BRIEF REPORT

Differences in the ratio of soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and soil organic carbon (SOC) at various altitudes of Hyperallic Alisol in the Amazon region of Ecuador [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Benito Mendoza ¹, Jaime Béjar², Daniel Luna¹, Miguel Osorio ²,
Mauro Jimenez¹, Jesus R. Melendez ^{3,4}

¹Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo, Riobamba, Ecuador

²Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo, Riobamba, Ecuador

³Facultad Educación Técnica para el Desarrollo, Universidad Católica de Santiago de Guayaquil, Guayaquil, Ecuador

⁴Dama Research Center limited, Kowloon, Hong Kong

V1 First published: 26 May 2020, 9:443
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22922.1>

Latest published: 26 May 2020, 9:443
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22922.1>

Abstract

Protecting soil fertility represents a fundamental effort of sustainable development. In this study we investigate how different altitudes affect soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and soil organic carbon (SOC), and their ratio, MBC/SOC in Hyperallic Alisol. MBC and SOC are well established and widely accepted microbial quotients in soil science. Our work hypothesis was that a decrease in MBC and SOC should be observed at higher altitudes. This initial assumption has been verified by our measurements, being attributed to the increase in MBC and SOC at low altitudes. Our approach should contribute to the better understanding of MBC and SOC distribution in soil and changes in MBC/SOC at various altitudes in the region.

Keywords

microbial biomass carbon (MBC), soil organic carbon (SOC), MBC/SOC ratio, rainforest, Hyperallic Alisol, and Amazon region Ecuador

This article is included in the **Agriculture, Food and Nutrition** gateway.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1
26 May 2020	view	view

1. **Lenin Ramirez-Cando** , Universidad Politécnica Salesiana, Quito, Ecuador
2. **Istvan Fekete**, University of Nyíregyháza, Nyíregyháza, Hungary

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Jesus R. Melendez (melendez@edu.damaresearch.com)

Author roles: **Mendoza B:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Béjar J:** Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Luna D:** Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Osorio M:** Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Jimenez M:** Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Melendez JR:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This study was supported by Dama Research Center Limited.

Copyright: © 2020 Mendoza B *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Mendoza B, Béjar J, Luna D *et al.* **Differences in the ratio of soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and soil organic carbon (SOC) at various altitudes of Hyperalic Alisol in the Amazon region of Ecuador [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2020, 9:443 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22922.1>

First published: 26 May 2020, 9:443 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22922.1>

Introduction

Protection of soil quality is a pillar of sustainable development¹⁻³. Recent studies considered effects of minerals⁴⁻⁶ and soil cultivation techniques^{7,8} on soil quality and soil microbial activity⁹⁻¹². These studies reported interactions between soil minerals and decomposition of organic matter; positive correlations between soil microbial activity (measured by number of bacteria and fungi, soil respiration, and C and N cycle-related enzyme activity) and cultivation methods, and fertilizer usage. The undertaken efforts in agriculture practice, offer favorable conditions for soil-plant interactions. Additionally, these studies on soil respiration and enzymatic activity report correlation analyses between soil properties and microbial activity, documenting that soil quality is mainly determined by soil microbial activity, as verified by other authors' work¹³⁻¹⁵. A highly cited review paper on the topic¹⁶ concludes that soil processes and properties are affected by climate change, which causes changes in soil organic matter (SOM) and microbial biomass carbon (MBC). Based on this, one can state that monitoring the soil carbon cycle is of increasing importance, given that microbial processes in soil may serve as an indicative of climate change. Among processes to be monitored, decomposition of organic matter is of primary significance¹⁷, considering that this process is strongly influenced by soil microbiological activity. Soil management is another research area of increasing interest¹⁸ owing to its significance in soil microbial activity¹⁹ that can be expressed by enzymatic activity, soil respiration, and MBC¹¹. Local farmers have demonstrated willingness to start permaculture systems involving tropical orchards, like those previously operated successfully in another plant, Guayusa (*Ilex guayusa*)²⁰, in the Amazon region. Because of the sensitivity of orchards to nutrient deficiency²¹⁻²³ we believe that measuring soil microbial activity is of priority when analyzing soil properties, as soil microbial activity is in strong correlation with nutrient uptake in plants²⁴. For this reason, monitoring changes of soil MBC and soil organic carbon (SOC) allow to select favorable locations for orchard plantation in permaculture systems, contributing to sustainable agricultural practices. MBC is the living microbial component of soil organic matter²⁵ and is considered an indicator of microbial activity, owing to its rapid response (less than a year turnover time) to conditions that may alter soil organic matter²⁶. SOC contributes positively to soil fertility and crop production²⁷. Here we report variations with altitude of MBC, SOC, and MBC/SOC in Hyperallic Alisol.

