RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED Evaluation of antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic properties of bioactive compounds produced from endophytic fungi of Himalayan yew (*Taxus wallichiana*) in Nepal [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Dhurva Prasad Gauchan ¹, Pratistha Kandel ¹, Astha Tuladhar ¹,
Ashesh Acharya¹, Upendra Kadel ¹, Aayush Baral ¹, Arjan Bir Shahi¹,
María Rosario García-Gil²

¹Department of Biotechnology, School of Science, Kathmandu University, Dhulikhel, Kavrepalanchok District, Bagmati, 45200, Nepal

²Department of Forest Genetics and Plant Physiology, Umeå Plant Science Centre, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, SE-901 83, Sweden

v2 First published: 19 May 2020, 9:379
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23250.1>

Latest published: 05 Oct 2020, 9:379
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23250.2>

Abstract

Background: Endophytic fungi are largely underexplored in the discovery of natural bioactive products though being rich sources of novel compounds with promising pharmaceutical potential. In this study, *Taxus wallichiana*, which has huge medicinal value, was investigated for its endophytic diversity and capability to produce bioactive secondary metabolites by analyzing antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic properties.

Methods: The endophytes were identified by ITS-PCR using genomic DNA samples. The secondary metabolites were extracted by solvent extraction method using ethyl acetate. The antioxidant activity was analyzed by Thin Layer Chromatography, Total Phenol Content (TPC), Total Flavonoid Content (TFC) and DPPH assay, and the antimicrobial activity was analyzed by agar-well diffusion method. Brine shrimp lethality assay was used to analyze the cytotoxicity of the fungal extracts.

Results: Out of 16 different *Taxus* trees sampled from different locations of Dhorpatan, 13 distinctive endophytic fungi were isolated and grouped into 9 different genera: *Bjerkandera*, *Trichoderma*, *Preussia*, *Botrytis*, *Arthrinium*, *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium*, *Sporormiella* and *Daldinia*. The ethyl acetate extracts isolated from three endophytic fungi: *Alternaria alternata*, *Cladosporium cladosporioides* and *Alternaria brassicae* showed significant TPC values of 204±6.144, 312.3±2.147 and 152.7±4.958 µg GAE/mg of dry extract, respectively, and TFC values of 177.9±2.911, 644.1±4.202 and 96.38±3.851 µg RE/mg of dry extract, respectively. Furthermore, these three extracts showed a dose

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2		
(revision)
05 Oct 2020	view	view

version 1
19 May 2020	view	view

1. **Anaya Pokhrel** , University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, USA

2. **Dipesh Dhakal** , University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

dependent radical scavenging activity with IC_{50} concentration of 22.85, 22.15 and 23.001 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, respectively. The extracts of *C. cladosporioides* and *A. brassicae* also showed promising antimicrobial activity against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis* with a minimum inhibitory concentration of 250 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for all bacteria. Both the samples showed cytotoxic property against shrimp nauplii with LC_{50} of 104.2 and 125.9 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, respectively.

Conclusions: The crude fungal extracts obtained from endophytes: *A. alternata*, *C. cladosporioides* and *A. brassicae* upon purification and further identification of the bioactive compounds can be a fascinating source for novel pharmaceutical agents.

Keywords

Taxus wallichiana, Endophytic, DPPH, GAE, RE, cytotoxic

Corresponding author: Dhurva Prasad Gauchan (gauchan@ku.edu.np)

Author roles: Gauchan DP: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Kandel P: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Tuladhar A: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Acharya A: Methodology, Software; Kadel U: Investigation, Methodology, Validation; Baral A: Methodology, Software, Validation; Shahi AB: Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology; García-Gil MR: Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2020 Gauchan DP *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Gauchan DP, Kandel P, Tuladhar A *et al.* Evaluation of antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic properties of bioactive compounds produced from endophytic fungi of Himalayan yew (*Taxus wallichiana*) in Nepal [version 2; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2020, 9:379 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23250.2>

First published: 19 May 2020, 9:379 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23250.1>

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

Introduction section:

'activities' is removed and the comma is added behind 'antispasmodic'.

Method section:

In the molecular screening and phylogenetic analysis section, 'pure' is changed to 'genomic' and 'run' to 'analyzed using'.

Units and spacing are made consistent throughout the paper.

In the determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) section, the initial diluted concentration of fungal extracts and Kanamycin sulphate is changed from 1 to 1000 µg/ml.

The formula for calculation of colonization frequency and percent yield for fungal extracts are added.

Results section:

The percent yield of fungal extracts is added. Table 3 (table number after edit) is added.

Table 4 and Table 5 are removed and legends are added in Figure 8 and Figure 10. The successive table numbers are changed.

Conclusions section:

Information about bioactive compounds previously isolated and studied from the identified fungal species is added.

Data availability section:

Percent yield results are added in underlying data.

References section:

References 39 to 46 are added.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

Taxus wallichiana Zucc. (Himalayan yew) is an evergreen coniferous tree (10 to 28 meters in height), native to the Himalaya and parts of southeast Asia that grows on steep, moist mountain slopes at altitudes of about 2000 m to 3500 m above sea level. It has flat and dark green leaves, which are arranged spirally on the stem¹. The leaves and bark of *Taxus* species are the primary source of the chemotherapeutic drug Paclitaxel used in the treatment of breast and ovarian cancer, resulting in it receiving huge attention worldwide². The Himalayan yew also has an exceptional history of its application in the traditional system of medicine for the treatment of fever and painful inflammatory conditions. It is also consumed as decoctions, herbal tea, and juice for treating cold, cough, respiratory infections, indigestion, and epilepsy and also on infected wounds and burns as poultice^{1,3}. Some research indicates various medicinal properties of *T. wallichiana*, such as analgesic, antipyretic, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, antibacterial, antifungal, antiplatelet, antispasmodic, antiallergic, anticonvulsant, anti-osteoporotic, and vasorelaxing effect³⁻⁶. Due to the persistent over-exploitation of Himalayan yew for its leaves and bark, it is now on the verge of extinction and classified as endangered by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). Alternative measures to utilize the medicinal components of *Taxus* while also addressing the vexing problem of its mass exploitation are needed.

Plants growing in unique environmental conditions or strange locations and having ethnobotanical uses generally harbour novel endophytic microorganisms⁷. Endophytes are microbial species that colonize the inner tissues of higher plants at some time in their life cycles without causing apparent damage or producing external structures^{8,9}. There is a symbiotic interaction between endophytes and their host plants that may result in mutualistic benefits for both partners, such that these endophytes may provide protection and survival conditions for the plants by producing a myriad of bioactive substances and in return obtain carbon for energy. These bioactive substances exhibit important properties, such as antioxidant, anticancer, antimicrobial, cytotoxic, immunomodulatory, antiviral, anti-parasitic and insecticidal properties, which when isolated and characterized, may also have the potential for use in industry, agriculture, and medicine¹⁰⁻¹². The majority of endophytic fungi possess biosynthetic capabilities similar to the associated host because of their long history of co-evolution and genetic recombination.

The development of resistance by pathogenic microorganisms to available drugs is a growing problem, which leads to an immediate need for an intensive search for newer and effective antimicrobial agents. Many endophytic fungi have the ability to produce antimicrobial substances¹³. Our body continuously produces reactive oxygen species (ROS) as by-products of aerobic metabolism¹⁴. Excessive production of these ROS can damage our cells, tissues and organs and can inhibit the normal function of DNA. These damages can in turn cause various diseases such as cancer, male factor infertility, heart disease and Alzheimer's disease¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Fungi can potentially produce a wide variety of antioxidants such as alkaloids, flavonoids, phenols, steroids, etc. that can scavenge the excessive ROS produced in our body¹⁷.

Though sufficient research has shown that endophytic fungi harboring *T. wallichiana* have the capability to produce Taxol, to our knowledge, no reports are available on antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic properties of these endophytes. This study focuses on investigating *T. wallichiana* for its endophytic fungal diversity and capability of these fungal endophytes to produce bioactive secondary metabolites having antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic properties. This study aims to establish endophytic fungi as sustainable natural reservoirs for novel drug discovery useful in medicine, food and agriculture industries.

