REVIEW

Recent developments in the intervention of specific phobia among adults: a rapid review [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Christabel E.W. Thng, Nikki S.J. Lim-Ashworth, Brian Z.Q. Poh ,
Choon Guan Lim

Department of Developmental Psychiatry, Institute of Mental Health, 10 Buangkok View, 539747, Singapore

V1 First published: 19 Mar 2020, 9(F1000 Faculty Rev):195
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20082.1>

Latest published: 19 Mar 2020, 9(F1000 Faculty Rev):195
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20082.1>

Abstract

Specific phobia is highly prevalent worldwide. Although the body of intervention studies is expanding, there is a lack of reviews that summarise recent progress and discuss the challenges and direction of research in this area. Hence, this rapid review seeks to systematically evaluate the available evidence in the last five years in the treatment of specific phobias in adults. Studies published between January 2014 to December 2019 were identified through searches on the electronic databases of Medline and PsychINFO. In total, 33 studies were included. Evidence indicates that psychotherapy, and in particular cognitive behaviour therapy, when implemented independently or as an adjunctive, is a superior intervention with large effect sizes. Technology-assisted therapies seem to have a beneficial effect on alleviating fears and are described to be more tolerable than *in vivo* exposure therapy. Pharmacological agents are investigated solely as adjuncts to exposure therapy, but the effects are inconsistent; propranolol and glucocorticoid may be promising. A handful of cognitive-based therapies designed to alter fear arousal and activation pathways of phobias have presented preliminary, positive outcomes. Challenges remain with the inherent heterogeneity of specific phobia as a disorder and the accompanying variability in outcome measures and intervention approaches to warrant a clear conclusion on efficacy.

Keywords

Specific phobia, intervention, virtual reality, psychological treatment, phobia

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1 19 Mar 2020		

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

1. **Azucena Garcia-Palacios**, Universitat Jaume I, Castellón, Spain
2. **Annelieke Roest**, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Choon Guan Lim (choon_guan_lim@imh.com.sg)

Author roles: **Thng CEW:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Lim-Ashworth NSJ:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Poh BZQ:** Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Lim CG:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2020 Thng CEW *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Thng CEW, Lim-Ashworth NSJ, Poh BZQ and Lim CG. **Recent developments in the intervention of specific phobia among adults: a rapid review [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2020, 9(F1000 Faculty Rev):195 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20082.1>

First published: 19 Mar 2020, 9(F1000 Faculty Rev):195 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.20082.1>

Recent developments in the intervention of specific phobia among adults: a rapid review

Specific phobia, which has a lifetime prevalence of 7.4%, is one of the most common disorders¹. It is defined in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 5th edition (DSM-5) as a marked fear or anxiety about a specific object or situation (for example, flying, heights, animals, receiving an injection, or seeing blood)². The four subtypes recognised in the DSM-5 are animal (for example, spiders and insects), natural environment (for example, heights and storms), blood-injection-injury (for example, needles and invasive medical procedures) and situational (for example, airplanes, elevators and closed spaces), and there is an 'other' category for phobias that do not fit into the aforementioned subtypes. The phobic object or situation almost always provokes immediate fear or anxiety and is actively avoided or endured with intense fear or anxiety. This fear or anxiety is out of proportion to the actual danger posed by the specific object or situation and to the sociocultural context. These symptoms typically last for 6 months or more and can cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning².

To date, the treatment of specific phobia revolves around the following: pharmacological therapy, behavioural therapy and cognitive therapy. In particular, *in vivo* exposure appeared to be the most efficacious intervention for a wide variety of phobias, and a few studies obtained a response rate of 80 to 90%, based on a review by Choy *et al.*³. Other forms of exposure approaches for specific phobia include imaginal exposure and systematic desensitisation⁴⁻⁶ and eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing (EMDR)⁷. These exposure interventions have demonstrated varying levels of treatment success with different types of specific phobias³. More recently, the use of technology and virtual reality (VR) as part of therapy has become more commonplace^{8,9}.

In 2007, Choy *et al.* completed a comprehensive review of the treatment studies in specific phobias³. The review evaluated acute and long-term efficacy studies of *in vivo* exposure, VR, cognitive therapy and other treatments from 1960 to 2005. Since then, many more studies have been carried out in this area, offering us new insights into the treatment of specific phobias.

Thus, the present study aims to systematically examine the research that has been carried out on the treatment of specific phobias in the past five years and to provide an update on the latest literature by using a rapid review methodology. We have categorised the studies into those that focus on (1) psychological treatments, (2) involving the use of technology, (3) pharmacological treatments and (4) others (for studies that do not fall under the first three categories).

Methods

A computer search of PsychINFO and Medline from January 2014 to December 2019 was conducted by using the following search terms: "phob*", "phobia", "fear", "phobic", "phobic neurosis" and "phobic neuroses". These were combined with "treatment", "therapy", "psychological therapy", "psychotherapy", "supportive therapy", "drug", "pharmacotherapy" and "medication".

The titles and abstracts of this comprehensive search were reviewed, and articles were selected on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria listed below. Two reviewers independently reviewed the full text of the selected articles to decide on the final list of studies to be included. Any discrepancies in the selection of articles were discussed and resolved between the two reviewers. The overview of the search process is presented in [Figure 1](#).

Studies were included if they met the following criteria:

1. Published in the English language between January 2014 and December 2019
2. Adults 18 years old or older (If age range was not reported, we included articles that reported a mean age of 18 years or older.)
3. Sample size of at least 10 in the intervention group
4. Studies looking at specific phobias or fears
5. Study designs that included a control and compared at least two treatments in parallel.

We excluded the following types of studies:

1. Studies that controlled only for variations of a treatment component (for example, importance of therapist involvement and importance of relaxation) and did not have a separate control group or active treatment
2. Studies with crossover designs, because treatment effects can be confounded by carry-over and learning effects depending on the order in which treatment is administered
3. Studies with analogue samples, as these would not be representative of the general population (that is, studies that had used samples that might not be representative of the clinical population; for example, individuals who are not evaluated using a clinical tool or a structured assessment process, and the severity of their functioning or the level of motivation may differ from that of the actual clinical population).

Results

Overall study characteristics

In all, 33 studies fulfilled the selection benchmarks and were included in this review. [Table 1](#) presents a detailed summary of the included studies. The mean age of the participants from the studies included in the rapid review range from 19.12¹⁰ to 65.72¹¹. Across the studies, sample sizes were generally smaller for studies with clinical sample and bigger for community studies, varying from 22¹² to 371¹³, and the median was 60. The majority of the studies had community-based samples. Attrition rates range from 0 to 46% and the median was 3.3%. Loss to follow-up of up to 5% is of minimum concern, whereas loss of more than 20% may be a source of bias in randomised controlled trials¹⁴. Five studies included in this review have attrition rates of more than 20%^{10,13,15-17}, but they are of survey research design, which comes with an expected high attrition rate¹⁸. There are far more female than male participants in many of the studies, especially for those that focused on fear of spiders (13 studies), needles/injections (two studies) and heights/flying

Figure 1. Information about study screening, selection and exclusion.

(eight studies). These fears tend to be more pervasive in females than males¹⁹. Three studies that examined the fear of childbirth naturally restricted the samples to female participants. Last but not least, the follow-up periods range from immediate follow-up to 1 year, and the median is 1 month.

