REVIEW

Recent advances in the role of plant metabolites in shaping the root microbiome [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Richard P. Jacoby, Li Chen, Melina Schwier, Anna Koprivova, Stanislav Kopriva

Institute for Plant Sciences, Centre of Excellence on Plant Sciences (CEPLAS), University of Cologne, Cologne, 50674, Germany

V1 First published: 26 Feb 2020, 9(F1000 Faculty Rev):151
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.21796.1>

Latest published: 26 Feb 2020, 9(F1000 Faculty Rev):151
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.21796.1>

Abstract

The last decade brought great progress in describing the repertoire of microbes associated with plants and identifying principles of their interactions. Metabolites exuded by plant roots have been considered candidates for the mechanisms by which plants shape their root microbiome. Here, we review the evidence for several plant metabolites affecting plant interaction with microbes belowground. We also discuss the development of new approaches to study the mechanisms of such interaction that will help to elucidate the metabolic networks in the rhizosphere.

Keywords

synthetic community, GWAS, microbiome, Arabidopsis, plant microbe interactions, exometabolomics, plant, plant metabolites

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2	3
version 1 26 Feb 2020			

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Fabrice Roux**, LIPM, Université de Toulouse, Institut National de Recherche en Agriculture, Alimentation et Environnement, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Castanet, Tolosan, France
2. **Philip Poole**, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
3. **Corné Pieterse**, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Stanislav Kopriva (skopriva@uni-koeln.de)

Author roles: **Jacoby RP:** Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Chen L:** Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Schwier M:** Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Koprivova A:** Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Kopriva S:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The authors are funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, the German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy (EXC-Nummer 2048/1, project 390686111 to SK and AK), by a Humboldt Research Fellowship, and previously by a Horizon 2020 Marie Curie Skłodowska Action project (705808 – PINBAC to RPJ) and within the DFG SPP 2125 DECrypT (to LC and MS).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2020 Jacoby RP *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Jacoby RP, Chen L, Schwier M *et al.* **Recent advances in the role of plant metabolites in shaping the root microbiome [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]** F1000Research 2020, 9(F1000 Faculty Rev):151 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.21796.1>

First published: 26 Feb 2020, 9(F1000 Faculty Rev):151 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.21796.1>

Introduction

Plants in their natural environment are in constant interaction with diverse microorganisms. Whereas some microbes harm plants and trigger their defense reaction, others are beneficial for plant performance. Therefore, interactions between plant roots and rhizosphere microbiome are critical for plant fitness in an ambient environment. The technical innovations in cultivation of soil microbes and in sequencing technologies resulted in major biological breakthroughs in our understanding of plant microbiota¹. The taxonomical composition of root bacterial microbiome is largely stable and is controlled by the soil and by plant genotype^{2–4}. Indeed, plants produce a plethora of bioactive secondary metabolites and it has often been speculated that these molecules play an active role in shaping the rhizosphere microbiome^{5,6}. However, this assumption was largely theoretical because relatively few studies defined which specific plant metabolites exert beneficial or antagonistic effects on distinct microbial strains. This situation is beginning to change since several new studies over the last five years have clearly illustrated how the plant microbiome can be shaped by the direct effects of specific metabolites^{7–9}. The composition of root exudates varies not only among different plant species but also within different natural populations of the same species, which provides means to identify metabolites crucial for the interaction with the microbiota. In addition, the root exudates are affected by environment, particularly biotic factors^{10–12}.

Other tools and approaches have been developed to dissect the mechanisms of communication between plants and their microbiome. This review will summarize recent progress in the identification of metabolites involved in plant–microbe interactions, provide a set of the most important open questions, and propose ways that these can be addressed and answered.