Methods

Sample collection

Bark and twigs with leaf needles of 16 different *T. wallichiana* trees were collected from the periphery of Dhorpatan Hunting Reserve using the simple random sampling method in the month of May, 2018. Dhorpatan is a village in Nepal's Baglung District at 2900 m elevation. The collected samples were placed in sterile polyethylene bags. The samples were first verified with consultation of the local people under the vernacular name 'Lauth Salla' and then from the District Forest Office, Baglung. Bark and leaf samples collected are shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. *Taxus wallichiana* plant sample collected from the periphery of Dhorpatan Hunting Reserve. (A) Twigs with leaf needles of *T. wallichiana*. (B) Bark of *T. wallichiana*.

Isolation of endophytic fungi

Bark and needles were cut and washed thoroughly under running tap water to remove the dirt and debris. The pieces of bark and leaves were treated with 70% ethanol (v/v) for 1 minute and 0.1% mercuric chloride (v/v) for 2 minutes and rinsed twice with sterile distilled water. For bark, the outer layer was removed by a sharp, sterilized blade to expose the inner layer. The inner pinkish-white layer was placed on the surface of potato dextrose agar (PDA) media. For needles, the individual needle was cut from the midrib and also from edges by a sterile sharp blade and placed on the surface of PDA media exposing the inner tissues. In another method, both bark and needles were ground into a paste with 2 ml of sterilized water using mortar and pestle separately under aseptic conditions. 1ml of bark paste and 1 ml of paste was poured on Petri plates and molten PDA media was poured into each plate. PDA plates were then incubated at $25\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 10 days to allow the growth of endophytic fungi. Pure culture of fungal endophytic isolates was obtained by hyphal-tip transfer to fresh PDA media.

Characterization of isolated endophytic fungi

Morphological screening. Microscopic characterization of the isolated endophytic fungi was carried out using the tape lift mount method using lactophenol cotton blue as dye and observed under 40X, 100X and 400X magnification in a compound microscope and then photographs were captured by a digital camera. Shape, color, margin, elevation, spore structure and other morphological characteristics of the endophytic isolates were observed.

Extraction of secondary metabolites. Pieces of agar blocks (10 mm) of the mycelial mats from an actively growing fungal culture was inoculated into 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 ml potato dextrose broth media using a sterile borer. Flasks were then incubated at 150 rpm at $25\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 10 days in a shaking incubator. The entire fermented broth was then filtered through filter paper. An equal volume of ethyl acetate was added to the filtrate broth and vigorously shaken for 5 minutes.

The mixture was transferred to a separating funnel and the organic layer of ethyl acetate was allowed to separate from the aqueous layer. The ethyl acetate fraction, which comprised of the upper organic layer in the separating funnel, was then transferred to a new flask and allowed to dry at room temperature using an evaporator. The dried extract obtained from each fungal sample was weighed and stored at 4°C for future use. The percent yield of fungal extracts were calculated using the formula: Percent yield = weight of crude extract (mg)/ Total weight of fungal powder (mg) * 100¹⁸.

Molecular screening and phylogenetic analysis. Genomic DNA of endophytic fungi was isolated using Quick-DNA™ Fungal/Bacterial Miniprep Kit from Zymo Research, as per the manufacturer's instructions. The obtained genomic DNA was analyzed using 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis and the bands were observed. The isolated DNA was used as a template to amplify the ITS regions together with the 5.8s rRNA gene (ITS 1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA) through PCR using universal primers ITS1 (5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTC-CGCTTATTGATATGC-3'). PCR was performed using all reagents from Thermofisher, in a 25 µl reaction mixture using 5 µl template DNA, 0.25 µl of 1.25 U Taq DNA polymerase, 2.5 µl of 10X Amplification buffer, 0.8 µl of 10mM dNTP, 0.75 µl of 50 mM MgCl_2 , 13.2 µl PCR grade water, 1.25 µl of 10 µM each of forward and reverse primer. PCR amplification was carried out in BioRad300 thermocycler. The thermo-cycling conditions involved an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 mins, 37 cycles of 30 secs at 94°C , 30 secs at 55°C , 1 min at 72°C and a final extension at 72°C for 5 mins. The PCR amplification products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis on 2% agarose (w/v). 100 bp DNA ladder from Thermo-fisher was used. The remaining volume was sent for sequencing to Macrogen, Korea.

The ITS sequences of fungal isolates were subjected to BLASTn sequence homology search and compared with data in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Multiple sequence alignment of related species was performed using CLUSTAL W. Phylogenetic analysis was carried out by

Maximum Likelihood (ML) method based on the Tamura-Nei model¹⁹ using MEGA X software. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained by Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value²⁰. One thousand bootstrap replicates were analyzed to assess reliable nodal support values. The colonization frequency (%CF) of endophytic fungi was calculated using the formula: %CF = (Number of segments colonized by a single endophyte / Total number of segments observed) * 100

Qualitative analysis of antioxidant activity using thin layer chromatography (TLC)

Benzene: Chloroform: Acetone: Methanol (20:92.5:15:7.5) was used as the solvent system. The sample was prepared by dissolving the fungal extracts in ethyl acetate. TLC was carried out on 20×20 alumina TLC plate. Ascorbic acid, Rutin, Quercetin, and Gallic acid were used as standards. The samples were loaded equidistantly onto the TLC plate and allowed to air dry. The TLC plate was allowed to run in the TLC chamber containing the mobile phase. The TLC plate was then air-dried and sprayed with 10% AlCl_3 solution followed by 0.04% DPPH solution.

Quantitative analysis of antioxidant activity

DPPH radical scavenging assay. DPPH radical scavenging assay was performed as proposed by Makris *et al.* with minor modifications²¹. DPPH reagent (0.04 mg/ml) was prepared in methanol. Ascorbic acid (Vitamin C) was used as a positive control. Different concentration of ascorbic acid as well as fungal extracts were made (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 18 µg/ml) from 1 mg/ml stock solution. These samples were mixed with DPPH reagent in the ratio 1:1 and incubated at 27°C in the dark for 30 minutes. The radical scavenging activity of the samples against DPPH free radical was determined by measuring the UV absorbance at 517 nm against the blank. The mixture of 1 ml DPPH solution and 1 ml blank methanol was used as control. The radical scavenging activity of the standard (Ascorbic acid), as well as the samples (fungal extracts), were calculated using the formula:

DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) = $(\text{Abs control} - \text{Abs sample}) / \text{Abs control} \times 100$

Where Abs control is the absorbance of control and Abs sample is absorbance of test samples. From calibration curves obtained from different concentrations of the extracts and ascorbic acid, the concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% of DPPH free radicals (50% inhibition concentration, IC_{50}) was determined.

Total phenol content (TPC). The TPC of the fungal endophytes was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu method described by Lin and Tang with minor modifications²². Each extract solution (0.5 mg/ml, 1 ml) was diluted to 5 ml deionized water in a 25 ml test tube. 0.25 ml volume of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 5 ml of 7.5% Sodium carbonate (Na_2CO_3 , w/v) were added. This mixture was incubated at 40°C for 60 mins, diluted with deionized water up to 12.5 ml total volume and mixed. The

absorbance was measured at 733 nm against the blank on a spectrophotometer. The TPC was calculated on the basis of the Gallic acid calibration curve. The results were expressed as µg of Gallic acid equivalents (GAEs) per mg of dry extract.

Total flavonoid content (TFC). The TFC was determined using $\text{NaNO}_2\text{-Al(NO}_3)_3\text{-NaOH}$ colorimetric assay described by Zhu *et al.* with minor modifications²³. Each extract solution (0.5 mg/ml, 1 ml) and 2 ml of 60% alcoholic solution (v/v) were accurately added to a 25 ml test tube and 0.5 ml of NaNO_2 solution (5% w/v) was added. The mixture was shaken and left to stand for 6 mins. 0.5 ml of the $\text{Al(NO}_3)_3$ (10% w/v) solution was added. After 6 mins, 5 ml of NaOH solution (10% w/v) was added, followed by dilution with deionized water up to 12.5 ml volume. The solution was mixed and incubated at room temperature for 15 mins. The reaction mixture absorbance was measured at 507 nm against the blank in the spectrophotometer. The TFC was calculated on the basis of the calibration curve of Rutin. The results were expressed as µg of Rutin Equivalents (RAEs) per mg of dry extract.