Psychotherapy (n = 11)

Psychotherapies, specifically exposure-based therapies, have traditionally been considered the most robust treatment for most specific phobias^{3,20}. Based on the inclusion criteria, 11 studies were included in this section on psychological therapies for specific phobia. The psychotherapies include cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), systematic desensitisation, EMDR, exposure in different variations (very brief exposure, exposure online, hyper-real exposure and so on), psychoeducation, relaxation, applied muscle tension (AMT) and haptotherapy. Although CBT consists of a behavioural intervention component similar to exposure, it includes an additional cognitive component to change maladaptive beliefs. A plethora of specific phobias—including emetophobia (fear of vomiting), kinesiophobia (fear of movement), aerophobia (fear of flying), fear of childbirth, dental anxiety, spider phobia and fear of needles—were treated in these studies. Two out of the eleven studies had participants from a clinical sample^{21,22}, whereas the rest of the studies obtained participants from the community^{10,11,13,15–17,23–25}. Given the exponential growth of internet usage in the last decade, computer/internet-based therapies are becoming more common²⁶. Unsurprisingly, two out of the five studies on CBT delivered interventions by using computer/internet-based programs^{16,17}, whereas another two studies conducted the exposure of feared stimulus by using computer images^{24,25}.

CBT was found to be superior to wait-list control and standard care control in all of the studies analysed. CBT was found to be significantly more efficacious than the control group with a large effect size ($d = 1.53$) for emetophobia²¹ and to provide better outcome than standard care for kinesiophobia in a 6-month follow-up¹¹. Internet-based CBT reduced the levels of childbirth fear significantly when compared with standard care of counselling by trained midwives¹⁶. A single 1-hour session of computer-based CBT with psychoeducation and exposure components was found to significantly reduce dental anxiety in a 1-month follow-up as compared with wait-list control¹⁷. A novel study that made a three-way comparison between CBT with systematic desensitisation (d ranges from 1.32 to 2.23), CBT with EMDR (d ranges from 1.23 to 2.67) and CBT with VR exposure therapy (VRET) (d ranges from 1.11 to 2.55) for aerophobia found that all three hybrid interventions were efficient in reducing self-reported measures of the fear of flight²².

Three studies examined different unique and novel modalities of exposure for the treatment of spider phobia. Cougle *et al.*¹⁰ evaluated contamination-focused exposure as a prospective transdiagnostic treatment strategy for disgust-based fears and found marginally significant results only for participants with high levels of pre-treatment disgust propensity. The authors concluded that more studies were needed given that their study was possibly the first examination of a transdiagnostic contamination-focused exposure. As opposed to conducting the usual time-consuming exposure interventions, Siegel and Gallagher²⁵ tested out the effects of very brief exposure (VBE) of 25 spider images (of 33 milliseconds each) on spider phobia and found a significantly reduced avoidance of the tarantula as compared with

Table 1. Characteristics of studies examining the efficacy of an intervention for specific phobias.

Source	Type of treatment	Specific phobia type and sample origin	Treatment condition and sample size	Age range (M)	Format of delivery	Primary outcome measure	Outcomes
1 Cai <i>et al.</i> , 2018 ¹¹	Psychotherapy	Kinesiophobia (community)	1: CBT (psychoeducation and relaxation) (n = 50) 2: Standard care control (n = 50)	57–74 (65.72)	4 sessions - 30 min	- TSK	Post: 1 > 2 6 months: 1 > 2
2 Cougle <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ¹⁰	Psychotherapy	Spiders (community)	1: Exposure to contamination (n = 17) 2: Wait-list control (n = 17)	Not stated (19.12)	3 sessions - 30 min - over 2 weeks	- BAT - DPSS-12 - FSQ	1 week: 1 > 2 Moderated by high levels of general disgust propensity at pre-treatment
3 Klabbers <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ¹⁵	Psychotherapy	Fear of childbirth (community)	1: Haptotherapy (n = 51) 2: Psycho-education via the Internet (n = 39) 3: Care as usual (n = 44)	Not stated (32)	8 sessions (haptotherapy) - 60 min 8 modules (psychoeducation) - over 8 weeks	- TES - W-DEQ - 4DSQ	6 months: 1 > 2 and 3
4 Kowalsky <i>et al.</i> , 2018 ²³	Psychotherapy	Fear of needles (community)	1: AMT-RI; applied muscle tension (AMT) during trial one and respiratory intervention (RI) during trial two (n = 31) 2: RI-AMT; RI during trial one and AMT during trial two (n = 33) 3: No treatment control (n = 31)	19–55 (23.4)	1 session	- MFS – Needle and Blood subscales	1 > 2 = 3
5 Matthews <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ²⁴	Psychotherapy	Spiders (community)	1: Real exposure (online) (n = 14) 2: Hyper-real exposure (online) (n = 11) 3: Control exposure (n = 9)	Not stated (26.5)	8 sessions - over 1 week	- FSQ - BAT - CIDI- specific phobia module	1 month: 1 = 2 > 3
6 Riddle-Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ²¹	Psychotherapy	Emetophobia (clinical)	1: CBT with exposure (n = 12) 2: Wait-list control (n = 12)	Not stated (33.5)	12 sessions - 60 min - over 18 weeks	- EmetQ - HAI - ASI - PHQ-9 - SDS	Post: 1 > 2 2 months: 1 > 2
7 Rondung <i>et al.</i> , 2018 ⁶	Psychotherapy	Fear of childbirth (community)	1: CBT (internet-based) (n = 127) 2: Standard care, counselling by midwives (n = 131)	17–42 (29.6)	7 modules - over 8 weeks	- FOBS	Post: 1 = 2 1 year: 1 > 2 Findings not easily interpreted due to limitations
8 Rouhe <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ¹³	Psychotherapy	Fear of childbirth (community)	1: Group psychoeducation with relaxation (n = 131) 2: Control group (n = 240)	Not stated	6 sessions - 120 min - group	- MAMA - W-DEQ - EPDS - TES	3 months: 1 > 2
9 Siegel and Gallagher, 2015 ²⁵	Psychotherapy	Spiders (community)	1: Very brief exposure (VBE), 24-hour BAT (n = 22) 2: Very brief exposure (VBE), immediate BAT (n = 21) 3: Control exposure, 24-hour BAT (n = 21) 4: Control exposure, immediate BAT (n = 22)	Not stated (19.4)	2 sessions - over 1 week	- FSQ - BAT	1 day: 1 = 2 > 3 = 4