Metabolites involved in communication between plants and root microbiota

The metabolites shaping plant microbiota belong to diverse classes. For example, the phenolic compounds coumarins are found across a wide variety of plant species and are relatively abundant in the rhizosphere where they have a well-characterized role in iron acquisition. However, two recent studies have independently shown that coumarins also play a key role in modulating root microbiome composition. Specifically, Stringlis *et al.*⁷ showed that coumarin-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutants recruit a different set of taxa to their rhizosphere microbiome. This seems to be partially mediated via strain-specific antimicrobial effects because one particular coumarin, scopoletin, exerts toxicity against two fungal pathogens but not against two commensal bacteria. Using synthetic community (SynCom) inoculations, Voges *et al.*⁹ show that the abundance of a *Pseudomonas* strain is significantly higher in coumarin-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutants compared with wild-type plants. The mechanistic basis of this phenomenon seems to involve redox-mediated microbial toxicity because the growth of this particular *Pseudomonas* strain is strongly inhibited by the hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) generated via another specific coumarin, sideretin⁹.

Several decades of research have shown that benzoxazinoids, belonging to indole-derived metabolites, are key molecules conferring resistance against insect pathogens of maize¹³. Recently, a suite of publications have analyzed how benzoxazinoids affect the maize microbiome. Using chemotaxis assays, Neal *et al.*¹⁴ showed that DIMBOA recruits a growth-promoting *Pseudomonas* strain into the rhizosphere. Furthermore, a recent study showed that plants with mutated benzoxazinoid biosynthesis recruit altered microbiomes by using an approach that correlates liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS) metabolite profiling with operational taxonomic unit (OTU) sequencing data to reveal that benzoxazinoids stimulate the abundance of Methylophilaceae bacteria while repressing the abundance of Xanthomonadaceae¹⁵. Intriguingly, the benzoxazinoid-mediated shifts in microbiome composition can also influence subsequent generations of plants¹⁶, positioning these molecules as key agents in plant–soil feedback.

Another indolic compound, camalexin, is a well-characterized phytoalexin previously shown to exert fungal toxicity in leaves; however, a recent study also showed that it can modulate the functionality of root-associated microbial strains⁸. Plant–microbe interaction assays show that camalexin plays a key role in modulating the effectiveness of growth-promoting bacteria because camalexin-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutants are unable to receive the growth benefits that mutualistic strains confer on the wild-type plants. Furthermore, microbial growth assays show that camalexin exerts selective toxicity against distinct bacterial strains isolated from plant roots⁸.

Triterpenes are a class of secondary metabolites with incredible structural diversity. Unusually for plants, many of the enzymes catalyzing triterpene biosynthesis are transcribed from a set of gene clusters resembling bacterial operons. Recent work from Huang *et al.*¹⁷ showed that triterpenes play a key role in modulating the *Arabidopsis* bacterial root microbiota. Growth assays of isolated strains show that purified triterpenes can stimulate the proliferation of an *Arenimonas* strain but that they inhibit the growth of an *Arthrobacter* strain. Furthermore, detailed biochemical work revealed that certain strains isolated from field-grown plants possess the enzymatic machinery to use triterpenes as a carbon source¹⁷.

Besides secondary metabolites, other components of plant root exudates (for example, aromatic organic acids) play a role in rhizosphere microbial community assembly. Zhelnina *et al.*⁶ showed that *Avena barbata* secretes different metabolite profiles during development stages. This chemical succession together with bacterial substrate preference for consumption of aromatic organic acids (nicotinic, shikimic, salicylic, cinnamic, and indole-3-acetic) and amino acids contributes to the pattern of microbial community assembly⁶. Correspondingly, rhizosphere bacteria encode a higher number of transporters for organic acids and amino acids in their genome¹⁸.

Interestingly, the metabolite composition of root exudates can be influenced systemically and specifically by different bacterial strains¹². In a tomato split root system, 53 to 75% of

metabolic features in LC-MS analysis of exudates of systemic roots were significantly changed after treatment of the local root with three different bacterial communities. Abundances of 93 metabolites were specifically affected by microbial treatment. Most of the regulated metabolites belonged to acyl-sugars, which have not been detected in roots or exudates before, or hydroxycinnamic acid conjugates¹². The analysis also revealed that the systemic changes in exudates are most likely transmitted through azelaic acid, identifying another plant metabolite important for shaping of root microbial community. Similarly, infection with a foliar pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* resulted in alteration of at least 50 metabolites in *Arabidopsis* root exudates¹⁰. The metabolic response was characterized by an enrichment of long-chain carbon compounds at the expense of short-chain compounds. Furthermore, preconditioning of soil using a mixture of these long-chain metabolites elicited improved pathogen resistance of subsequent plant generations, mediated via microbiome composition¹⁰. This finding provides proof of concept for targeted bio-control strategies.