Antimicrobial activity assay

Agar-well diffusion method. The antimicrobial activity of the fungal extracts was determined using the agar-well diffusion method. A gram-negative bacterial strain: *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), and two gram-positive bacterial strains: *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 12600) and *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC6633) were used as indicator organisms. The dried extracts of each fungal isolate were dissolved in dimethyl-sulphoxide (DMSO). The microbial cultures were spread evenly using L-shaped spreader. Wells of 6 mm diameter were made on the plates using a sterile borer. 30 µl of 7 mg/ml of fungal extract dissolved in DMSO was added to the well. DMSO was used as negative control and Gentamycin (10µg disc) was used as a positive control. The plates were incubated at $37\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ overnight. The zones of inhibition were observed and measured.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).

2 mg/ml stock solution of fungal extract was diluted into concentrations of 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 15.625, 7.81, 3.9 and 1.95 µg/ml. Each concentration of extract was mixed with Muller Hinton Broth (MHB) in equal volume to make a total volume of 500 µl. 500 µl of *E. coli* culture was added to the test tubes to make a final volume of 1 ml. Kanamycin sulphate was also diluted into concentrations of 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 15.625, 7.81, 3.9 and 1.95 µg/ml and used as positive control. Each concentration of kanamycin sulphate was mixed with MHB in equal volume to make a total volume of 500 µl. 500 µl of *E. coli* culture was added to the test tubes to make a final volume of 1 ml. Control 11, which consisted of media, DMSO and *E. coli* culture, was taken as negative control and Control 12, which consisted of media and DMSO only, was taken to test the sterility of the process. All test tubes were incubated at 37°C overnight. The MIC of fungal extracts were determined by observing the turbidity and clarity of the test tubes.

Cytotoxicity assay by using brine shrimp. Brine shrimps (*Artemia salina*) were hatched using brine shrimp eggs in a

vessel filled with simulated sterile artificial seawater (brine solution). 38 g of sea salt, sodium chloride was dissolved in 1000 mL of distilled water and the pH was adjusted to 8.5 using 1N NaOH under constant aeration for 48 hours. The active shrimps were collected and used for the assay²⁴. Samples were prepared by dissolving crude fungal extracts in 1% aqueous DMSO to give stock solutions. From the stock, five different concentrations: 1,000, 500, 250, 125, and 62.5 ppm were prepared in seawater. An aliquot of each concentration (0.5 ml) was transferred in triplicate into sterile vials, and aerated seawater (4.5 ml) was added. Ten shrimp nauplii were transferred to each vial. Doxorubicin 1% aqueous solution and 1% DMSO in seawater were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. After 24 hrs, the numbers of live nauplii were counted and the percentage of death was calculated. The concentration that killed 50% of the nauplii (LC_{50} in $\mu\text{g/mL}$) was determined. Criterion of toxicity for fractions was established i.e. LC_{50} values $> 1000 \mu\text{g/mL}$ (non-toxic), ≥ 500 and $\leq 1000 \mu\text{g/mL}$ (low toxicity), and $< 500 \mu\text{g/mL}$ (toxic)²⁵.

Results and discussion

Isolation of endophytic fungi

Bark and leaf samples from 16 different trees of *T. wallichiana* were collected. The information regarding the names of plant samples, geographical features of the location and the girth of the tree from which samples were collected are given in Table 1.

Out of the 16 bark and leaf samples collected, 13 endophytic fungal isolates with different morphological features were obtained. The name codes given to the individual plant samples and their respective fungal isolates are shown in Table 2. The pure fungal isolates obtained are shown in Figure 2 and the microscopic view of all the fungal isolates are shown in Figure 3.

Extraction of secondary metabolites

The secondary metabolites of all fungal isolates were extracted using ethyl acetate as solvent and the percent yield was calculated. The percent yield of fungal extracts ranged from 5.2 ± 0.22 to $27.51 \pm 1.02\%$ as shown in Table 3. The fungal extract DOR-7 showed the highest percent yield $27.51 \pm 1.02\%$ and DOR 6 showed the lowest percent yield $5.2 \pm 0.22\%$.

Molecular identification and phylogenetic analysis

PCR amplification products of ITS regions together with the 5.8s rRNA gene (ITS 1-5.8S-ITS2 rDNA) were analyzed by gel electrophoresis on 2% agarose (w/v) as shown in Figure 4.

The fungal isolates DOR-1 to DOR-13 were subjected to molecular identification based on the ITS rDNA sequence analysis. The sequences are deposited in GenBank of NCBI with accession numbers MN696546 to MN696558. The details of putative taxonomic affinities are listed in Table 4. The

Table 1. Sample code, location and girth of 16 different *Taxus wallichiana* trees sampled from Dhorpatan, Nepal.

S.N.	Tree code	Altitude (m)	Latitude	Longitude	Girth of tree (cm)	Reproductive morphology
1.	S-1	2851	28°28.402' N	083°02.363' E	46	F
2.	S-2	2861	28°28.404' N	083°02.352' E	79	M
3.	S-3	2875	28°28.401' N	083°02.346' E	25	F
4.	S-4	2893	28°28.413' N	083°02.322' E	82	F
5.	S-5	2857	28°28.380' N	083°02.375' E	72	F
6.	S-6	2861	28°28.389' N	083°02.325' E	41	F
7.	S-7	2876	28°28.383' N	083°02.326' E	44	F
8.	S-8	2875	28°28.415' N	083°02.310' E	23	F
9.	S-9	2879	28°28.422' N	083°02.308' E	27	M
10.	S-10	2848	28°28.340' N	083°02.374' E	42	M
11.	S-11	2847	28°28.328' N	083°02.372' E	34	F
12.	S-12	2846	28°28.371' N	083°02.375' E	62	F
13.	S-13	2845	28°28.328' N	083°02.371' E	55	M
14.	S-14	2845	28°28.323' N	083°02.377' E	58	M
15.	S-15	2844	28°28.325' N	083°02.377' E	57	F
16.	S-16	2841	28°28.329' N	083°02.387' E	51	F

Table 2. Details of 13 endophytic fungi with different morphological characters isolated from different plant samples.

S.N.	Sample code	Type of plant material	Fungal isolates codes
1.	S-5-GB	Ground bark	DOR-1
2.	S-15-GB	Ground bark	DOR-2
3.	S-11-IB	Intact bark	DOR-3
4.	S-3-GB	Ground bark	DOR-4
5.	S-2-GB	Ground bark	DOR-5
6.	S-5-GL	Ground leaves	DOR-6
7.	S-3-GB	Ground bark	DOR-7
8.	S-16-GL	Ground leaves	DOR-8
9.	S-11-GL	Ground leaves	DOR-9
10.	S-7-GB	Ground bark	DOR-10
11.	S-15-GL	Ground leaves	DOR-11
12.	S-16-GL	Ground leaves	DOR-12
13.	S-9-GL	Ground leaves	DOR-13

GB: Ground Bark; IB: Intact Bark; GL: Ground Leaves

phylogenetic tree of fungal isolates is shown in Figure 5. The 13 identified isolates were grouped into 9 genera: *Bjerkandera*, *Trichoderma*, *Preussia*, *Botrytis*, *Arthrinium*, *Alternaria*, *Cladosporium*, *Sporormiella* and *Daldinia*. *Bjerkandera adusta* belonged to phylum Basidiomycota while all others belonged to phylum Ascomycota. *Sporormiella* showed the highest colonization frequency accounting for 23% followed by *Alternaria* and *Trichoderma* with 15.4% each and the rest showed 7.7% each of colonization frequencies.