	Source	Type of treatment	Specific phobia type and sample origin	Treatment condition and sample size	Age range (M)	Format of delivery	Primary outcome measure	Outcomes
10	Tellez <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ¹⁷	Psychotherapy	Dental anxiety (community)	1: CBT with psychoeducation, exposure (computer-based) (n = 74) 2: Wait-list control group (n = 77)	18-70 (44.7)	1 session - 60 min	- MDAS	1 month: 1 > 2
11	Triscari <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ²²	Psychotherapy	Flying (clinical)	1: CBT with systematic desensitization (CBT-SD) (n = 22) 2: CBT with eye movement desensitization and reprocessing therapy (CBT-EMDR) (n = 22) 3: CBT with virtual reality exposure therapy (CBT-VRET) (n = 21)	24-70 (43.52)	10 sessions - 120 min	- FAM - FAS	Post: 1 = 2 = 3 1 year: 1 = 2 = 3
12	Botella <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ²⁷	Technology-assisted therapy	Spiders/cockroaches (community)	1: AR (n = 32) 2: <i>In vivo</i> exposure (n = 31)	20-70 (31.73)	1 session - 180 min - individual	- BAT	Post: 1 < 2 12 weeks: 1 = 2 24 weeks: 1 = 2
13	Freeman <i>et al.</i> , 2018 ²⁸	Technology-assisted therapy	Heights (community)	1: VRET (n = 49) 2: TAU (n = 51)	30-58 (45.51)	6 sessions - 30 min - individual	- HIQ	Post: 1 > 2 4 weeks: 1 > 2
14	Gujjar <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ²⁹	Technology-assisted therapy	Dental anxiety (clinical)	1: VRET (n = 15) 2: Psychoeducation with information pamphlet (n = 15)	18-50 (24.15)	1 session - 40 min - individual	- VAS-A - MDAS - DFS	Post: 1 > 2 1 week: 1 > 2 12 weeks: 1 > 2 24 weeks: 1 > 2
15	Lima <i>et al.</i> , 2018 ³⁰	Technology-assisted therapy	Storms (community)	1: VRET (n = 18) 2: Progressive muscle relaxation + psychoeducation (n = 18)	Not stated (27.56)	1 session - 60 min - individual	- SFQ - BAT	Post: 1 > 2 4 weeks: 1 = 2
16	Miloff <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ³¹	Technology-assisted therapy	Spiders (community)	1: VRET (VIMSE) (n = 50) 2: <i>In vivo</i> exposure (n = 50)	>18 (34.05)	1 session - 180 min - individual	- BAT	Post: 1 < 2 12 weeks: 1 = 2 1 year: 1 = 2
17	Minns <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ³²	Technology-assisted therapy	Spiders (community)	1: VRET (n = 38) 2: Wait list (n = 39)	18-65 (19.27)	1 session - 30 min - individual	- FSQ	Post: 1 > 2
18	Yilmaz Yelvar <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ³³	Technology-assisted therapy	Kinesiophobia (community)	1: VRET physiotherapy (n = 23) 2: Traditional physiotherapy (n = 23)	Not stated (49.54)	10 sessions - individual	- TSK	Post: 1 > 2
19	Acheson <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ³⁴	Pharmacotherapy	Spiders (community)	1: Exposure + 24 IU oxytocin (n = 10) 2: Exposure + placebo (n = 13)	Not stated (1.26.8, 2.33.3)	1 session	- SPQ - FSQ - BAT	1 month: 2 > 1
20	Meyerbröker <i>et al.</i> , 2018 ³⁵	Pharmacotherapy	Heights/flying (clinical)	1: VRET + 15 mg yohimbine (n = 18) 2: VRET + placebo (n = 18) 3: VRET + 40 mg propranolol (n = 18)	19-65 (36.6)	3 sessions - over 2 weeks	- WAQ - AQ - ATHQ - FAM - FAS	3 months: 1 = 2 = 3

Source	Type of treatment	Specific phobia type and sample origin	Treatment condition and sample size	Age range (M)	Format of delivery	Primary outcome measure	Outcomes
21 Raeder <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ³⁶	Pharmacotherapy	Spiders (community)	1: Exposure + 20 mg hydrocortisone (n = 20) 2: Exposure + placebo (n = 23)	18-65 (1. 22.7, 2. 22.6)	1 session	- SPQ - FSQ - SBQ - BAT	7 months: 2 > 1
22 Soeter and Kindt, 2015 ³⁷	Pharmacotherapy	Spiders (community)	1: Exposure + 40 mg propranolol (n = 15) 2: Exposure + placebo (n = 15) 3: 40 mg Propranolol (n = 15)	18-32 (21.6)	1 session	- SPQ - BAT	1 year: 1 > 2 = 3
23 Soravia <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ¹²	Pharmacotherapy	Spiders (community)	1: VRET + 20 mg hydrocortisone (n = 11) 2: VRET + Placebo (n = 11)	20-60 (33.1)	2 sessions - group - over 2 weeks	- FSQ	1 month: 1 > 2
24 Telch <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ³⁸	Pharmacotherapy	Enclosed space (community)	1: Extinction training + 260 mg methylene blue 2: Extinction training + placebo	18-36 (19.3)	1 session	- BAT	1 month: 2 > 1
25 Dreyer-Oren <i>et al.</i> , 2019 ³⁹	Others	Heights (community)	1: CBM (n = 27) 2: CBM + exposure (n = 26) 3: Exposure (n = 26) 4: Control (sham CBM) (n = 28)	18-67 (23.58)	2 sessions - 90 min - individual	- AQ-anxiety - HIQ	Post: 3 > 1 > 2 > 4
26 Maples-Keller <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ⁴⁰	Others	Flying (community)	1: Reactivation cue prior to VRE (n = 45) 2: Neutral cue prior to VRE (n = 44)	21-67 (42.11)	4 sessions - individual	- FFI - STAI	Post: 1 = 2 3 months: 1 = 2 1 year: 1 = 2
27 Meuret <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ⁴¹	Others	Blood-injection-injury	1: Symptom-associated tension training (SAT) (n = 20) 2: Hypoventilation Respiratory training - HRT (n = 20) 3: Relaxation skills training (RST) (n = 20)	18-49 (27.9)	1 session - 12 min - individual	- MFS	Post: 1 and 2 > 3
28 Notzon <i>et al.</i> , 2015 ⁴²	Others	Spiders (community)	1: ITBS in spider phobics (n = 21), in healthy controls (n = 19) 2: Sham in spider phobics (n = 20), in healthy controls (n = 23)	18-65	1 session - 3 min - individual	- FSQ - SUDS - HR - SCL	Post: 1 = 2
29 Seinfeld <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ⁴³	Others	Heights (community)	1: VRE with background music (n = 20) 2: VRE without background music (n = 20)	>18 (1. 27.15, 2. 25.40)	1 session - individual	- SUDS - APQ - STAI-Y - VR questionnaire - EDA - SCL - ECG	Post: 1 > 2
30 Shibani <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ⁴⁴	Others	Flying (community)	1: VRE with diaphragmatic breathing (DB) (n = 15) 2: VRE without DB (n = 14)	20-65 (1. 34.3, 2. 43)	1 session - 75 min - individual	- FFS - ASI	Post 1 = 2 1 week 1 = 2 1 year 1 = 2

Source	Type of treatment	Specific phobia type and sample origin	Treatment condition and sample size	Age range (M)	Format of delivery	Primary outcome measure	Outcomes
31 Siegel <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ⁴⁵	Others	Spiders (community)	1: VBE to masked spiders (n = 30) 2: VBE to masked flowers (n = 30)	Not stated (19.6)	1 session - individual	- FSQ - BAT - SUDS	Post: 1 > 2
32 Steinman <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ⁴⁶	Others	Heights (community)	1: CBM (n = 27) 2: CBM + exposure (n = 27) 3: Exposure (n = 28) 4: Control (n = 28)	18-67 (23.58)	2 sessions - individual	- HIQ - BAT - AQ-anxiety	Post: 2 > 1 > 3 > 4 1 month: 1 = 2 > 3 = 4
33 Telch <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ⁴⁷	Others	Spider/snakes (community)	1: Fear reactivation prior to exposure therapy (n = 15) 2: Fear reactivation after exposure therapy (n = 17)	18-40 (21.31)	6 sessions - 3 min - individual	- BAT	Post: 1 = 2 1 month: 1 > 2