Natural variation in metabolites shaping plant-microbe interactions

The discovery of such variety of metabolites affecting plant-microbe interactions in soil confirms the role of exudates for shaping the microbiome. As the secondary metabolite composition of different plant genotypes varies widely, approaches exploiting natural variation seem to be promising for identifying further components of rhizosphere signaling. The communication between plants and microbes occurs on multiple planes, and host genotype can clearly mold the associated microbiome communities^{3,4}. These genetic variations in metabolic traits have recently been shown to influence the recruitment of microbial communities and also the microbial activity in rhizosphere soil, which overall have consequences for adaptations and host fitness.

An investigation of whether root exudate composition is genetically determined on a metabolic level in *Arabidopsis thaliana* was conducted by Mönchgesang *et al.*¹⁹. The fundamental metabolites exhibiting natural variation in the exudates of the Multiparent Advanced Generation Inter-Cross (MAGIC) population were observed to be various glycosylated and sulfated compounds¹⁹. When the metabolic profiles from the exudate metabolome were clustered, the 19 *A. thaliana* parental lines of the MAGIC population illustrated clear genetic variations. Similar conclusions were drawn when the health-promoting secondary metabolites (glucosinolates, phenolic acids, and flavonoids) and the sulfur and water availability in six different *Moringa oleifera* ecotypes were investigated²⁰. The differences observed in secondary metabolite content and composition laid the foundation for ecotype recommendations for intensive cultivation²⁰.

Given the importance of plant-microbe interactions for plant performance, to understand whether and how natural variation affects microbiome composition is of great importance. A genome-wide association study (GWAS) to determine how plants control their leaf microbiome used 196 *Arabidopsis* accessions

and identified several candidate single-nucleotide polymorphisms²¹. These were localized in genes responsible for cell wall synthesis, defense response, and kinase activity, which possibly contribute to the variation in the detected foliar microbiome composition. The composition of root microbiomes from the same genotype panel revealed that they differ significantly from the leaf microbiomes and that the host exerts a larger effect on fungal communities than on bacterial ones²². Genes potentially affecting composition of root microbiome identified in a GWAS are involved in root development, vasculature, cell wall integrity, and immunity²². These reports clearly demonstrate that plants affect the taxonomic composition of their microbiome and that the control is complex and involves multiple processes.

Accordingly, research into the microbiome composition instigated further investigations into how natural variation potentially affects the recruitment and assembly of plant growth-promoting microbes. In 2015, Haney *et al.* found wild accessions of *A. thaliana* to vary in their ability to influence the root-associated bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, affecting the hosts' health²³. The accessions varied in the ability to support growth of *P. fluorescens* WCS365. Interestingly, accessions that negatively affected some *P. fluorescens* strains in the rhizosphere were not able to profit from plant growth-promoting effects of other *Pseudomonas* strains. So it seems that the compatibility within accessions represents evolutionary pressure, limiting the strains' growth within the *Arabidopsis* rhizosphere²³. Thus, the plant genotype can promote its own health by affecting the microbiome; for example, in the presence of beneficial *P. fluorescens* and the pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Arabidopsis* genotypes that assist in rhizosphere colonization by *Pseudomonas* showed a selective advantage. However, biotic stress and compatibility with beneficial microbes may also be detrimental factors for the host fitness, as some growth-promoting bacteria, such as *Pseudomonas* strains CH229 and CH267, induce susceptibility to pathogenic bacteria²³.

