availability' > 'Extended data' > 'Suggested Articles Provided During Peer Review'

- <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v3>

Factors related to the prescription of exercise (frequency, intensity, duration, length, supervision, cognitive engagement) should be more explored in analyses and results.

This is an important point to consider (and partially addressed in the previous comment). One primary difference between network meta-analysis and its more widely used counterpart, the 'meta-analysis', relates to the limited ability for network meta-analysis to address significant covariates by sub-group analytics and meta-regression of known moderators of the primary outcome. However, such important factors have a place to address this within network meta-analysis. Although not overtly observable, we have done so within the present article. More specifically, "in order to test transitivity across networks, potential effect modifiers were tested with meta-regression sensitivity analyses for the

entire pool of studies and separately for each exercise comparison (aerobic vs. resistance vs. mind-body). No significant modifying effects were observed for the pool of studies or any separate exercise comparison for age, gender, source of participants, length of intervention, format of program, exercise intensity, frequency, duration, adherence, year of study, risk of bias, publication status, intention-to-treat analysis, nor cluster design, indicating that the assumption of transitivity was upheld."

Further information can also be found in the online repository, found here:

- 'Data Availability' > 'Extended data' > 'Supplementary File S1'
- <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v3>

Discussion:

The author should discuss the possible different mechanisms related to the antidepressant effect of mind-body practices, aerobic and resistance training in older adults, considering both psychological and physiological hypotheses. Please consider more recent neurobiological mechanisms related to the effect of exercise on depressive symptoms (e.g., myokines, neurotrophins, inflammatory cytokines, etc.).

Possible different mechanisms, and unique characteristics relating to the antidepressant potential of different forms of exercise, remain to be fully defined. The main purpose of meta-analytical review of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) is to leverage the work of other researchers, and by doing so improve statistical power and point estimation for a specific question. In this respect, the present review cannot offer psychological or physiological hypotheses to a degree greater than any one specific RCT.

However, we do accept this Reviewer's guidance and further accept that it is important to contextualise contemporary mechanisms relating to the antidepressant effect of exercise. In light of this, we have included a separate extended piece of 784 words within the online repository to provide an extension of this discussion, found here:

- 'Data Availability' > 'Extended data' > 'Supplementary File S1'
- <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v3>

Additionally, the authors have added the following text "we direct the reader to the Extended data for further discussion relating to potential mechanisms for the antidepressant effects of exercise in older adults", to add value to this overall body of work and contemporary mechanistic information for the interested reader.

Conclusion:

The authors concluded: "Pooled RCT evidence highlights that each individual exercise mode (aerobic, resistance, and mind-body) can effectively mitigate symptoms of depression in older adults, irrespective of depression severity". I suggest excluding the phrase "irrespective of depression severity", since the authors did not run a specific analysis to evaluate this issue.

Thank you for drawing our attention to this critical oversight from the authors. The authors agree and this has now been removed for reasons of specific accuracy. Specifically, this has been moderated and subsequently amended within the Abstract, Discussion, and Conclusion sections.

References

Abd El-Kader SM, Al-Jiffri OH: Aerobic exercise improves quality of life, psychological well-being and systemic inflammation in subjects with Alzheimer's disease. Afri Health Sci. 2016;16(4): 1045-55. 28479898 10.4314/ahs.v16i4.22

Leng MA, Liang B, Zhou H, et al.: Effects of physical exercise on depressive symptoms in patients with cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2018;206: 809-23. 30273278 10.1097/NMD.0000000000000887

Competing Interests: None

Reviewer Report 08 March 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.29961.r80099>

© 2021 Abdelbasset W. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Walid Kamal Abdelbasset

¹ Department of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Physical Therapy, Kasr Al-Aini Hospital, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

This study aims to examine the head-to-head effectiveness of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise to mitigate depressive symptoms in adults aged ≥ 65 years. The topic is interesting, however, some corrections should be addressed.