4DSQ, Four-Dimensional Symptom Questionnaire; APQ, Autonomic Perception Questionnaire; AQ, Acrophobia Questionnaire; AR, augmented reality; ASI, Anxiety Sensitivity Index; ATHQ, Attitude Towards Heights Questionnaire; BAT, Behavioural Approach/Avoidance Task; CBM, cognitive bias modification; CBT, cognitive behavioural therapy; CIDI, Composite International Diagnostic Interview; DFS, Dental Fear Scale; DPSS-12, Disgust Propensity and Sensitivity Scale-Revised; ECG, electrocardiogram measure; EDA, Electrodermal Activity; EmetQ, Emetophobia Questionnaire; EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; FAS, Flight Anxiety Situations Questionnaire; FAM, Flight Anxiety Modality Questionnaire; FFI, Fear of Flying Inventory; FFS, Fear of Flying Scale; FOBS, Fear of Birth Scale; FSQ, Fear of Spiders Questionnaire; HAI, Health Anxiety Inventory; HIQ, Heights Interpretation Questionnaire; HR, Heart Rate; iTBS, Intermittent Theta Burst Stimulation; MAMA, Maternal Adjustment and Maternal Attitudes; MDAS, Modified Dental Anxiety Scale; MFS, Medical Fears Survey; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire; SPQ, Spider Phobia Questionnaire; SCL, skin conductance level; SDS, Sheehan Disability Scale; SFQ, Storm Fear Questionnaire; SPQ, Spider Phobia Questionnaire; STAI-Y, State Trait Anxiety Inventory - Form Y; SUDS, Subjective Units of Discomfort; TAU, treatment as usual; TES, Traumatic Event Scale; TSK, TAMPA Scale for Kinesiophobia; VAS-A, Visual Analogue Scale for Anxiety; VBE, very brief exposure; VRE, vancomycin-resistant Enterococci; VRET, virtual reality exposure therapy; WAQ, Weekly Anxiety Questionnaire; W-DEQ, Wijma Delivery Expectancy/Experience Questionnaire.

the flower image control. Last but not least, hyper-real image exposure (real images exaggerated to increase fear) was found to have no added advantage as compared with real image exposure for spider phobia, and both were significantly superior to wait-list control²⁴. These studies represent innovative efforts to improvise on the well-researched exposure techniques for specific phobia in recent years.

Other than CBT and exposure therapies, different types of psychological treatments were found to be useful for particular specific phobias. A study for needle phobia found that AMT could significantly increase cerebral oxygenation and end-tidal carbon dioxide (both implicated in syncope, a risk specific to needle-related fear) as compared with slow breathing respiration intervention and no treatment control²³. For the fear of childbirth, group psychoeducation with relaxation exercises was found to improve maternal adjustment and childbirth experience as compared with the control group in a 3-month follow-up¹³. Finally, haptotherapy, which aims to improve perception and attitude towards pregnancy and childbirth, was found to be an efficient new intervention for severe childbirth fear as compared with internet psychoeducation and care as usual¹⁵.

In sum, evidence in the last five years continues to support CBT and exposure as effective interventions for a variety of specific phobias in both traditional and modern cyber modalities. Some researchers have attempted to improve existing interventions with improvisations, whereas other researchers explored novel treatment methods, both with varying levels of success. The journey to improving psychotherapies for specific phobia should continue in this right direction.

Technology-assisted therapy (n = 7)

This section reviews technology-assisted therapies in the treatment of specific phobia. Technology-assisted therapies have received significant interest in the last decade because of their acceptability and increasing ease of application; they mitigate the typical aversion associated with *in vivo* exposure, and the efficacy is generalizable to real life²⁷. Seven of the studies fit the criteria: six used a VR platform and one examined the effectiveness of augmented reality (AR). In VRET, an individual with phobia experiences the fear situation in a fully artificial setting simulated by a computer program through a headset²⁸. Conversely, AR creates an immersive environment where the target feared stimulus is digitally enhanced and combined with other aspects in the real-life environment²⁷.

The seven VR and AR interventions reviewed were administered individually and addressed a variety of specific phobias, including phobias of heights, spiders, cockroaches, dental procedures, storms and movements. Participants in most of these studies did not have a clinically diagnosed phobia and instead were assessed to meet study inclusion criteria through a self-reported, pre-determined cut-off of subjective fear score. Five of the studies had active comparisons: two involved *in vivo* exposure^{27,31}, one consisted of psychoeducation and progressive muscle relaxation³⁰, one of psychoeducation²⁹, and one of traditional physiotherapy³³. The remaining two studies compared

VRET with a non-active control condition^{28,32}. Treatment was typically a single session but in two studies this was not the case^{28,33}. Outcomes were assessed through a range of self-report questionnaires and behavioural avoidance tests. Treatment outcomes at follow-up were reported by five studies, and the duration of the follow-up ranged from 1 to 52 weeks.

Two studies suggest that VR and AR exposure was associated with less improvement compared with *in vivo* exposure therapy, at least immediately after intervention. Miloff *et al.*³¹ compared a single-session VRET with *in vivo* exposure in a non-inferiority trial involving 100 participants with a clinically diagnosed spider phobia. The authors found that although VRET significantly reduced behavioural avoidance ($d = 1.49$) to a large effect size, it was not more effective than *in vivo* exposure in the treatment of spider phobia measured at the end of treatment. Non-inferiority was achieved only at 3 and 12 months after treatment. Drop-out rate was low for both conditions; 94% of the VRET group and 88% of the *in vivo* group completed the assessment at the 12-month time point. Similarly, a second study reported that reduction in spider or cockroach avoidance-related behaviour was more evident in the control *in vivo* exposure condition instead of the hypothesised AR exposure therapy treatment condition²⁷. At the 3- and 6-month follow-up, treatment efficacy was maintained and comparable across the two groups. Attrition rate was not significantly different, although participants in the AR condition had expected the intervention to be “less aversive”.

The remaining three studies with active control groups consistently established VRET as the superior treatment option. In one VRET-based intervention, participants who were exposed to a hierarchy of five dental anxiety scenarios (for example, a virtual dentist holding a syringe) had significantly reduced fears and avoidance behaviour compared to those who had only received standard information about dental anxiety through a pamphlet²⁹. The positive treatment effects were replicated at 1-, 12-, and 24-week follow-up. More importantly, 77% of participants in the VRET condition compared with 50% in the control condition ($P > 0.05$) underwent an actual dental procedure within 6 months of the intervention, indicating generalisability of the VRET treatment gains. Lima *et al.*³⁰ examined VRET in comparison with progressive muscle relaxation (PMR) and psychoeducation in the attenuation of storm fears. VRET was superior to PMR and psychoeducation in terms of the subjective units of distress experienced by the participants (measured after treatment). A separate outcome measure—that is, the Storm Fear Questionnaire (SFQ)—was used to assess treatment effects 1 month after the intervention; the authors reported that treatment gains were maintained and that the two groups performed comparatively. When VRET was used as an adjunctive intervention, it improved the effects of traditional physiotherapy among participants with kinesiophobia (fear of movements)³³.

Furthermore, VRET delivered a consistently better outcome than a non-treatment control condition in two studies. In the first, participants who underwent six 30-minute sessions of VRET had a greater reduction of distress, anxiety, and avoidance

scores on the Height Interpretation Questionnaire (HIQ) in comparison with those who were assigned to treatment as usual (in this case, the team quantified as equivalent to “receiving no treatment”)²⁸. This was maintained at 4-week follow-up. Another group of researchers demonstrated that VRET treatment was more efficacious in decreasing subjective fear of spiders and related avoidance behaviour compared with a wait-list condition³². As in other studies, the between-group effect size was large ($d = 0.85$). In addition, there was no attrition reported in the VRET group, suggesting good tolerability of the treatment.

Overall, the evidence reviewed suggests that VRET is a robust and well-tolerated intervention for a range of specific phobias among adults. The positive treatment effects of VRET were maintained mostly at follow-up periods. It should be noted that the immediate efficacy of VRET in relation to *in vivo* exposure, which is the gold standard in the treatment of specific phobia, may not be superior but the two studies^{27,31} went on to determine that the longer-term gains were equivalent.

Pharmacotherapy (n = 6)

The general view is that medications are of limited benefit in the treatment of specific phobia^{50,51}. One review of treatment for phobias in adults, published in 2007, concluded that medication has limited use but that D-cycloserine could be promising as an adjunctive treatment³. Not surprisingly, our search yielded only six studies investigating pharmacological treatment among adults with specific phobia. In addition, these articles had investigated the potential role of various compounds as adjuncts to psychotherapy.