Assessing the magnitude of the plant genotype effect versus the role played by the environment, Thiergart *et al.*²⁴ report that across large spatial planes the location and soil have a greater influence on the composition of the root microbiota than the host genotype of *A. thaliana*. Reciprocal transplants between two widely separated *A. thaliana* populations IT1 and SW4 (Italy and Sweden, respectively) demonstrated that the bacterial and fungal assemblages on the root interface are differentially regulated by edaphic factors and climatic conditions. Thus, here soil seems to be the primary factor exerting influence on the root microbiota, and the genetic variation provides little in comparison²⁴.

A pioneering study deciphered the influence of plant natural variation on the biological activity of microbial soil aryl-sulfatase activity⁸. The analysis revealed more than a 10-fold difference of sulfatase activity in soil from 172 *A. thaliana* ecotypes, and a GWAS was performed to identify genes affecting the microbial community of the rhizosphere. Detailed analysis of the first selected candidate gene resulted in the identification of

a new cytochrome P450 enzyme in camalexin biosynthesis and revealed a new role of camalexin in plant–microbe interactions⁸. This again points to the importance of plant immune reactions in shaping their microbiome.

New approaches to identify metabolites critical for plant–microbe interactions

The compounds discussed above provide the first insights into how plants interact with root microbes. However, these insights are far from complete because the composition of root exudates is complex and changes depending on development stage, plant species, soil type, and other biotic or abiotic factors. To get a deeper insight into plant–rhizosphere bacteria interactions, new technologies have been developed. An exometabolomics (study of extracellular metabolites) approach, especially untargeted, provides detailed information about the complexity of root exudates and how they are affected by microbiota, enabling studies on bacterial substrate preferences from a mixture of exuded metabolites²⁵. By comparing exometabolite data, it is possible to find key compounds modulating plant–bacteria interactions²⁶. The exometabolomic methodology can also be used to dissect cross-feeding between plants and root microbes when root exudates serve as the sole carbon source for cultivation of the rhizosphere bacteria²⁵. This new approach thus allows researchers to investigate bacterial substrate preference from hundreds of metabolites at the same time, which mimics the real rhizosphere environment.

SynComs are an excellent tool to study plant–microbe interactions as defined bacterial communities can be assembled from large microbial collections²⁷. SynComs can be designed to elucidate and predict outputs caused by specific characteristics of bacterial consortia. Pioneering work using SynCom approaches showed that the defense phytohormone salicylic acid (SA) modulates bacterial colonization of the roots of *Arabidopsis*²⁸. Removal of all defense phytohormone signaling pathways (SA, jasmonic acid, and ethylene) results in an abnormal root microbial profile, which may lessen survival in nature. In that study, a SynCom of 38 bacteria strains was designed according to representation of family OTU categories and included strains differentially enriched in wild-type versus defense hormone mutants. This specific SynCom revealed that the absence of SA prevented the mutants from excluding bacteria that normally do not colonize wild-type²⁸. Another study took advantage of SynComs to investigate links between phosphate starvation response, immune system function, and root microbiome assembly, which act simultaneously in nature²⁹. That study showed the importance of genes controlling the phosphate starvation response for composition of root microbiome and revealed a novel function of the transcription factor PHR1 as a direct

regulator of a subset of immunity genes, including genes for synthesis of sulfur-containing secondary compounds, the glucosinolates²⁹. Furthermore, in an attempt to obtain a simplified but stable bacterial SynCom, Niu *et al.*³⁰ identified seven strains, which represented three of the four most dominant phyla in maize roots. This simple SynCom remained stable over long periods of time and was beneficial for the plant performance. The key finding was that one specific strain is necessary for stability of the community because if that keystone strain is removed, then the community diversity collapses³⁰. The design of SynComs can be assisted by plant–bacterium binary-association assays that may serve as bases for machine learning approaches to predict the plant phenotypes after inoculation³¹. This approach, together with hydroponic gnotobiotic systems, creates controlled and reproducible conditions to clarify plant–microbe interactions, providing a pathway to predict the functionality of complex bacterial consortia and plant phenotype. Such use of SynComs can be further refined by using microfluidics systems³², allowing, for example, the use of sensors for detection of specific metabolites and their dynamic responses to the microorganisms.