Detection of antioxidant activity using TLC

Ascorbic acid, Rutin, Quercetin, and Gallic acid were used as standards. These standards produced yellowish bands on the TLC plate. 10 μ l with 10 mg/ml concentration of fungal extracts were loaded to the plate. The extracts producing yellow bands on the purple background were considered as antioxidants. The purple background color was visualized after spraying the plate with 0.4% 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) reagent. Of all the 13 extracts, five of them: DOR-4, DOR-6, DOR-7, DOR-9, and DOR-13 showed clearer yellow bands compared to other samples, hence indicating good DPPH radical scavenging activity (Figure 6). The purple background was due to the presence of DPPH radical while the yellowish bands were formed due to the reduction of the DPPH radical. The yellowish bands indicate the presence of antioxidant compounds that can scavenge DPPH radical by donating an electron/hydrogen atom²⁶. The difference in the band intensities of developed yellow color could be due to the varied differences in the amount as well as the chemical characteristics of the compound present in of each extract.

Determination of TPC

Phenols are basically secondary metabolites of plants or fungi formed against predation and protection. Nearly 8000 phenolics are known to exist, encompassing a wide range of molecules which can give up hydrogen atoms from their hydroxyl groups to radicals and form stable phenoxy radicals, hence playing an important role in antioxidant activity by reducing oxidative stress and the risks of cardiovascular diseases, osteoporosis as well as neurodegenerative diseases²⁷. Several methods for the determination of TPC have been introduced because of the heterogeneous nature of natural phenols. In this study, TPC was estimated using a modified Folin-Ciocalteu method.

A blue-colored solution was observed due to the presence of phosphomolybdic phosphotungstic-phenol complex when the fungal extracts reacted with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent in alkaline medium. The phenolic content was calculated from the regression equation of the calibration curve ($y=0.007702x+0.1682$, $R^2=0.9933$), expressed in μ g Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE) per mg of dried extract. The total TPC of the samples showed large variations, with significant values of three samples: DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 with 204 ± 6.144 , 312.3 ± 2.147 and 152.7 ± 4.958 μ g GAE/mg of dry extract, respectively. The calibration curve of Gallic acid and the GAE/mg value of fungal extracts showing high phenolic contents are shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8, respectively.

Determination of TFC

Flavonoids include flavones, flavonols and condensed tannins that are secondary metabolites, whose antioxidant activity depends on the presence of free OH groups, especially 3-OH²⁸. Flavonoids are known to inhibit formation of reactive oxygen species, chelate trace elements involved in free-radical production, scavenge such reactive species and protect in antioxidant defenses²⁹. The flavonoids are reported to exhibit antioxidative, anticarcinogenic, anti-inflammatory, anti-aggregatory property and vasodilating effects³⁰. The TFC of the endophytic fungal extracts was estimated by $\text{NaNO}_2\cdot\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3\cdot\text{NaOH}$ colorimetric method.

The flavonoid content was calculated from the regression equation of the calibration curve ($y=0.004294x+0.09578$, $R^2=0.999$), expressed in μ g Rutin Equivalent (RE) per mg of dried extract. The TFC of the samples also showed large variations with significant values of three samples: DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 with values of 177.9 ± 2.911 , 644.1 ± 4.202 and 96.38 ± 3.851 μ g RE/mg of dry extract, respectively. The calibration curve of Rutin and the RE/mg value of fungal extracts showing high flavonoid contents are shown in Figure 9 and Figure 10, respectively.

DPPH radical scavenging activity

DPPH assay is a widely used method to evaluate the antioxidant ability of extracts. It measures the ability of compounds to act as hydrogen donors or free radical scavengers^{31,32}. Various types of antioxidant compounds may be produced by fungal

Figure 2. Pure endophytic fungal isolates. Pure culture of 13 fungal endophytic isolates (DOR-1 to DOR-13) obtained from bark and leaves of *Taxus wallichiana* by hyphal-tip transfer to fresh potato dextrose agar media.

Figure 3. Microscopic view of all fungal isolates. Microscopic characterization of the isolated endophytic fungi was carried out using tape lift mount method using lactophenol cotton blue and viewed under 400x magnification in a compound microscope.

endophytes including multiple flavonoid compounds, saponin, phenols, sterols, terpenoids, etc., which may remove DPPH free radicals²⁶. These antioxidant compounds have important health effects such as reducing the risk of cancer, heart disease, and neuro-degenerative disorders that are found in abundant

amounts in plants, vegetables, fruits, and natural products³³. DPPH is a stable nitrogen-centered free radical that can readily undergo scavenging by antioxidants³⁴. DPPH gives rise to the deep violet color, with maximum absorption at a wavelength of 517 nm. DPPH reduces to diphenyl picrylhydrazine after the

Table 3. Percent yield of fungal extracts.

Sample	Percent yield
DOR-1	12.37 ± 0.58
DOR-2	16.89 ± 0.74
DOR-3	6.42 ± 0.33
DOR-4	17.92 ± 0.53
DOR-5	13.12 ± 0.17
DOR-6	5.2 ± 0.22
DOR-7	27.51 ± 1.02
DOR-8	16.28 ± 0.88
DOR-9	16.41 ± 0.21
DOR-10	19.83 ± 0.67
DOR-11	10.02 ± 0.61
DOR-12	5.82 ± 0.19
DOR-13	11.72 ± 0.34

Values are means of three biological replicates (n = 3).

odd electron of a nitrogen atom in DPPH receives an electron or hydrogen atom from antioxidants and changes its color from the deep violet to pale yellow³⁵. As antioxidant donates a proton to this radical, the absorbance decreases due to the disappearance of DPPH radical.

Among the 13 fungal extracts, three of the extracts, DOR-6, DOR-7, and DOR-13, showed the highest radical scavenging activity. The radical scavenging activity of Ascorbic acid as well as the fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 were found to be dose dependent (Table 5 and Figure 11). IC₅₀ values of these fungal extracts and ascorbic acid was calculated from the equation obtained from linear fitting curve as shown in Figure 12. The fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 showed a significant radical scavenging activity with a 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) at a concentration of 22.85, 22.15 and 23.001 µg/ml as compared with standard ascorbic acid that showed 50% inhibition at a concentration of 8.86 µg/ml.

Determination of antimicrobial activity

Endophytic fungi have the ability to produce a large variety of bioactive compounds that can protect the plants against various pathogens^{7,36}. The screening of all the fungal extracts was

Figure 4. ITS gene PCR amplification of all fungal isolates (DOR-1 to DOR-13). 500–600bp sized bands observed after PCR amplification of the ITS gene of fungal samples DOR-1 to DOR-13. The PCR amplification products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis on 2% agarose (w/v) using 100bp ladder from Thermo-fisher. -C is negative control.

Table 4. Putative taxonomic affinities of fungal isolate.

Morphotype	Accession number	Closest relatives in NCBI	Reference sequence accession number	ITS identity (%)	Genus
DOR-1	MN696546	<i>Bjerkandera adusta</i>	MK788323	99%	<i>Bjerkandera</i>
DOR-2	MN696547	<i>Preussia</i> sp.	MG753547	100%	<i>Preussia</i>
DOR-3	MN696548	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	MK784067	97.47%	<i>Trichoderma</i>
DOR-4	MN696550	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	MH346329	100%	<i>Botrytis</i>
DOR-5	MN696551	<i>Arthrinium rasikravindrae</i>	MK632008	100%	<i>Arthrinium</i>
DOR-6	MN696552	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	MF168401	100%	<i>Alternaria</i>
DOR-7	MN696554	<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i>	MF077224	100%	<i>Cladosporium</i>
DOR-8	MN696555	<i>Sporormiella</i> sp.	HQ130664	100%	<i>Sporormiella</i>
DOR-9	MN696558	<i>Daldinia fissa</i> sp.	AM292038	100%	<i>Daldinia</i>
DOR-10	MN696549	<i>Trichoderma</i> sp.	MK871236	100%	<i>Trichoderma</i>
DOR-11	MN696556	<i>Sporormiella</i> sp.	HQ130664	100%	<i>Sporormiella</i>
DOR-12	MN696557	<i>Sporormiella</i> sp.	HQ130664	100%	<i>Sporormiella</i>
DOR-13	MN696553	<i>Alternaria brassicae</i>	KT192229	100%	<i>Alternaria</i>

Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree generated from ITS-rDNA sequences. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-4362.92) is shown. Multiple sequence alignment of related species was performed using ClustalW. Phylogenetic analysis was carried out by Maximum Likelihood method using MEGA X software. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained by Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood approach.

conducted using agar well diffusion method. 20 mg/ml concentration of the sample was used. Gentamycin was used as positive control and 10% DMSO was used as a negative control. Among the 13 fungal extracts three of the extracts: DOR-6, DOR-7, and DOR-13 showed the highest antimicrobial activity during primary screening against *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922)

and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 12600) and were selected for secondary screening.