General comments:

- The English presentation needs to be improved throughout the manuscript.
- This study is a systematic review. Therefore, transfer kindly the PRISMA checklist, which can help clarify the missed information.

Specific comments:

Introduction:

- Define depression in detail. Determine the relationship between exercise and depression status in older adults. I suggest the authors expose the following points in the introduction: What is known about depression and exercise training in older adults? What is not known? Why the study was done? The hypothesis is also missed in this section.

Discussion:

- The main findings should be presented in the introductory paragraph with respect to the study objectives and hypothesis.
- Inconsistency models need more details in the discussion section.
- Please, re-frame the discussion along the following lines:

Main findings of the present study
Comparison with other studies
Implication and explanation of findings
Strengths and limitations
Conclusion, recommendation, and future direction.

Are the rationale for, and objectives of, the Systematic Review clearly stated?

Partly

Are sufficient details of the methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results presented in the review?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Exercise Intervention; Geriatric Rehabilitation; Rehabilitation Sciences

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 30 Jun 2021

Kyle Miller, Federation University, Australia

This study aims to examine the head-to-head effectiveness of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise to mitigate depressive symptoms in adults aged ≥ 65 years. The topic is interesting, however, some corrections should be addressed.

We extend our gratitude to the Reviewer for their critique and offering an opportunity to improve our article. The author team have taken the time to reflect on each comment and reached consensus, which are provided in detail below.

General comments:

- ***The English presentation needs to be improved throughout the manuscript.***

The majority of the authorship team are native English language speakers and while each of us acknowledge that we are in continual development, we are experienced in communicating scientific writing in our native language. However, we do acknowledge this criticism in the spirit of improvement that it is meant. Thus, we have taken time to consider literary communication and addressed to the best of our collective ability.

- ***This study is a systematic review. Therefore, transfer kindly the PRISMA checklist, which can help clarify the missed information.***

Should we be accurate in the interpretation of this comment, the Reviewer considers this article to be (i) a systematic review. This is correct. However, it is a systematic review combined with (ii) network meta-analysis, which requires compliance with a specific set of reporting guidelines that are an extension of the noted PRISMA checklist. This article is presented in acknowledgement of a complimentary extension to PRISMA guidelines where we have adhered to the 'PRISMA-NMA guidelines', where 'NMA' accounts for a quantitative addition within this 'network meta-analysis'. To support our response of confirmatory compliance, we direct the Reviewer to the Methodology section within this article which specifies a formal statement of compliance with PRISMA-NMA guidelines. To further offer confirmatory compliance with PRISMA-NMA guidelines, we direct this Reviewer to supplementary materials within the online repository, found here:

- 'Data Availability' à 'Reporting Guidelines' à 'PRISMA-NMA Checklist'
- <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12998549.v3>

Specific comments:

Introduction:

Define depression in detail.

We thank the Reviewer for identifying potentially important rationale for conducting this systematic review and network meta-analysis. In order to provide a comprehensive response to the query, it is necessary to offer two components of 'depression':

- Definition of depression – This is an interesting request, and the authors believe it to be adequately rationalised within the Introduction section. In compliance PRISMA-NMA criteria, we have specified the generalised term 'depression' as having a characteristic binary format for this work where explicitly (i) 'clinically defined depression' is divergent with (ii) 'depressive symptoms' which fall short of the threshold for the former. The present article focuses on the latter, where we offer contextual dialogue of literature within the domain of 'clinical depression' and articulate within the Discussion section of this article.
- Operational definition for this article – The discrete definition for depressive symptoms used in this review are further detailed within the methodology, where RCTs were deemed eligible where valid determination of depressive symptoms was achieved using accepted psychometrically valid tools.