Meyerbröker *et al.* studied the use of yohimbine and propranolol to enhance the effect of VRET in participants with specific phobia³⁵. Yohimbine hydrochloride was postulated to enhance emotional memory by elevating norepinephrine level, whereas propranolol could reduce psychophysiological arousal and make exposure therapy more tolerable. Study participants were randomly assigned to receive yohimbine, propranolol or placebo an hour before exposure therapy. The study did not find differences among the three treatment groups. In addition, the propranolol group reported a higher anxiety level 3 months later in comparison with post-intervention. In a similar double-blind, block-randomized, placebo-controlled study, 20 mg of hydrocortisone or placebo was administered an hour before exposure therapy for participants with spider phobia over two therapy sessions¹². Glucocorticoids was hypothesised to promote the fear extinction process⁵². Those who received cortisol before exposure-based group therapy did not show immediate post-treatment improvement but reported significantly greater reduction in spider phobic symptoms 1 month later. The authors hypothesised that time was needed for the glucocorticoid effects on the consolidation processes to take place.

Several trials investigated the effect of post-exposure administration of agents that could enhance fear extinction. Methylene blue could improve memory consolidation and enhance the effect of exposure therapy⁵³. Telch *et al.*³⁸ randomly assigned 42 participants with claustrophobia to receive methylene blue

or placebo following extinction training. In total, 260 mg of methylene blue was administered in three equally divided doses immediately after training, 6 to 10 hours later and again 6 to 10 hours later. The group receiving methylene blue showed greater improvement at post-treatment assessment but fared worse 1 month later. A separate clinical trial investigated the use of propranolol to disrupt the process of memory reconsolidation during exposure therapy^{37,54,55}. Forty-five participants (18 to 32 years old) with spider phobia were randomly assigned to receive propranolol or placebo following exposure therapy or just propranolol alone. For the intervention group, 40 mg of propranolol was administered after a short exposure to a tarantula. After treatment, the intervention group performed better on behavioural assessments than the other two groups, even at the 3-month and 1-year follow-up. However, the self-reported outcomes showed significant improvement in the intervention group only at the 3-month and 1-year follow-up. The authors hypothesise that this suggests that cognitive change follows extinction of the fear behaviour. The effect of post-exposure cortisol administration was investigated in a double-blind placebo-controlled trial involving 43 subjects with spider phobia³⁶. Cortisol did not add further benefit to exposure therapy and was found to have an adverse effect on fear renewal on the basis of behavioural approach tests at the 7-month follow-up.

A final agent investigated for possible enhancement of benefit of exposure therapy is intranasal oxytocin³⁴. Oxytocin is a mammalian neuropeptide that modulates activity of the neuro-circuit mediating fear extinction and memory processes and may enhance the fear extinction effect of exposure therapy if administered prior^{56,57}. This small study involved 23 patients, and those who received oxytocin prior to exposure therapy fared worse than those who received placebo, suggesting that intranasal oxytocin was not beneficial.

Among the pharmacological agents investigated, there were positive preliminary data for propranolol and glucocorticoid as adjunctive treatment to psychotherapy. Of particular interest is propranolol as the timing of its administration in relation to the exposure therapy appeared to be important to its efficacy. Overall, further studies are needed to see whether these positive results can be replicated.

Others (n = 9)

The remaining nine studies, which investigated an intervention that did not fit clearly into the scope of a psychological, technological or pharmacological treatment, are reviewed here. A specific cluster of the treatment modality targeted a different aspect of what is understood in the development and the subsequent extinction of phobias: the pathological fear seen in phobias is conceptualised to arise from an imbalance between the amygdala activation to non-threatening stimuli and the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (inhibitory effect on the amygdala)⁵⁸.

Notzon *et al.*⁴² examined the use of intermittent theta burst stimulation (iTBS) to regulate the PFC and improve phobic symptoms. iTBS is a more intense form of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS). A single session of iTBS administered

prior to immersion in a VR spider scene showed no effect on the subjective reactions provoked by VR. It was uncertain whether more sessions would be more effective or whether this TMS protocol was appropriate. Surprisingly, with iTBS, participants demonstrated an increase in sympathetic response on electrophysiological parameters and this was possibly due to increased attention towards the presented stimuli⁵⁹.

Psychophysiological studies have shown that autonomic responses (for example, fear responses) can be conditioned without awareness^{60,61}. Hence, researchers have investigated whether autonomic fear arousal can be bypassed through the masking of subjective awareness to a feared object and consequentially emotional distress that typically accompanies exposure therapy is limited. Siegel *et al.*⁴⁵ evaluated the use of VBE therapy in treating spider phobia. Skin conductance levels (SCLs) were used as a measure of sympathetic activity. After a single session, VBE reduced avoidance behaviour without increasing SCL or subjective distress. The lower the SCL during VBE, the more it reduced fear. The authors hypothesised that effective masking and reduction in distress weakened the association between the phobic stimulus and fear response, which thereby facilitated an automatic process of fear reduction.

Phobias can alter and distort the realistic appraisal of the feared stimulus which consequently creates a vicious cycle that perpetuates fear⁶². Thus, Dreyer-Oren *et al.*³⁹ and Steinman *et al.*⁴⁶ evaluated the role of cognitive bias modification (CBM) in changing perceptual bias in height phobia. Both studies had a CBM intervention group, a CBM with exposure therapy group, an exposure therapy-only group, and a control group. Despite only a couple of intervention sessions, all four groups showed improvement in perceptual bias, interpretation bias, and height fear symptoms at 1-month follow-up. However, those who underwent CBM or exposure therapy experienced this improvement earlier (that is, immediately after intervention). The fact that CBM and exposure therapy had similar effects on interpretation bias reflects how different pathways to fear reduction can result in similar cognitive change.

A few other studies have looked into how fear memories can be altered. When a specific memory trace is retrieved from long-term memory, it exists in a labile state that is more amenable to correction before it reconsolidates again^{63,64}. Telch *et al.*⁴⁷ studied the effect of giving a reactivation cue 30 minutes prior to *in vivo* exposure therapy for spider or snake phobia. After one session, they did not find any difference in avoidance scores between intervention and control groups after treatment. At the 1-month follow-up, the intervention group reported lower peak fears but still no difference in expected fear in comparison with controls.

Maples-Keller *et al.*⁴⁰ studied the effect of giving a reactivation cue 10 minutes prior to VRET for flying phobia. Participants underwent four sessions of VRET, and cues were shown prior to each session. Those who received a reactivation cue had similar reduction in fear symptoms at post-treatment and at

1-year follow-up in comparison with those in the control group. However, the control group demonstrated increased physiological arousal to the feared exposure compared with the reactivation group. Although memory reactivation prior to exposure therapy may have a limited impact on reported fear symptoms, they may be an effective adjunctive to exposure therapy based on physiological outcomes.

In phobia treatment, a form of relaxation is usually combined with exposure therapy to aid in systematic desensitisation of fear. Two studies looked at using music and diaphragmatic breathing to augment exposure therapy. In Seinfeld *et al.*⁴³, participants were immersed in VR scenarios that induced fear of heights while music played in the background. Anxiolytic effects were significant only after the experience but not during the experience. Therefore, music may be useful only in certain phases of therapy. Shibani *et al.*⁴⁴ used diaphragmatic breathing to augment VRE for flying phobia. At both 1 week and 1 year after intervention, a significant reduction of fear was confirmed by a self-report measure in both intervention and control groups, and there was no significant difference between groups. Limitations included the lack of differentiation between the types of aviophobia and uncertainty over the appropriateness of the VRE therapy protocol.