Conclusions

The recent breakthroughs in identifying rhizospheric signals between plants and microbes clearly accentuate that the metabolites exuded by roots enable plants to shape their microbiome. They also reveal that the metabolites form a dynamic and complex signaling network that also includes microbe-derived compounds. The challenges now are to identify further components of the signaling network and to understand the mechanisms by which the alterations in microbiome composition and functions, such as nutrient availability or pathogen suppression, are achieved. The new approaches summarized above will certainly contribute to addressing this challenge. Exploitation of natural variation and metabolomics will help to identify further components of the signaling networks and, in combination with SynComs, will enable questions on the function of individual rhizosphere metabolites to be answered. The interactions between microbial community members require more attention and the contribution of physicochemical soil properties. Ultimately, understanding how plants shape their microbiome will enable the development of biofertilization strategies using specific signals to recruit specific beneficial microbes for a given soil and environment.

Abbreviations

GWAS, genome-wide association study; LC-MS, liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry; MAGIC, Multiparent Advanced Generation Inter-Cross; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; SA, salicylic acid; SynCom, synthetic community

References

- Bulgarelli D, Schlaeppi K, Spaepen S, *et al.*: **Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants.** *Annu Rev Plant Biol.* 2013; **64**: 807–38.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Hacquard S, Garrido-Oter R, González A, *et al.*: **Microbiota and Host Nutrition across Plant and Animal Kingdoms.** *Cell Host Microbe.* 2015; **17**(5): 603–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