Determine the relationship between exercise and depression status in older adults. I suggest the authors expose the following points in the introduction:

- ***What is known about depression and exercise training in older adults? What is not known?***

We direct the Reviewer to Paragraphs 2 of the Introduction section: "International public health consortia are in concert with the antidepressant effects of exercise as a low-risk adjunct for optimal mental health (American Psychiatric Association, 2010; Stubbs et al., 2018; World Health Organization, 2010). While we do not yet have the answers for low uptake of exercise in older adults (Knowles et al., 2015), it may in some way be due to nuanced regimen design, which in turn, may similarly impact compliance in exercise prescription by primary and stakeholders in aged care."

Similarly, Paragraph 3 of the same Introduction section: "During the past four decades, widely different metabolic, social, and environmental demands between exercise modalities

(i.e., running vs. weightlifting vs. Tai Chi) have been well-characterised. Given that there is variation between exercise regimens, and these variations are not merely semantics, one may be surprised to discover that only a few randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have deliberately compared the antidepressant effects of different exercise regimens in older adults (Martins et al., 2011; Penninx et al., 2002)."

The authors acknowledge that may not be ideal for the Reviewer and are optimistic that the body of referenced material in coalition with the short steps of logical reasoning, offer mitigatory satisfaction of this Reviewer's exposed points.

- ***Why the study was done?***

We agree that this is an important attribute which must be present within empirically sound research efforts. Accordingly, we direct the Reviewer to view the final paragraph within the Introduction section, which reads: "The purpose of this systematic review and network meta-analysis was to quantitatively assess the best evidence from RCTs to establish relative (head-to-head) effectiveness of resistance, aerobic, and mind-body exercise in adults aged ≥ 65 years, and below the clinical threshold for diagnosed depression. More specifically, we investigated whether (i) resistance, aerobic, and mind-body exercise training can induce substantive treatment effect on depressive symptoms in older adults, (ii) while considering relative treatment compliance to each exercise regimen, and further, (iii) to juxtapose the optimal exercise treatment for all adults aged ≥ 65 years irrespective of depressive symptomology."

- ***The hypothesis is also missed in this section.***

We are thankful to the Reviewer for addressing this important consideration. PRIMA-NMA guidelines stipulate that those wishing to conduct meta-analytical review should "provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed, with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS)."

There is opportunity to investigate this further, where we direct the Reviewer the emergent work from Bruce Charlton titled 'The used and abuses of meta-analysis', wherein it is prescribed that "meta-analysis is not a hypothesis-testing activity" and where Charlton further offers that meta-analysis "cannot legitimately be used to establish the reality of a putative hazard or therapy" (Charlton, 1996). In this respect, we prefer to present the research questions in its current active format.

More generally, we offer that the majority of the author team are experimental scientists where research is beset on the presentation of formal hypotheses. We agree that it could be a route to detail a specific question of focus. However, as (for example) the present work relies upon and leverages the efforts from many RCT authors to satisfy our independent question, we must also acknowledge that their individual nuanced differences become amplified to induce collective heterogeneity towards reaching a resolution to our research question. For reasons such as this, we selected to side with formal guidelines (in this case, PRISMA-NMA) to achieve the outcomes detailed within the present article.

Discussion:

- ***The main findings should be presented in the introductory paragraph with respect to the study objectives and hypothesis.***

The authors offer some satisfaction to resolving the 'hypothesis' component of the

Reviewer's suggestion in the prior response. In terms of addressing the substantive part of this Reviewer's query, we offer the main findings from this review to be presented at the beginning of the Discussion section, and specifically, in the inaugural paragraph, which reads: "The present review offers new information for general exercise prescription to support mental health outcomes for adults aged ≥ 65 years. Specifically, (i) aerobic, resistance, and mind-body each demonstrate equivalent benefit to mitigate symptoms of depression in adults aged ≥ 65 years, (ii) compliance to exercise treatment is notably encouraging for each exercise types, and (iii) when combined with the pool of data from clinically depressed older adults the effectiveness of aerobic, resistance, and mind-body exercise is comparably consistent for all adults aged ≥ 65 years, irrespective of depression severity. These findings should provide reassurance for personnel and stakeholders in healthy ageing to encourage exercise prescription from a point of pragmatism and in collaboration with patient preference."