The review also yielded a study that investigated relaxation in the treatment of blood-injection-injury phobias. Meuret *et al.*⁴¹ compared the efficacies of Symptom Associated Tension (SAT) Training and Hypoventilation Respiratory Training (HRT) with that of Relaxation Skills Training (RST) in treating blood-injection-injury phobias. These were delivered via 12-minute video-guided trainings. Both SAT and HRT showed a reduction in phobic symptoms in comparison with RST through self-report. In addition, HRT reduced ventilation, increased pCO₂ (partial pressure of carbon dioxide) and elevated blood pressure throughout exposure and recovery phases. This might be useful in preventing the fainting spells sometimes associated with blood-injection-injury phobias.

In conclusion, CBM, VBE therapy, and memory retrieval extinction have shown some efficacy in the treatment of specific phobias. iTBS and the use of music or diaphragmatic breathing to augment exposure therapy have shown limited benefits.

Discussion

This rapid review sought to provide a systematic update of the current development in the treatment of specific phobias within the adult population in the last five years. It also attempted to summarise the efficacy evidence associated with these interventions. In total, 33 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the review.

Summary of main findings

First, results from a number of the psychotherapy-related studies reported CBT, implemented either independently or as an adjunctive, to be a superior intervention with large effect sizes. This is unsurprising as cognitive factors (for instance, the irrational overappraisal of danger to a specific fear stimulus⁶⁵)

have been conceptualised and implicated in the development and maintenance of specific phobias^{66–68}. Exposure therapy is an empirically supported and widely accepted treatment of choice for phobias⁶⁹. However, none of the psychotherapy-related studies had its efficacy evaluated against exposure therapy as an active comparison group. A previously published meta-analysis did conclude that CBT did not outperform *in vivo* exposure therapy²⁰. The length of psychological interventions varies from one to 12 sessions, depending on the treatment modality. In general, CBT which consists of both cognitive restructuring about the phobic beliefs and exposure tends to require more sessions (10 to 12 sessions; for example,^{21,22}). In contrast, intervention programs involving pure behavioural techniques such as AMT²³, very brief exposure²⁵ and most technology-assisted therapies^{29,30,32} are typically brief (one or two sessions) and have been associated with improvement in phobia symptoms compared with the respective control conditions.

Sufficient evidence reviewed earlier suggest that technology-assisted therapies, designed to decrease fear and avoidance behaviour in adults with phobia by combining VR or AR platforms (or both) with an exposure paradigm, were demonstrated to be generally efficacious. This is consistent with existing evidence presented in previous meta-analyses for VRET^{27,70}. In addition, attrition rates were low³¹, and the majority of the study participants reported VRET to be a less aversive treatment alternative³², further corroborating the overall acceptability. Third, pharmacological agents, including yohimbine, propranolol, hydrocortisone and oxytocin, have been investigated in the last five years exclusively as an adjunctive treatment to *in vivo* exposure. Of particular interest are propranolol and hydrocortisone, which have mixed findings. The timing of administration appears to be important: glucocorticoid may be beneficial if given before exposure whereas the converse is true for propranolol. Propranolol, when administered after memory activation or when time was allowed to consolidate its effect, may enhance fear extinction and reduce physiological arousal.

A number of alternative and novel treatment options that sought to modify the cognitive pathway of activated fear responses and memories have gained empirical attention in the last five years. Initial findings from studies involving CBM and VBE protocols appear to provide some promising outcomes in the context of a significant mitigation of self-reported fear, associated physiological responses, and cognitive biases to the specific phobia.

Last but not least, the majority of the studies recruited participants from the community who were assessed or self-reported (or both) to have a significant degree of impairment from a phobia; only three of the studies included individuals from clinical populations^{21,22,29}. Persons with specific phobias are mostly hesitant to seek treatment because of the prospect of having to confront their fears during the intervention process and do not commonly present for intervention at clinical settings⁷¹. Hence, although most of the pooled participants were community-based and this may, to an extent, limit the generalizability of the findings to a clinical population, the sample characteristics appears reflective of the trend in the treatment-seeking behaviour of those with specific phobias.

Challenges and implications

One of the major issues faced in this rapid review relates to the heterogeneity of specific phobias. A plethora of phobias were addressed, ranging from the more prevalent ones such as heights and spiders to the more uncommon ones such as storms and vomiting. Also, a wide variety of self-report questionnaires, behavioural avoidance tasks, and physiological measures were administered to determine outcomes. This general lack of uniformity complicates the process of organising the pooled findings into a coherent and meaningful overview that encapsulates the true efficacy of specific interventions for clinicians and researchers interested in specific phobias. The heterogeneity further precludes the use of a meta-analysis to quantify findings.

A limited number of interventions were identified for each category of phobia. Extra attention was given to describe and differentiate the treatment responses. Despite this, the number of good-quality and robust interventions catering to each specific type of phobia needs to be substantially increased before a conclusive outcome can be made on whether a particular treatment was useful and effective in reducing phobia-related difficulties. A number of the studies did not have sufficient sample sizes and therefore were not powered to adequately conclude whether the improvements were directly associated with the intervention or due to chance or extraneous factors not measured in the studies. A replication of these studies with larger sample sizes and with treatment-seeking clinical populations would strengthen the current evidence demonstrated in the studies reviewed.

In addition, the included studies often made use of validated self-report questionnaires to characterise the participants' levels of fear and eligibility in the majority of the studies; that is, participants had to score above a non-standardised cut-off to qualify for enrolment. Although the present review excluded any studies with participants who were not representative of the targeted phobia population (that is, analogue studies), which improved the reliability of the findings presented, such evaluation approaches may not have the same rigour as standardised structured clinical diagnostic assessments. It is equally critical to point out that many of the studies recruited participants through community avenues. This could imply that the treatment options reviewed may not have the same effectiveness for individuals who experience impairment presenting in clinical settings.

In consideration of the aims to provide a timely update of the latest evidence associated with treatment of specific phobia to inform research and clinical practice and to reduce the resource-intensive nature of systematic reviews, this study adopts a rapid review methodology. A rapid review can be regarded as an alternative type of systematic review but with some of its associated principal components adapted or simplified (or both)⁷². Therefore, to an extent, rapid reviews can be susceptible to biases. For instance, only two databases were included in the search, and dissertation, grey literature, and studies without a control condition were also excluded. Hence, the results presented may not fully account for the range and effectiveness of available phobia-related interventions. It should be pointed

out that the inclusion and exclusion criteria decided *a priori* maintained a level of uniformity across the included studies for comparison. Also, the present review did not assess the quality of the studies by using an established checklist. Indicators of bias such as the role of sponsors in studies and inconsistencies or any missing information in reporting were not systematically verified for. Another possible bias relates to the issue that studies with non-significant results are less likely to be published (that is, publication bias), which can create an overestimation of the actual efficacy of a type of treatment for specific phobias.

Last but not least, although follow-up outcomes were reported by most of the studies and overall were quite promising, only a couple of studies assessed whether the treatment was transferable to real life²⁹. It is unknown whether the effectiveness of the treatment, administered under controlled research settings, led to actual improvement in the participants' functioning and quality of life (for instance, being able to go through a dental procedure or successfully taking a flight).

Conclusions

Findings collated from the studies published in the last five years included in this systematic review are largely congruent with

the existing evidence base of specific phobia; psychotherapy (in particular, CBT) remains one of the interventions associated with more substantial positive treatment outcomes. Technology-assisted treatment options such as VRET have also been found to be efficacious and seemingly non-inferior to traditional exposure therapy. It is necessary to encourage future trials involving technology-assisted treatments to include a feasibility analysis of infrastructure and cost to facilitate routine implementation in mental health-care settings. Alternative treatments involving cognitive modification or masking of fear associated with specific phobias are likely to receive continued empirical attention and can help individuals to become less resistant to the treatment. However, the practical applicability within a routine clinical or community setting (or both) requires further investigation. Challenges associated with the inherent heterogeneity of specific phobias need to be overcome in order for a more focused conclusion on treatment and its efficacy.