During the secondary screening, the antimicrobial activity of these three fungal extracts was appraised on three bacterial strains: Gram-positive bacteria - *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC

Figure 6. Qualitative analysis of antioxidant activity using thin layer chromatography (TLC). 20×20 alumina TLC plate showing yellow bands of all fungal extracts and standards: Ascorbic acid (A), Rutin (R), Quercetin (Q) and Gallic acid (G) after spraying with 10% AlCl_3 solution followed by 0.04% DPPH solution.

Figure 7. Calibration curve of Gallic acid. Regression equation of Gallic acid $y=0.007702x+0.1682$, $R^2=0.9933$ was obtained using the calibration curve. Error bars represent standard deviation.

12600), and *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6633), and a Gram-negative bacterium: *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) (Figure 13). DOR-6 showed inhibition diameter of 9.333 ± 0.577 , 7.33 ± 0.557 and 6.5 ± 0.866 mm, DOR-7 showed inhibition diameter of 14.33 ± 0.577 , 10.33 ± 0.577 and 10 ± 0.5 mm, and DOR-13 showed inhibition diameter of 10.167 ± 0.764 , 8 ± 0 and 8 ± 0.5 mm against *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis*, respectively (Table 6). MIC value of these three extracts was further assessed. Positive control Gentamycin showed 19.333 ± 0.577 , 21.167 ± 0.764 and 17.667 ± 0.557 mm inhibition diameter against *E. coli*,

S. aureus and *B. subtilis*. DOR-7 and DOR-13 showed good antimicrobial activity, hence were selected for determination of MIC. The figure exhibiting the comparison of zone of inhibition of fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 with negative and positive control is shown in Figure 14 and Figure 15, respectively.

Determination of MIC

Crude extracts showing potent antimicrobial activity was further examined for MIC by tube dilution technique. The

Figure 8. Total phenolic contents of endophytic fungal isolates DOR-6, DOR-7, and DOR-13. The phenolic content was calculated from the regression equation of the calibration curve ($y=0.007702x+0.1682$, $R^2=0.9933$), expressed in µg GAE per mg of dried extract. The samples DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 showed total phenolic contents of 204.1 ± 6.144 , 312.3 ± 2.147 , and 152.7 ± 4.958 µg GAE/mg of dry extract respectively. The values are means of three biological replicates ($n=3$). Error bars in the graph represents standard deviation.

Figure 9. Calibration curve of Rutin. Regression equation $y=0.004294x+0.09578$, $R^2=0.9989$ of Rutin was obtained using the above calibration curve. Error bars represent standard deviation.

maximum inhibition diameter was shown by fungal extracts DOR-7 and DOR-13 in *E. coli*. So, the minimum inhibitory concentration of these two extracts was assessed using *E. coli* as an indicator organism. Kanamycin sulphate was used as positive control.

The microorganisms were subjected to a range of antibiotic concentrations: 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 15.625, 7.8125, 3.9062, and 1.9531 µg/ml. Serial dilution of the fungal extracts was performed in the same concentration range between each

successive test tube. The macroscopic inhibition of growth was measured by observing the absence of turbidity in the medium (Figure 16). The MIC of samples DOR-7, as well as DOR-13, was determined to be 250 µg/ml. It means the concentration of a sample less than 250 µg/ml showed growth of bacteria. The MIC of kanamycin sulphate was 15.625 µg/ml, i.e. bacterial growth was observed only in those test tubes containing antibiotic concentration less than 15.625 µg/ml. The results of the present study suggest that 250 µg/ml of fungal extracts DOR-7 and DOR-13 consists of bioactive

Figure 10. Total flavonoid contents of endophytic fungal isolates DOR-6, DOR-7, and DOR-13. The flavonoid content was calculated from the regression equation of the calibration curve ($y=0.004294x+0.09578$, $R^2=0.9989$), expressed in $\mu\text{g RE per mg}$ of dried extract. The fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 showed total flavonoid contents of 177.9 ± 2.911 , 644.1 ± 4.202 , and $96.38 \pm 3.851 \mu\text{g RE/mg}$ dry extract respectively. The values are means of three biological replicates ($n=3$). Error bars in the graph represents standard deviation.

Table 5. Percentage of radical scavenging activity of Ascorbic acid and fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 at different concentrations.

Concentration	Percentage radical scavenging activity			
	Ascorbic Acid	DOR-6	DOR-7	DOR-13
2	21.76±0.54	0.5±0.15	4.09±0.67	0.96±0.27
4	27.36±0.58	3.28±1.05	10.83±0.49	5.37±0.59
6	36.70±1	8.01±0.13	17.85±0.3	12.25±0.53
8	44.67±0.11	17.89±0.09	20.81±0.49	18.94±0.57
10	55.64±0.65	22.99±0.46	24.41±0.56	23.09±0.54
12	70.13±0.33	24.22±0.28	27.14±0.54	25.54±0.51
14	81.51±0.5	25.14±0.34	32.33±0.13	27.86±0.61
16	88.57±0.29	31.96±0.65	35.24±0.18	34.88±0.32
18	94.89±0.22	34.01±0.39	43.03±0.18	37.61±0.24

Values are means of three biological replicates ($n=3$).

compounds equivalent to $15.625 \mu\text{g/ml}$ kanamycin sulphate. These bioactive compounds with antibacterial property might be the potential source for the development of antimicrobial compounds.

Determination of cytotoxicity by brine shrimp assay

Brine shrimp (*Artemia salina* Leach) is an invertebrate inhabiting saline aquatic and marine ecosystem. It can be used in a laboratory bioassay in order to determine the toxicity of

Figure 11. DPPH radical scavenging activity of ascorbic acid and fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13. Comparison of % DPPH radical scavenging activity of selected fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7, and DOR-13 with the standard antioxidant Ascorbic acid at different concentrations (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 18 µg/ml). The radical scavenging activity of the standard (Ascorbic acid), as well as the EA fungal extracts, were calculated using the formula: DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) = (Abs. control – Abs. sample)/Abs. control x 100, where Abs. control is the absorbance of DPPH radical solution without samples.

Figure 12. Determination and comparison of IC_{50} of fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 with standard Ascorbic acid. (A) Comparison of 50% inhibition concentration of fungal extract DOR-6 with the standard antioxidant Ascorbic acid. The IC_{50} value of standard ascorbic acid, fungal extract DOR-6 was determined from equations $y = 5.0908x + 4.8973$, $y = 2.3311x - 3.2573$ respectively. (B) Comparison of 50% inhibition concentration of fungal extract DOR-7 with the standard antioxidant Ascorbic acid. The IC_{50} value of standard ascorbic acid, fungal extract DOR-7 was determined from the equations $y = 5.0908x + 4.8973$, $y = 2.1271x + 2.8799$ respectively. (C) Comparison of 50% inhibition concentration of fungal extract DOR-7 with the standard antioxidant Ascorbic acid. The IC_{50} value of standard ascorbic acid, fungal extract DOR-7 was determined from the equations $y = 5.0908x + 4.8973$, $y = 2.29035x - 2.6812$ respectively.