- ***Inconsistency models need more details in the discussion section.***

Within the present article, inconsistency models are detailed within the Results section (see 'Assessment of Inconsistency'). Our generalised ambition in delivering coherence within the Discussion section was to integrate our findings from inconsistency modelling and to communicate in a more active voice in appreciative context with other available literature. As it turned out, our tests of inconsistency became largely satisfied with generalised assumption of consistency for (i) global and (ii) loop-specific heterogeneity for our main (depressive symptom) network which limited an expanded discussion of inconsistency. To support this Reviewer's query and in our compliance with Cochrane Review guidelines (Higgins et al., 2021), network inconsistency was further interrogated using the GRADE assessment tool (Salanti et al., 2014). In doing this, any important inconsistencies are dialogued in context to the primary objective, which in turn, are dialogued within the Discussion section and benchmarked against our primary outcomes. For instance, Paragraph 5 of the Discussion section reads: "Certainty of evidence is moderate, due to the dispersion in effect size estimates resulting in imprecision."

Please, re-frame the discussion along the following lines:

- ***Main findings of the present study***
- ***Comparison with other studies***
- ***Implication and explanation of findings***
- ***Strengths and limitations***
- ***Conclusion, recommendation, and future direction.***

The authors have partially satisfied this request (RE: 'Specific Comments - Discussion'), with requisite response above. In compliance with previously identified guidelines, we have attempted to provide a coherent Discussion section in this article which is presented in the following generalised flow:

- Paragraph 1: Summary of main findings.
- Paragraph 2-6: Theoretical implications and comparisons with past studies.
- Paragraph 7-10: Practical considerations and recommendations.
- Paragraph 11-13: Strengths, limitations, and future directions.

- Paragraph 14: Conclusion and final recommendation.

We thank this Reviewer for constructive critique and the authors are satisfied that we have an improved article with support from Reviewer commentary.

References

- American Psychiatric Association: Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with Major Depressive Disorder. 3 ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association;2010.
- Charlton BG: The uses and abuses of meta-analysis. *Family Practice*. 1996;13(4): 397–401. 8872100 10.1093/fampra/13.4.397
- Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al.: *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.2 (updated February 2021)*. Cochrane, 2021. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook
- Knowles AM, Herbert P, Easton C, et al.: Impact of low-volume, high-intensity interval training on maximal aerobic capacity, health-related quality of life and motivation to exercise in ageing men. *Age (Dordr)*. 2015;37(2):25. 25773069 10.1007/s11357-015-9763-3 4359174
- Martins R, Silva MCE, Pindus D, et al.: Effects of strength and aerobic-based training on functional fitness, mood and the relationship between fatness and mood in older adults. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 2011;51(3):489–96. 21904289
- Penninx BWJH, Rejeski WJ, Pandya J, et al.: Exercise and depressive symptoms: A comparison of aerobic and resistance exercise effects on emotional and physical function in older persons with high and low depressive symptomatology. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2002;57(2):P124–32. 11867660 10.1093/geronb/57.2.p124
- Salanti G, Del Giovane C, Chaimani A, et al.: Evaluating the quality of evidence from a network meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(7):e99682. 24992266 10.1371/journal.pone.0099682 4084629
- Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Hallgren M, et al.: EPA guidance on physical activity as a treatment for severe mental illness: A meta-review of the evidence and Position Statement from the European Psychiatric Association (EPA), supported by the International Organization of Physical Therapists in Mental Health (IOPTMH). *Eur Psychiatry*. 2018;54:124–44. 30257806 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.07.004
- World Health Organization: *Global recommendations on physical activity for health*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;2010.

Competing Interests: None

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research