Acknowledgements

This work was undertaken with the support of Ng Weng Lin (intern, Department of Developmental Psychiatry, Institute of Mental Health, Singapore), who contributed to the final editing of the references and the figure and table.

References

- Wardenaar KJ, Lim CCW, Al-Hamzawi AO, *et al.*: **The cross-national epidemiology of specific phobia in the World Mental Health Surveys.** *Psychol Med.* 2017; **47**(10): 1744–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- American Psychiatric Association: **Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.)**. Washington, DC: Author. 2013.
[Reference Source](#)
- Choy Y, Fyer AJ, Lipsitz JD: **Treatment of specific phobia in adults.** *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2007; **27**(3): 266–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Lazarus RS: **Psychological stress and the coping process.** New York, NY: McGraw-Hill. 1966.
[Reference Source](#)
- Dudley McGlynn F, Mealiea WL Jr, Landau DL: **The current status of systematic desensitization.** *Clin Psychol Rev.* 1981; **1**(2): 149–79.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wolpe J: **Pergamon general psychology series, 1. The practice of behaviour therapy (4th ed.)**. Elmsford, NY US: Pergamon Press. 1990.
[Reference Source](#)
- Shapiro F: **Efficacy of the eye movement desensitization procedure in the treatment of traumatic memories.** *J Trauma Stress.* 1989; **2**(2): 199–223.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Rothbaum BO, Hodges LF, Kooper R, *et al.*: **Effectiveness of computer-generated (virtual reality) graded exposure in the treatment of acrophobia.** *Am J Psychiatry.* 1995; **152**(4): 626–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Rothbaum BO, Hodges L, Smith S, *et al.*: **A controlled study of virtual reality exposure therapy for the fear of flying.** *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2000; **68**(6): 1020–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Coughe JR, Summers BJ, Harvey AM, *et al.*: **Contamination-Focused Exposure as a Treatment for Disgust-Based Fears: A Preliminary Test in Spider-Fearful Women.** *Behav Cogn Psychother.* 2016; **44**(6): 640–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cai L, Gao H, Xu H, *et al.*: **Does a Program Based on Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Affect Kinesiophobia in Patients Following Total Knee Arthroplasty?** *A Randomized, Controlled Trial With a 6-Month Follow-Up.* *J Arthroplasty.* 2018; **33**(3): 704–10.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Soravia LM, Heinrichs M, Winzeler L, *et al.*: **Glucocorticoids enhance *in vivo* exposure-based therapy of spider phobia.** *Depress Anxiety.* 2014; **31**(5): 429–35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Rouhe H, Salmela-Aro K, Toivanen R, *et al.*: **Group psychoeducation with relaxation for severe fear of childbirth improves maternal adjustment and childbirth experience—a randomised controlled trial.** *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol.* 2015; **36**(1): 1–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dumville JC, Torgerson DJ, Hewitt CE: **Reporting attrition in randomised controlled trials.** *BMJ.* 2006; **332**(7547): 969–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Klabbers GA, Wijma K, Paarlberg KM, *et al.*: **Haptotherapy as a new intervention for treating fear of childbirth: a randomized controlled trial.** *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol.* 2019; **40**(1): 38–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Rondung E, Ekdahl J, Hildingsson I, *et al.*: **Heterogeneity in childbirth related fear or anxiety.** *Scand J Psychol.* 2018; **59**(6): 634–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
- Tellez M, Potter CM, Kinner DG, *et al.*: **Computerized Tool to Manage Dental Anxiety: A Randomized Clinical Trial.** *J Dent Res.* 2015; **94**(9 Suppl): 174S–80S.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Olsen R: **The Problem of Respondent Attrition: Survey Methodology is Key.** *Monthly Labor Review.* 2005; **128**(2): 63–70.
[Reference Source](#)
- Fredrikson M, Annas P, Fischer H, *et al.*: **Gender and age differences in the prevalence of specific fears and phobias.** *Behav Res Ther.* 1996; **34**(1): 33–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wolitzky-Taylor KB, Horowitz JD, Powers MB, *et al.*: **Psychological approaches in the treatment of specific phobias: a meta-analysis.** *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2008; **28**(6): 1021–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Riddle-Walker L, Veale D, Chapman C, *et al.*: **Cognitive behaviour therapy for**