Figure 13. Antimicrobial activity of fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13. Antimicrobial activity of fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 was determined using a gram-negative bacterial strain: *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), and two gram-positive bacterial strains: *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 12600) and *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC6633) with 10 µg Gentamycin disc, as a positive control and DMSO as negative control.

Table 6. Zones of inhibition of fungal crude extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 against bacterial test pathogens *E.coli*, *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis*.

Sample	Inhibition diameter (mm)		
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>
DOR-6	9.33±0.58	7.33±0.58	6.5±0.87
DOR-7	14.33±0.57	10.33±0.58	10±0.5
DOR-13	10.17±0.76	8±0	8±0.5

Values are expressed as Mean±SD.

Figure 14. Comparison of antimicrobial activity of fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 with negative control. Comparison of mean zones of inhibition measurements (mm) of fungal crude extracts (DOR-6, DOR-7, and DOR-13) with DMSO as a negative control (NC) for bacterial test pathogens. Error bars represent standard deviation. An asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference in mean zones of inhibition between fungal crude extracts and negative control observed for each test pathogen (compared using Dunnett's test, $P < 0.05$). If no inhibition was seen, a value of 6 was assigned, which was the diameter of the agar-well used.

Figure 15. Comparison of antimicrobial activity of fungal extracts DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13 with positive control. Comparison of mean zones of inhibition measurements (mm) of fungal crude extracts (DOR-6, DOR-7 and DOR-13) with antibiotic - 10 μ g Gentamycin disc, as a positive control (PC) for bacterial test pathogens. Error bars represent standard deviation. An asterisk indicates significant difference in mean zones of inhibition between positive control and fungal crude extracts observed for each test pathogen (compared using Dunnett's test, $P < 0.05$). The less potency of the fungal extract in comparison to antibiotic disc of Gentamycin can be attributed to the crude nature of the extracts used. If no inhibition was seen, a value of 6 was assigned, which was the diameter of the agar-well used.

plants by the estimation of the medium lethality concentration LC_{50} ³⁷. In this study, cytotoxicity test was done by brine shrimp bioassay method. We calculated the cytotoxicity of our fungal extracts by their determining their ability to kill the shrimp nauplii in 24 hours. The lethal concentration required to kill

half the population (LC_{50}) was calculated. 1% doxorubicin and 1% DMSO were used as positive and negative control respectively. Death percentage of nauplii in 24 hours was calculated and is shown in Table 7. A graph of a log of extract concentration in ppm versus death percentage was plotted (Figure 17).

Figure 16. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of kanamycin sulfate and fungal extracts DOR-7 and DOR-13. MIC of kanamycin sulfate was observed at K-7 i.e. at 15.625 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ concentration. MIC of extract DOR-7 and DOR-13 observed at DOR7-3 (250 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) and DOR13-3 (250 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) respectively. 11 and 12 are negative controls and 1 and 10 represent the highest and lowest concentration, i.e. 1 mg/ml and 1.9531 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, respectively.

Table 7. Brine shrimp bioassay for fungal extracts DOR-7 and DOR-13 showing death percentage of nauplii after 24 hours.

Sample	Conc (A) (ppm)	Log of A	Initial Nauplii	Nauplii survival (n=1)	Nauplii survival (n=2)	Nauplii survival (n=3)	% Mortality (n=1)	% Mortality (n=2)	% Mortality (n=3)	LC ₅₀ (ppm)
DOR-7	1000	3	10	2	2	1	80	80	90	104.2
	500	2.7	10	3	2	2	70	80	80	
	250	2.4	10	3	4	4	70	60	60	
	125	2.1	10	4	4	4	60	60	60	
	62.5	1.8	10	6	6	7	40	40	30	
DOR-13	1000	3	10	2	2	2	80	80	80	125.9
	500	2.7	10	2	3	3	80	70	70	
	250	2.4	10	4	5	4	60	50	60	
	125	2.1	10	5	5	6	50	50	40	
	62.5	1.8	10	6	5	6	40	50	40	

LC₅₀ of extracts DOR-7 and DOR-13 were 104.2 ppm and 125.9 ppm, respectively. In our study, both extracts showed a low value of LC₅₀ (<200 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), which is an indication of the potent cytotoxic compound. Throughout our experiment, the shrimp nauplii did not receive food; therefore the death of the nauplii can be considered either due to the effect of our bioactive fungal extract or because of starvation.

However, any hatched nauplii still feed on their yolk-sac, they can survive for up to 48 hours without food³⁸. In addition, we had also used a control sample containing only nauplii for ensuring the mortality effect of our fungal extract. Since brine shrimp lethality assay is a primary assay to detect cytotoxic property, further experiments are required to establish the cytotoxicity of the fungal extracts against cell lines.

Figure 17. Log of concentration vs percentage mortality of fungal extracts DOR-7 and DOR-13. The cytotoxicity of the fungal extracts at different concentrations: 1,000, 500, 250, 125, and 62.5 ppm was determined by their ability to kill the shrimp nauplii in 24 hours. The lethal concentration required to kill half the population (LC_{50}) was calculated. 1% doxorubicin and 1% DMSO were used as positive and negative control respectively.

Conclusions

Endophytic fungi are largely underexplored in the discovery of natural products. To date, only a few plants are investigated for their endophytic biodiversity and their potential to produce bioactive secondary metabolites. Nepal has an abundance of medicinal plants, so this research helps shed a light in the possibilities of discovering novel compounds with pharmaceutical potential from a variety of endophytic fungi residing within them. Our research primarily concludes Himalayan yew to be a promising reservoir for large endophytic diversity with the capability to produce natural compounds showing significant antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic properties. A total of 9 different genera of fungi were isolated from 16 *Taxus* samples, which further suggests that this diversity could offer an abundant source of varied novel metabolites. The fungal extracts isolated from three endophytic fungi, *Alternaria alternata*, *Cladosporium cladosporioides* and *Alternaria brassicae*, showed significant bioactivity. *Alternaria* sp. have also exhibited a myriad of biological functions such as phytotoxic, cytotoxic, antimicrobial and chemotherapeutic agent like porritoxin^{39–41}. Similarly, several studies conducted on *C. cladosporioides* have shown bioactive compounds like p- methylbenzoic acid, Ergosterol peroxide and Calphostin as well as enzymes like pectin methylsterase, polygalacturonase and chlorpyrifos hydrolase^{42–45}. Likewise, these crude fungal extracts upon purification and further identification of the bioactive compounds can be a fascinating source for novel pharmaceutical agents. Although these compounds are produced in small quantities in nature, these promising endophytes can be further employed to fast growth and amplification through isolation, genetic manipulation, and industrial scale-up on an industrial level. Moreover, the utilization of endophytes as alternative sources of bioactive compounds also eliminates the exploitation of the host plant, hence conserving biodiversity.

Data availability

Underlying data

Sequences of fungal isolates (DOR-1 to DOR-13) are deposited in GenBank of NCBI with accession numbers MN696546 to MN696558. See Table 4 for a full list of accession numbers.

Open Science Framework: Evaluation of antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic properties of bioactive compounds produced from endophytic fungi of Himalayan Yew (*Taxus wallichiana*) in Nepal, <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/73PAX>⁴⁶.

This project contains the following underlying data:

- Uncropped, unedited images for Figure 4 and Figure 6.
- DPPH, TPC, TFC results
- Agar well diffusion (Primary and secondary screening results)
- Brine shrimp assay results
- Percent yield results

Data are available under the terms of the **Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver** (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Department of Biotechnology, Kathmandu University for providing us laboratory facilities to carry out this research. We are grateful to Dhorpatan Hunting Reserve for granting us the permission to collect plant samples.