Methods

Soil sampling

We were inspired by a prior study conducted in 2018²⁸, in which soil properties at various altitudes were measured in the region. We collected samples at similar altitudes as in the prior study²⁸ (395, 1006, and 1554 m.a.s.l, meters above sea level) to gather data on soil properties at different altitudes. We collected 15 soil samples, 5 from each altitude, following the protocol proposed by Singla and co-workers²⁸, where a minimum of 3 sampling points per altitude were recommended: 420, 1000, and 1600 m.a.s.l. in the Ecuadorian Amazonian region (latitude: 4.628247894396525; longitude: -74.95615214109422), on December 10, 2019.

Homogeneous soil populations can be used for analysis, when sample size (s) = 15, as determined by Cline (1944)²⁹ (Equation 1):

$$s = \frac{r_n - r_1}{C} \quad (E1)$$

Where,

r_n , r_1 are the extremes of the range of a parameter,

C is a constant, equal to 3, 4, 5, and 6 for 10, 25, 100, and 500 sampling units, respectively (Cine, 1944)²⁹.

To estimate extremes of a given range, we used prior literature data²⁴ from a study performed in the Amazon region in which SOC values vary between 34.69 and 54.62. Cline (1944)²⁹ recommended the use of 20 samples, when the range of parameter is 110. In our case, counting with 19.93 range value obtained from a prior study in the region²⁴ Equation (1) becomes $s = 19.93/4 = 4.98 \sim 5$ samples. So, the total number of samples for the study is Total number of Samples = 5 samples multiplied with the three altitudes, yielding a number of 15 sampling points.

Samples originated from the upper layer (top 20 cm) of Hyperallic Alisol (Ultisols in U.S. Soil Taxonomy) soil. Sampling points and physical-chemical soil properties were described in a prior study³⁰, parameters being in the following ranges: pH 4.99–5.98; moisture content: 43.9–66.6 %; Allophane: volcanic; and total Fe content (mg/kg): 367–1104.

MBC/SOC

MBC was measured in quadruplicate (see supporting data) by the fumigation-incubation method, according to Jenkinson and Powlson³¹. Briefly, four aliquots of oven-dried (105 °C for 24 h) soil samples (25 g each) were placed into glass vials, two aliquots being fumigated with CHCl_3 (Fisher Scientific, 67-66-3,64-17-5) at room temperature, while two aliquots were kept untreated at 2 °C for 24 h. All samples were incubated at 25 °C for 10 days, in the dark. Their respiration was measured after incubation with Barcroft-type (differential) respirometer, which enables simultaneous measurements of gas volume in real-time³². Produced raw CO_2 values (SIR) were read by **Respirometer software RV10** (version 10.03) obtaining data directly from the respirometer's electronic sensor (model: Respicond VIII, Nordgren Innovations, Sweden). Soil respiration of both fumigated and unfumigated samples were calculated from SIR values according to Anderson and Anderson (1978)³² using the equation (Equation 2):

$$\text{Fumigated, Unfumigated} = (40.4 \cdot \text{SIR}) + 0.37 \quad (E2)$$

MBC was calculated by dividing the difference in soil respiration between fumigated and unfumigated samples with 0.38 according to Jenkinson and Powlson³¹ (Equation 3):

$$\text{MBC} = \frac{\text{Fumigated} - \text{Unfumigated}}{0.38} \quad (E3)$$

Where,

Fumigated is the produced CO_2 by fumigated soil samples, expressed in $\text{C } \mu\text{g/ml}$.