- specific phobia of vomiting (Emetophobia): A pilot randomized controlled trial. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2016; 43: 14–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
22. Triscari MT, Faraci P, Catalisano D, *et al.*: Effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy integrated with systematic desensitization, cognitive behavioral therapy combined with eye movement desensitization and reprocessing therapy, and cognitive behavioral therapy combined with virtual reality exposure therapy methods in the treatment of flight anxiety: a randomized trial. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2015; 11: 2591–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 23. **F** Kowalsky JM, Conatser R, Ritz T, *et al.*: Effects of respiratory and applied muscle tensing interventions on responses to a simulated blood draw among individuals with high needle fear. *J Behav Med.* 2018; 41(6): 771–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 24. Matthews A, Naran N, Kirkby KC: Symbolic online exposure for spider fear: habituation of fear, disgust and physiological arousal and predictors of symptom improvement. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry.* 2015; 47: 129–37.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 25. Siegel P, Gallagher KA: Delaying *in vivo* exposure to a tarantula with very brief exposure to phobic stimuli. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry.* 2015; 46: 182–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 26. Kuss DJ, Griffiths MD, Karila L, *et al.*: Internet addiction: a systematic review of epidemiological research for the last decade. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2014; 20(25): 4026–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 27. Botella C, Pérez-Ara MÁ, Bretón-López J, *et al.*: *In Vivo versus Augmented Reality Exposure in the Treatment of Small Animal Phobia: A Randomized Controlled Trial.* *PLoS One.* 2016; 11(2): e0148237.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 28. **F** Freeman D, Haselton P, Freeman J, *et al.*: Automated psychological therapy using immersive virtual reality for treatment of fear of heights: A single-blind, parallel-group, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2018; 5(8): 625–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 29. **F** Gujjar KR, van Wijk A, Kumar R, *et al.*: Efficacy of virtual reality exposure therapy for the treatment of dental phobia in adults: A randomized controlled trial. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2019; 62: 100–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 30. **F** Lima J, McCabe-Bennett H, Antony MM: Treatment of Storm Fears Using Virtual Reality and Progressive Muscle Relaxation. *Behav Cogn Psychother.* 2018; 46(2): 251–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 31. **F** Miloff A, Lindner P, Dafgård P, *et al.*: Automated virtual reality exposure therapy for spider phobia vs. *in-vivo* one-session treatment: A randomized non-inferiority trial. *Behav Res Ther.* 2019; 118: 130–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 32. **F** Minns S, Levihn-Coon A, Carl E, *et al.*: Immersive 3D exposure-based treatment for spider fear: A randomized controlled trial. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2019; 61: 37–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 33. **F** Yilmaz Yelvar GD, Çırak Y, Dalkılıç M, *et al.*: Is physiotherapy integrated virtual walking effective on pain, function, and kinesiophobia in patients with non-specific low-back pain? Randomised controlled trial. *Eur Spine J.* 2017; 26(2): 538–45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 34. Acheson DT, Feifel D, Kamenski M, *et al.*: Intranasal oxytocin administration prior to exposure therapy for arachnophobia impedes treatment response. *Depress Anxiety.* 2015; 32(6): 400–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 35. **F** Meyerbröker K, Morina N, Emmelkamp PMG: Enhancement of exposure therapy in participants with specific phobia: A randomized controlled trial comparing yohimbine, propranolol and placebo. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2018; 57: 48–56.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 36. **F** Raeder F, Merz CJ, Tegenthoff M, *et al.*: Post-exposure cortisol administration does not augment the success of exposure therapy: A randomized placebo-controlled study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2019; 99: 174–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 37. **F** Soeter M, Kindt M: An Abrupt Transformation of Phobic Behavior After a Post-Retrieval Amnesic Agent. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2015; 78(12): 880–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 38. Telch MJ, Bruchey AK, Rosenfield D, *et al.*: Effects of Post-Session Administration of Methylene Blue on Fear Extinction and Contextual Memory in Adults With Claustrophobia. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2014; 171(10): 1091–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 39. **F** Dreyer-Oren SE, Clerkin EM, Edwards CB, *et al.*: Believing is seeing: Changes in visual perception following treatment for height fear. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry.* 2019; 62: 1–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 40. **F** Maples-Keller JL, Price M, Jovanovic T, *et al.*: Targeting memory reconsolidation to prevent the return of fear in patients with fear of flying. *Depress Anxiety.* 2017; 34(7): 610–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 41. Meuret AE, Simon E, Bhaskara L, *et al.*: Ultra-brief behavioral skills trainings for blood injection injury phobia. *Depress Anxiety.* 2017; 34(12): 1096–105.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 42. Notzon S, Deppermann S, Fallgatter A, *et al.*: Psychophysiological effects of an iTBS modulated virtual reality challenge including participants with spider phobia. *Biol Psychol.* 2015; 112: 66–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 43. Seinfeld S, Bergstrom I, Pomes A, *et al.*: Influence of Music on Anxiety Induced by Fear of Heights in Virtual Reality. *Front Psychol.* 2016; 6: 1969.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 44. **F** Shiban Y, Diemer J, Müller J, *et al.*: Diaphragmatic breathing during virtual reality exposure therapy for aviophobia: Functional coping strategy or avoidance behavior? a pilot study. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2017; 17(1): 29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 45. **F** Siegel P, Warren R, Wang Z, *et al.*: Less is more: Neural activity during very brief and clearly visible exposure to phobic stimuli. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2017; 38(5): 2466–81.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 46. Steinman SA, Teachman BA: Reaching new heights: Comparing interpretation bias modification to exposure therapy for extreme height fear. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2014; 82(3): 404–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 47. **F** Telch MJ, York J, Lancaster CL, *et al.*: Use of a Brief Fear Memory Reactivation Procedure for Enhancing Exposure Therapy. *Clin Psychol Sci.* 2017; 5(2): 367–78.
[Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 48. **F** Botella C, Fernández-Álvarez J, Guillén V, *et al.*: Recent Progress in Virtual Reality Exposure Therapy for Phobias: A Systematic Review. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2017; 19(7): 42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 49. Rothbaum BO, Hodges L, Kooper R: Virtual reality exposure therapy. *J Psychother Pract Res.* 1997; 6(3): 219–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 50. Antony MM, Barlow DH: Specific phobias. In D. H. Barlow (Ed.), *Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic (2nd ed.)*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press, 2002; 11: 380–417.
 51. Harvey A, Rapee R: Specific phobia. In D. J. Stein & E. Hollander (Eds.), *Textbook of anxiety disorders*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc. 2002; 29: 515–542.
 52. Bentz D, Michael T, de Quervain DJ, *et al.*: Enhancing exposure therapy for anxiety disorders with glucocorticoids: from basic mechanisms of emotional learning to clinical applications. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2010; 24(2): 223–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 53. Rojas JC, Bruchey AK, Gonzalez-Lima F: Neurometabolic mechanisms for memory enhancement and neuroprotection of methylene blue. *Prog Neurobiol.* 2012; 96(1): 32–45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 54. Soeter M, Kindt M: Disrupting reconsolidation: Pharmacological and behavioral manipulations. *Learn Mem.* 2011; 18(6): 357–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 55. Soeter M, Kindt M: Stimulation of the Noradrenergic System during Memory Formation Impairs Extinction Learning but not the Disruption of Reconsolidation. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2012; 37(5): 1204–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 56. Olff M, Langeland W, Witteveen A, *et al.*: A psychobiological rationale for oxytocin in the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. *CNS Spectr.* 2010; 15(8): 522–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 57. Petrovic P, Kalisch R, Singer T, *et al.*: Oxytocin Attenuates Affective Evaluations of Conditioned Faces and Amygdala Activity. *J Neurosci.* 2008; 28(26): 6607–15.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 58. Eden AS, Dehmelt V, Bischoff M, *et al.*: Brief learning induces a memory bias for arousing-negative words: An fMRI study in high and low trait anxious persons. *Front Psychol.* 2015; 6: 1226.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 59. Shahbabaie A, Golesorkhi M, Zamanian B, *et al.*: State dependent effect of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) on methamphetamine craving. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2014; 17(10): 1591–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 60. Hamm AO, Weike AI: The neuropsychology of fear learning and fear regulation. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2005; 57(1): 5–14.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 61. Ruiz-Padial E, Vila J, Thayer JF: The effect of conscious and non-conscious presentation of biologically relevant emotion pictures on emotion modulated startle and phasic heart rate. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2011; 79(3): 341–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 62. Vasey MW, Vilensky MR, Heath JH, *et al.*: It was as big as my head, I swear!

- Biased spider size estimation in spider phobia.** *J Anxiety Disord.* 2012; **26**(1): 20–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
63. **F** Monfils M-H, Cowansage KK, Klann E, *et al.*: **Extinction-Reconsolidation Boundaries: Key to Persistent Attenuation of Fear Memories.** *Science.* 2009; **324**(5929): 951–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
64. **F** Nader K, Schafe GE, Le Doux JE: **Fear memories require protein synthesis in the amygdala for reconsolidation after retrieval.** *Nature.* 2000; **406**(6797): 722–6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
65. Thorpe SJ, Salkovskis PM: **Phobic beliefs: Do cognitive factors play a role in specific phobias?** *Behav Res Ther.* 1995; **33**(7): 805–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
66. Beck AT, Clark DA: **An information processing model of anxiety: Automatic and strategic processes.** *Behav Res Ther.* 1997; **35**(1): 49–58.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
67. Bögels SM, Brechman-Toussaint ML: **Family issues in child anxiety: Attachment, family functioning, parental rearing and beliefs.** *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2006; **26**(7): 834–56.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
68. Luccock MP, Salkovskis PM: **Cognitive factors in social anxiety and its treatment.** *Behav Res Ther.* 1988; **26**(4): 297–302.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
69. Antony MM, Swinson RP: **Phobic disorders and panic in adults: A guide to assessment and treatment.** Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. 2000.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
70. Parsons TD, Rizzo AA: **Affective outcomes of virtual reality exposure therapy for anxiety and specific phobias: A meta-analysis.** *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry.* 2008; **39**(3): 250–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
71. Marks IM: **Fears, phobias, and rituals.** Oxford: Oxford University Press. 1987.
[Reference Source](#)
72. Harker J, Kleijnen J: **What is a rapid review?: A methodological exploration of rapid reviews in Health Technology Assessments.** *Int J Evid Based Healthc.* 2012; **10**(4): 397–410.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

Faculty Reviews are review articles written by the prestigious Members of **Faculty Opinions**. The articles are commissioned and peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1. **Annelieke Roest**

Department of Developmental Psychology, Interdisciplinary Center Psychopathology and Emotion Regulation, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

2. **Azucena Garcia-Palacios**

Universitat Jaume I, Castellón, Spain

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research