References

1. Nisar M, Khan I, Ahmad B, et al.: **Antifungal and antibacterial activities of *Taxus wallichiana* Zucc.** *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem.* 2008; **23**(2): 256–260.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Kovács P, Csaba G, Pállinger E, et al.: **Effects of taxol treatment on the microtubular system and mitochondria of *Tetrahymena*.** *Cell Biol Int.* 2007; **31**(7): 724–732.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Chattopadhyay SK, Pal A, Maulik PR, et al.: **Taxoid from the needles of the Himalayan yew *Taxus wallichiana* with cytotoxic and immunomodulatory activities.** *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2006; **16**(9): 2446–2449.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Nisar M, Khan I, Simjee SU, et al.: **Anticonvulsant, analgesic and antipyretic activities of *Taxus wallichiana* Zucc.** *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2008; **116**(3): 490–494.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Khan I, Nisar M, Shah MR, et al.: **Anti-inflammatory activities of Taxusabietane A isolated from *Taxus wallichiana* Zucc.** *Fitoterapia.* 2011; **82**(7): 1003–1007.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Qayum M, Nisar M, Shah MR, et al.: **Analgesic and antiinflammatory activities of taxoids from *Taxus wallichiana* Zucc.** *Phytother Res.* 2012; **26**(4): 552–556.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
7. Strobel G, Daisy B: **Bioprospecting for microbial endophytes and their natural products.** *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* 2003; **67**(4): 491–502.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
8. Petrini O: **Fungal Endophytes of Tree Leaves.** In: Andrews J.H., Hirano S.S. (eds) *Microbial Ecology of Leaves.* Brock/Springer Series in Contemporary Bioscience. 1991; 179–197.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Azevedo J, Maccheroni W Jr, Pereira J, et al.: **Endophytic microorganisms: a review on insect control and recent advances on tropical plants.** *Electron J Biotechn.* 2000; **3**(1).
[Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Porras-Alfaro A, Bayman P: **Hidden fungi, emergent properties: endophytes and microbiomes.** *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 2011; **49**(1): 291–315.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Kogel KH, Franken P, Hückelhoven R: **Endophyte or parasite – what decides?** *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2006; **9**(4): 358–363.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Hussain H, Kliche-Spory C, Al-Harrasi A, et al.: **Antimicrobial constituents from three endophytic fungi.** *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed.* 2014; **7S1**: S224–S227.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Stinson M, Ezra D, Hess WM, et al.: **An endophytic *Gliocladium* sp. of *Eucryphia cordifolia* producing selective volatile antimicrobial compounds.** *Plant Sci.* 2003; **165**(4): 913–922.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
14. Valko M, Leibfritz D, Moncol J, et al.: **Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease.** *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2007; **39**(1): 44–84.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Agarwal A, Sharma RK, Nallella KP, et al.: **Reactive oxygen species as an independent marker of male factor infertility.** *Fertil Steril.* 2006; **86**(4): 878–885.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Desai N, Sharma R, Makker K, et al.: **Physiologic and pathologic levels of reactive oxygen species in neat semen of infertile men.** *Fertil Steril.* 2009; **92**(5): 1626–1631.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Joel E, Valentin Bhimba B: **Evaluation of secondary metabolites from mangrove associated fungi *Meyerozyma guilliermondii*.** *Alexandria Journal of Medicine.* 2013; **49**(3): 189–194.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Deepake U, Das Y, Algunde S, et al.: **Preliminary screening of endophytic fungi from *Encostemma axillare* (Lam.) Raynal. for antimicrobial activity.** *Current Botany.* 2012; **3**: 23–29.
[Reference Source](#)
19. Tamura K, Nei M: **Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees.** *Mol Biol Evol.* 1993; **10**(3): 512–26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, et al.: **MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms.** *Mol Biol Evol.* 2018; **35**(6): 1547–1549.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
21. Makris DP, Psarra E, Kallithraka S, et al.: **The effect of polyphenolic composition as related to antioxidant capacity in white wines.** *Food Res Int.* 2003; **36**(8): 805–814.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
22. Lin J, Tang C: **Determination of total phenolic and flavonoid contents in selected fruits and vegetables, as well as their stimulatory effects on mouse splenocyte proliferation.** *Food Chem.* 2007; **101**(1): 140–147.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
23. Zhu H, Wang Y, Liu Y, et al.: **Analysis of Flavonoids in *Portulaca oleracea* L. by UV-Vis Spectrophotometry with Comparative Study on Different Extraction Technologies.** *Food Anal Methods.* 2009; **3**(2): 90–97.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
24. Krishnaraju AV, Rao TVN, Sundararaju D, et al.: **Assessment of bioactivity of Indian medicinal plants using Brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) lethality assay.** *Int J Applied Sci Eng.* 2005; **3**: 125–134.
[Reference Source](#)
25. Déciga-Campos M, Rivero-Cruz I, Arriaga-Alba M, et al.: **Acute toxicity and mutagenic activity of Mexican plants used in traditional medicine.** *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2007; **110**(2): 334–342.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
26. Pan F, Su TJ, Cai SM, et al.: **Fungal endophyte-derived *Fritillaria unibracteata* var. *wabuensis*: diversity, antioxidant capacities in vitro and relations to phenolic, flavonoid or saponin compounds.** *Sci Rep.* 2017; **7**(1): 42008.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
27. Vita JA: **Polyphenols and cardiovascular disease: effects on endothelial and platelet function.** *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005; **81**(1 Suppl): 292S–297S.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Geetha S, Sai Ram M, Mongia SS, et al.: **Evaluation of antioxidant activity of leaf extract of Seabuckthorn (*Hippophae hamnoides* L.) on chromium(VI) induced oxidative stress in albino rats.** *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2003; **87**(2–3): 247–251.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Agati G, Azzarello E, Pollastri S, et al.: **Flavonoids as antioxidants in plants: Location and functional significance.** *Plant Sci.* 2012; **196**: 67–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
30. Erlund I: **Review of the flavonoids quercetin, hesperetin, and naringenin. Dietary sources, bioactivities, bioavailability, and epidemiology.** *Nutrition Research.* 2004; **24**(10): 851–874.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
31. Da Porto C, Calligaris S, Celotti E, et al.: **Antiradical properties of commercial cognacs assessed by the DPPH(.) test.** *J Agric Food Chem.* 2000; **48**(9): 4241–4245.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. Soare JR, Dinis TC, Cunha AP, et al.: **Antioxidant activities of some extracts of *Thymus zygis*.** *Free Radic Res.* 1997; **26**(5): 469–478.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
33. Baxter A, Mittler R, Suzuki N: **ROS as key players in plant stress signaling.** *J Exp Bot.* 2013; **65**(5): 1229–1240.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
34. Lu Y, Yeap Foo L: **Antioxidant activities of polyphenols from sage (*Salvia officinalis*).** *Food Chem.* 2001; **75**(2): 197–202.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
35. Contreras-Guzman E, Strong F: **Determination of total tocopherols in grains, grain products, and commercial oils, with only slight saponification, and by a new reaction with cupric ion.** *J Agric Food Chem.* 1982; **30**(6): 1109–1112.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
36. Tan RX, Zou WX: **Endophytes: a rich source of functional metabolites (1987 to 2000).** *Nat Prod Rep.* 2001; **18**(4): 448–459.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
37. Ramachandr S, Vamsikrish M, Gowthami KV, et al.: **Assessment of Cytotoxic Activity of *Agave cantula* Using Brine Shrimp (*Artemia salina*) Lethality Bioassay.** *Asian J Sci Res.* 2011; **4**(1): 90–94.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
38. Sarah Q, Anny F, Misbahuddin M: **Brine shrimp lethality assay.** *Bangladesh J Pharmacol.* 2017; **12**(2): 5.
[Reference Source](#)
39. Brase S, Encinas A, Keck J, et al.: **Chemistry and biology of mycotoxins and related fungal metabolites.** *Chem Rev.* 2009; **109**(9): 3903–3990.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
40. Tsuge T, Harimoto Y, Akimitsu K, et al.: **Host-selective toxins produced by the plant pathogenic fungus *Alternaria alternata*.** *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2013; **37**(1): 44–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
41. Horiuchi M, Tokuda H, Ohnishi K, et al.: **Porrioxins, metabolites of *Alternaria porri*, as anti-tumor-promoting active compounds.** *Nat Prod Res.* 2006; **20**(2): 161–166.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
42. San-Martin A, Painemal K, Díaz Y, et al.: **Metabolites from the marine fungus *Cladosporium cladosporioides*.** *J Argent Chem Soc.* 2005; **93**(4–6): 247–251.
[Reference Source](#)
43. Rotenberg SA, Huang MH, Zhu J, et al.: **Deletion analysis of protein kinase C inactivation by calphostin C.** *Mol Carcinog.* 1995; **12**(1): 42–49.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