Unfumigated is the produced CO_2 by not fumigated soil samples, expressed in $\text{C } \mu\text{g/ml}$.

To calculate SOC we determined humus content according to Székely *et al.*³³. In this procedure, 1.0 g of air-dried soil was placed into a 300-mL Erlenmeyer flask. Then, 10. mL of 5% $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ (Fisher Scientific, 7778-50-9) solution was added and mixed with the soil. Next, 20 mL of concentrated H_2SO_4 (Fisher Scientific, 7664-93-9) were supplemented, and mixed with 100. mL distilled water. Solution was filtered with Grade 42 Whatman slow filter paper (pore size 2.5 μm) and analyzed with Model 240Z Atomic Absorption Furnace Spectrophotometer (Agilent), from 230 to 700 nm, and absorbances at 600 and 400 nm (E_{600} and E_{400}), respectively, correspond to A, B, P, and Rp types of humic acids, relevant to humus content, according to Watanabe *et al.*³⁴. Humus content varied from 2.34 (at 1600 m.a.s.l.), to 4.82, at lower laying areas (420 m.a.s.l.) (see raw data in Supporting material.) From the obtained humus content, we calculated SOC, using a conversion factor, according to Walkley and Black³⁵ (E3):

$$\text{SOC} = \text{humus}(\text{percent}) / 1.32 \quad (\text{E3})$$

MBC/SOC quotient was determined by dividing MBC with SOC.

Statistical analysis

Linear regression (Z-test) was performed to reveal possible statistical differences ($p < 0.05$) between parameters and altitudes, using SPSS (version 26).

Results and discussion

Our results are similar in magnitude with prior studies in the Amazonian region³⁶; the lowest SOC and MBC values are observed at the highest altitude (1600 m.a.s.l.): 1.77% and 267.3 mg/kg, where the average MBC/SOC is 161.17. At 1000 m.a.s.l. both SOC and MBC increased; SOC augmented by 74%, while MBC by 45%. Average MBC/SOC increased to 268.2. At the lowest altitude (420 m.a.s.l.) both SOC and MBC reached their highest values: 3.65% and 2214.4 mg/kg, respectively. The average MBC/SOC also reached its maximum: 667.8. Altitude significantly affects both SOC ($R^2 = 0.95$) with $p < 0.0001$ and MBC ($R^2 = 0.89$) with $p < 0.0001$ (Table 1³⁷).

MBC/SOC increases with decreasing altitude (Figure 1³⁷), which means that MBC increases more rapidly with decreasing altitude than SOC. As MBC corresponds to microorganisms' weight (mainly bacteria and fungi), and is estimated at approx. 5% of the SOC³², the increased MBC value indicates faster

Table 1. Correlation coefficients (R^2) between soil properties and altitudes. * represents significant correlation at 95% probability as accepted in agriculture³¹. Note: humus % is not included in the table, given that SOC is calculated from humus %, as indicated in the methods section.

Variables	Altitude	SOC	MBC	MBC/SOC
Altitude	1	0.95*	0.89	0.88
SOC	0.95*	1	0.85	0.8
MBC	0.89	0.85	1	0.98*
MBC/SOC	0.88	0.8	0.98*	1

SOC - soil organic carbon, MBC - microbial biomass carbon

Figure 1. Changes in microbial biomass carbon (MBC)/soil organic carbon (SOC) at different altitudes. Samples numbered correspond to the following altitudes 1–5: 420 m.a.s.l.; 6–10: 1000 m.a.s.l.; 11–15: 1600 m.a.s.l.

microbial activity at lower laying sampling points. This finding yields three main observations:

1. Organic matter decomposition is accelerated by soil microbial activity^{38,39} in lower-laying areas, because of more favorable conditions⁴⁰ offered to cellulose decomposing bacteria. Presence of these bacteria is confirmed by MBC/SOC increase at lower altitudes, reported here. Microbial carbon increases more significantly than organic carbon, which suggests increased microbial activity⁴⁰.
2. Availability of SOC depends on soil properties, and soil depth⁴¹, rather than on altitude or coverage⁴². SOC may also depend on several other soil properties, therefore, enzymatic activities and physical-chemical soil properties should be measured. Total iron increases with decreasing altitude, as well³⁰. With these findings in MBC/SOC value changes, general leaching can be documented.
3. Metabolic efficiency depends on the availability of substrate (organic matter). The greater the MBC, the greater the temporary immobilization of micro and macro-nutrients^{43–46}. To confirm this, immobilization of nutrients should be measured in soil-plant interactions (mainly uptake), as planned for future work in

which orchards will be investigated, given the local farmers' need to plantation in the area and the particular sensitivity of orchards to nutrient deficiency^{21–23}.

Conclusions

Altitude affects SOC significantly. Decrease in MBC/SOC quotient is observed with increasing altitude. From obtained results we can conclude that lower-laying areas favor increased soil microbial activity. We recommend lower-laying areas for orchard plantations, considering that orchards are particularly sensitive to nutrient deficiency⁴⁷, while soil microbial activity is in strong correlation with nutrient uptake in plants²⁴.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Supporting raw data for MBC and SOC. Dataset. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12264698.v1>³⁷.

This project contains the following underlying data:

- Supporting Data_ Melendez-V3.xlsx (raw respiration data for MBC calculation and ABS raw data for humus % and SOC calculation)