3. **F** Lundberg DS, Lebeis SL, Paredes SH, *et al.*: **Defining the core *Arabidopsis thaliana* root microbiome.** *Nature.* 2012; **488**(7409): 86–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
4. **F** Bulgarelli D, Rott M, Schlaeppi K, *et al.*: **Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota.** *Nature.* 2012; **488**(7409): 91–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
5. Sasse J, Martinoia E, Norrhen T: **Feed Your Friends: Do Plant Exudates Shape the Root Microbiome?** *Trends Plant Sci.* 2018; **23**(1): 25–41.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. **F** Zhailina K, Louie KB, Hao Z, *et al.*: **Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences drive patterns in rhizosphere microbial community assembly.** *Nat Microbiol.* 2018; **3**(4): 470–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
7. **F** Stringlis IA, Yu K, Feussner K, *et al.*: **MYB72-dependent coumarin exudation shapes root microbiome assembly to promote plant health.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2018; **115**(22): E5213–E5222.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
8. Koprivova A, Schuck S, Jacoby RP, *et al.*: **Root-specific camalexin biosynthesis controls the plant growth-promoting effects of multiple bacterial strains.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2019; **116**(31): 15735–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
9. **F** Voges MJEE, Bai Y, Schulze-Lefert P, *et al.*: **Plant-derived coumarins shape the composition of an *Arabidopsis* synthetic root microbiome.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2019; **116**(25): 12558–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
10. **F** Yuan J, Zhao J, Wen T, *et al.*: **Root exudates drive the soil-borne legacy of aboveground pathogen infection.** *Microbiome.* 2018; **6**(1): 156.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
11. **F** Carrión VJ, Perez-Jaramillo J, Cordovez V, *et al.*: **Pathogen-induced activation of disease-suppressive functions in the endophytic root microbiome.** *Science.* 2019; **366**(6465): 606–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
12. **F** Korenblum E, Dong Y, Szymanski J, *et al.*: **Rhizosphere microbiome mediates systemic root metabolite exudation by root-to-root signaling.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2020; **117**(7): 3874–3883.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
13. de Bruijn WJ, Gruppen H, Vincken JP: **Structure and biosynthesis of benzoxazinoids: Plant defence metabolites with potential as antimicrobial scaffolds.** *Phytochemistry.* 2018; **155**: 233–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
14. Neal AL, Ahmad S, Gordon-Weeks R, *et al.*: **Benzoxazinoids in root exudates of maize attract *Pseudomonas putida* to the rhizosphere.** *PLoS One.* 2012; **7**(4): e35498.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
15. **F** Cotton TEA, Pétriacq P, Cameron DD, *et al.*: **Metabolic regulation of the maize rhizobiome by benzoxazinoids.** *ISME J.* 2019; **13**(7): 1647–58.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
16. **F** Hu L, Robert CA, Cadot S, *et al.*: **Root exudate metabolites drive plant-soil feedbacks on growth and defense by shaping the rhizosphere microbiota.** *Nat Commun.* 2018; **9**(1): 2738.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
17. **F** Huang AC, Jiang T, Liu YX, *et al.*: **A specialized metabolic network selectively modulates *Arabidopsis* root microbiota.** *Science.* 2019; **364**(6440): pii: eaau6389.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
18. Mauchline TH, Fowler JE, East AK, *et al.*: **Mapping the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 1021 solute-binding protein-dependent transportome.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; **103**(47): 17933–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
19. Mönchgesang S, Strehmel N, Schmidt S, *et al.*: **Natural variation of root exudates in *Arabidopsis thaliana*-linking metabolomic and genomic data.** *Sci Rep.* 2016; **6**: 29033.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
20. Förster N, Ulrichs C, Schreiner M, *et al.*: **Ecotype variability in growth and secondary metabolite profile in *Moringa oleifera*: impact of sulfur and water availability.** *J Agric Food Chem.* 2015; **63**(11): 2852–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Horton MW, Bodenhausen N, Beilsmith K, *et al.*: **Genome-wide association study of *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaf microbial community.** *Nat Commun.* 2014; **5**: 5320.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
22. **F** Bergelson J, Mittelstrass J, Horton MW: **Characterizing both bacteria and fungi improves understanding of the *Arabidopsis* root microbiome.** *Sci Rep.* 2019; **9**(1): 24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
23. Haney CH, Samuel BS, Bush J, *et al.*: **Associations with rhizosphere bacteria can confer an adaptive advantage to plants.** *Nat Plants.* 2015; **1**(6): pii: 15051.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
24. **F** Thiergart T, Durán P, Ellis T, *et al.*: **Root microbiota assembly and adaptive differentiation among European *Arabidopsis* populations.** *Nat Ecol Evol.* 2020; **4**(1): 122–31.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
25. Jacoby RP, Martyn A, Kopriva S: **Exometabolomic Profiling of Bacterial Strains as Cultivated Using *Arabidopsis* Root Extract as the Sole Carbon Source.** *Mol Plant Microbe Interact.* 2018; **31**(8): 803–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
26. Baran R, Brodie EL, Mayberry-Lewis J, *et al.*: **Exometabolite niche partitioning among sympatric soil bacteria.** *Nat Commun.* 2015; **6**: 8289.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
27. **F** Liu YX, Qin Y, Bai Y: **Reductionist synthetic community approaches in root microbiome research.** *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2019; **49**: 97–102.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
28. Lebeis SL, Paredes SH, Lundberg DS, *et al.*: **PLANT MICROBIOME. Salicylic acid modulates colonization of the root microbiome by specific bacterial taxa.** *Science.* 2015; **349**(6250): 860–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. **F** Castrillo G, Teixeira PJ, Paredes SH, *et al.*: **Root microbiota drive direct integration of phosphate stress and immunity.** *Nature.* 2017; **543**(7646): 513–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
30. **F** Niu B, Paulson JN, Zheng X, *et al.*: **Simplified and representative bacterial community of maize roots.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2017; **114**(12): E2450–E2459.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
31. **F** Herrera Paredes S, Gao T, Law TF, *et al.*: **Design of synthetic bacterial communities for predictable plant phenotypes.** *PLoS Biol.* 2018; **16**(2): e2003962.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
32. **F** Stanley CE, Shrivastava J, Brugman R, *et al.*: **Dual-flow-RootChip reveals local adaptations of roots towards environmental asymmetry at the physiological and genetic levels.** *New Phytol.* 2018; **217**(3): 1357–69.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1. Corné Pieterse**
Plant-Microbe Interactions, Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 2. Philip Poole**
Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 3. Fabrice Roux**
LIPM, Université de Toulouse, Institut National de Recherche en Agriculture, Alimentation et Environnement, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Castanet, Tolosan, France
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research