44. Bastos SC, Pimenta CJ, Dias DR, *et al.*: **Pectinases from a new strain of *Cladosporium cladosporioides* (Fres.) De Vries isolated from coffee bean.** *World J Agric Sci.* 2013; **9**(2): 167–172.
[Reference Source](#)
45. Gao Y, Chen S, Hu M, *et al.*: **Purification and characterization of a novel chlorpyrifos hydrolase from *Cladosporium cladosporioides* Hu-01.** *PLoS One.* 2012; **7**(6): e38137.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
46. Gauchan D: **Evaluation of antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic properties of bioactive compounds produced from endophytic fungi of Himalayan yew (*Taxus wallichiana*) in Nepal.** 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/73PAX>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 2

Reviewer Report 12 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.29866.r72442>

© 2020 Dhakal D. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dipesh Dhakal

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

The current version of the manuscript is refined and interesting for wide readership. In addition, the authors have either duly rectified the contents or provided appropriate responses to the reviewer's comments. Hence, the current version of manuscript can be accepted.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Natural Product Biosynthesis, Bioactivity of natural products as antibacterial, anticancer, anti-oxidant etc, Engineering of natural products.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 06 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.29866.r72443>

© 2020 Pokhrel A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Anaya Pokhrel

University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, USA

The research article looks good after revision. I am happy with the revised article and I do not have any further comments to make.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Microbiology, molecular biology, and natural products.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 07 September 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.25669.r70764>

© 2020 Dhakal D. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dipesh Dhakal

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

The manuscript provides showcase about the diversified fungal consortium existing in *Taxus wallichiana*. Further they have isolated secondary metabolites and analyzed their antioxidant, antimicrobial and anticancer activities. The findings are very interesting to cater the biological importance of such potent fungus. However, the study has room for improvement.

1. The author can analyze the secondary metabolite profile by HPLC and GC, to determine whether they are already known molecule or entirely new molecules.
2. The author can perform MTT assay against few cancer cell lines and normal cell line, which will provide better insight on practical application of such metabolites.
3. The author showed that the extract has better activity against Gram negative strains, hence it would be interesting if author would add additional Gram negative bacterias as *Pseudomonas* or ESKAPE pathogens for their testing.
4. Does the author isolate entirely new species of fungus or they recovered already known species of fungus. In case the fungus are known, then the author can include some information about potential compounds from such fungal species.
5. In section "Determination of MIC", please correct 1000 instead of 1.
6. In section, Extraction of secondary metabolites, the author should mentioned that they weighed the extract hence the author should provide information about the total yield.
7. I find the author have reiterated the datas on figures as well as table, hence they can just summarize in Figure and include the data in figure legend for e.g. Table 4 and Figure 8 can be integrated.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Natural Product Biosynthesis, Bioactivity of natural products as antibacterial, anticancer, anti-oxidant etc, Engineering of natural products.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 24 Sep 2020

Dhurva P. Gauchan, Kathmandu University, Dhulikhel, Kavrepalanchok District, Nepal

Dear Dr. Dipesh Dhakal,

Thank you for your comments. Your comments helped us to add a few points to make the manuscript clearer. We addressed all the issues in version 2 of the manuscript as suggested.

The suggestion for the employment of HPLC/GC for identification of isolated bioactive compounds, MTT assay, and antimicrobial assay on other gram-negative bacteria on our research has been acknowledged and research being currently investigated adopting various parameters. Matter of fact, our next phase of the research focuses on the identification of bioactive compounds using HPLC/LCMS and its effect on various cancer cell lines doing MTT assay. At the advance level, we have planned to evaluate the effectiveness of bioactive compounds extending our experiments on the animal models which will help to develop anticancer drugs in near future. We recovered already identified species of fungi. Therefore, some information about bioactive compounds previously isolated and studied from the identified fungal species is added to the conclusion section as suggested.

In the determination of the MIC section, the initial diluted concentration is changed from 1 to 1000 µg/ml. Thank you for pointing out the error.

The percent yield of fungal extracts is added in Table 3. The formula used for calculation of the yield is also added in the method section.

Table 4 and Figure 8; Table 5 and Figure 10 are integrated as advised.

Regards
Dhurva P. Gauchan

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 02 July 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.25669.r63720>

© 2020 Pokhrel A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Anaya Pokhrel

University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, USA

The manuscript entitled "Evaluation of antimicrobial, antioxidant and cytotoxic properties of bioactive compounds produced from endophytic fungi of Himalayan yew (*Taxus wallichiana*) in Nepal" discusses isolation of endophytic fungi. Antimicrobial, antioxidant, and cytotoxic properties of bioactive compounds isolated from endophytic fungi is studied. The manuscript is clear, concise, and flows smoothly. However, there are minor corrections suggested before consideration of the manuscript for indexing.

Suggestions

Introduction section

Sentence:

Some research indicates various medicinal properties of *T. wallichiana*, such as analgesic, antipyretic, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, antibacterial, antifungal, antiplatelet, antispasmodic activities antiallergic, anticonvulsant, antiosteoporotic, , and vasorelaxing effect³⁻⁶.

Antispasmodic activities: remove activities in this sentence
antiosteoporotic, ,: Remove one comma

Method section

Under the title “Molecular screening and phylogenetic analysis” in this sentence:

- The obtained pure DNA was run on 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis and the bands were observed

Suggestions:

- “Pure DNA” is a claim not supported by evidence, please replace it with genomic DNA instead.
- Replace the word “run” with “analyzed using” and remove the part that says the bands were observed. This description belongs to the result section.
- In the PCR amplification condition, please add the number of cycles to make the data reproducible.
- Units for volume and time are not consistent. There is space right after the number in some cases while there is no space in some other. Please make it consistent.

For example in this section:

- PCR was performed using all reagents from Thermofisher, in a 25µl reaction mixture using 5 µl template DNA, 0.25 µl of 1.25 U Taq DNA polymerase, 2.5 µl of 10X Amplification buffer, 0.8µl of 10mM dNTP, 0.75 µl of 50mM MgCl₂, 13.2 µl PCR grade water, 1.25µl of 10µM each of forward and reverse primer. PCR amplification was carried out in BioRad300 thermocycler. The thermo-cycling conditions involved an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 mins, 37 cycles of 30 secs at 94°C, 30 secs at 55°C, 1 min at 72°C and a final extension at 72°C for 5 mins. The PCR amplification products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis on 2% agarose (w/v).
- Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained by Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value¹⁹.

Result section

In the following sentence:

Sporormiella showed the highest colonization frequency accounting for 23% followed by *Alternaria* and *Trichoderma* with 15.4% each and the rest showed 7.7% each of colonization frequencies.

The colonization frequencies are discussed. However, the colonization frequency is not discussed in the method section. Please describe the method used for the calculation of colonization frequency somewhere in the methods section.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Microbiology, molecular biology, and natural products.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 24 Sep 2020

Dhurva P. Gauchan, Kathmandu University, Dhulikhel, Kavrepalanchok District, Nepal

Dear Dr. Anaya Pokhrel,

Thank you for your meticulous observation especially in the materials and methods section which will definitely improve the quality of the manuscript. We addressed all the issues in version 2 of the manuscript as suggested.

All typographical corrections suggested in the introduction and method sections have been corrected accordingly.

The number of PCR cycles was included in the original version. (The thermo-cycling conditions involved an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 mins, 37 cycles of 30 secs at 94°C, 30 secs at 55°C, 1 min at 72°C and a final extension at 72°C for 5 mins.) The units and spacing in between are made consistent throughout the paper as suggested.

We have also added the method for calculation of colonization frequency in the revised paper.

Regards
Dhurva Prasad Gauchan

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research