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

References

1. Várallyay Gy: **A talaj és a víz (Soil and Water)**. In: Stefanovits P. and Michéli E. (Eds.) *A talajok jelentősége a 21. században (Importance of soils in the 21st century)*. MTA Társadalomkutatási Központ (Center for Social Research, the Hungarian Academy of Science), Budapest, Hungary. 2005; 61–64.
2. Kocsis T, Biró B, Ulmer Á: **Time-lapse effect of ancient plant coal biochar on some soil agrochemical parameters and soil characteristics**. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2018; 25(2): 990–999. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Rumpel C, Amiraslani F, Chenu C, *et al.*: **The 4p1000 initiative: Opportunities, limitations and challenges for implementing soil organic carbon sequestration as a sustainable development strategy**. *Ambio*. 2020; 49(1): 350–360. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
4. Singh M, Sarkar B, Bolan NS, *et al.*: **Decomposition of soil organic matter as affected by clay types, pedogenic oxides and plant residue addition rates**. *J Hazard Mater*. 2019; 374: 11–19. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Jakab A: **The ammonium lactate soluble potassium and phosphorus content of the soils of north-east Hungary region: a quantifying study**. *DRC Sustainable Future*. 2020; 1(1): 7–13. [Publisher Full Text](#)
6. Li J, Yang C, Liu X, *et al.*: **Soil aggregate size influences the impact of inorganic nitrogen deposition on soil nitrification in an alpine meadow of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau**. *PeerJ*. 2020; 8: e8230. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
7. Kate L, Bowden RD, Crow S, *et al.*: **The detrital input and removal treatment (DIRT) network: Insights into soil carbon stabilization**. *Sci Total Environ*. 2018; 640–641: 1112–1120. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Pabar SA, Mónok D, Kotrocó Zs, *et al.*: **Soil microbial parameters and synergies between bean growth and microbial inoculums as a dependence of five soils with different characteristics**. SYNERGY - Engineering, Agriculture and Green Industry Innovation PAPERS of the VI. International Conference of CIGR Hungarian National Committee and the Szent István University, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and the XXXIX. R&D Conference of Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Committee of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Gödöllő, Hungary (Electronical issue). 2019.
9. Bení Á, Lajtha K, Kozma J, *et al.*: **Application of a Stir Bar Sorptive Extraction sample preparation method with HPLC for soil fungal biomass determination in soils from a detrital manipulation study**. *J Microbiol Methods*. 2017; 136: 1–5. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Shrestha P, Gautam R, Ashwath N: **Effects of agronomic treatments on functional diversity of soil microbial community and microbial activity in a revegetated coal mine spoil**. *Geoderma*. 2019; 338: 40–47. [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. Sándor Zs, Tállai M, Kincses I, *et al.*: **Effect of various soil cultivation methods on some microbial soil properties**. *DRC Sustainable Future*. 2020; 1(1): 14–20. [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Sándor Zs: **Authors' correction for "Effect of various soil cultivation methods on some microbial soil properties"**. *DRC Sustainable Future*. 2020; 1(1): 21–22. [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Fekete I, Varga Cs, Halász J, *et al.*: **Study of litter decomposition intensity in litter manipulative trials in Sikfőkút-Bambisols**. *CEREAL RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS*. 2008; 36: 1779–1782. [Reference Source](#)
14. Zsolt K, Zsuzsa V, Borbála B, *et al.*: **Influence of temperature and organic matter content on soil respiration in a deciduous oak forest**. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*. 2014; 3: 303–310. [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Cherubin MR, Tormena CA, Karlen DL: **Soil Quality Evaluation Using the Soil Management Assessment Framework (SMAF) in Brazilian Oxisols with Contrasting Texture**. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*. 2017; 41. [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Allen DE, Singh BP, Dalal RC: **Soil Health Indicators Under Climate Change: A Review of Current Knowledge**. In: Singh B, Cowie A, Chan K, (eds) *Soil Health and Climate Change*. Soil Biology, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. 2011; 29. [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Tóth E, Gelybó Gy, Kása I, *et al.*: **A művelés hatása a talaj széndioxidkibocsátására. – A talajvízpotenciál értékelés CO₂ emissziója közötti összefüggések vizsgálata laboratóriumi módszertani tesztelés során (Effect of cultivation on carbon dioxide emission of soils - Investigation of the relationship between the estimation of groundwater potential and CO₂ emissions over laboratory method testing)**. *Agrokémia és Talajtan (Agrochemistry and Soil Science)*. 2014; 1: 299–310.
18. Schlesinger WH, Amundson R: **Managing for soil carbon sequestration: Let's get realistic**. *Glob Chang Biol*. 2019; 25(2): 386–389. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

19. Benites B: **The importance of soil organic matter.** *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations*. Rome. 2005.
[Reference Source](#)
20. Rocha P, Niella F, Keller H, *et al.*: **Ecological Indigenous (EIK) and Scientific (ESK) Knowledge Integration as a Tool for Sustainable Development in Indigenous Communities. Experience in Misiones, Argentina.** In: Montagnini F. (eds) *Integrating Landscapes: Agroforestry for Biodiversity Conservation and Food Sovereignty*. Advances in Agroforestry, Springer, Cham. 2017; **12**: 235–260.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Metzidakis IT, Koubouris GC, Kassidonis E, *et al.*: **IMPACT OF SOIL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS FORMED IN MARLS, CONGLOMERATES OR SCHISTS IN SLOPING OLIVE GROVES.** *Acta Hort.* 2012; **949**: 371–378.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
22. Simoes MP, Belo AF, Pinto-Cruz C, *et al.*: **Natural vegetation management to conserve biodiversity and soil water in olive orchards.** *Span J Agric Res*. 2014; **12**(3): 633–643. ISSN 2171-9292. Date accessed: 22 mar. 2020.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
23. Vignozzi N, Agnelli AE, Brandi G, *et al.*: **Soil ecosystem functions in a high-density olive orchard managed by different soil conservation practices.** *Appl Soil Ecol*. 2018; **134**: 64–76.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
24. Guaca L, Contreras AT, Rodríguez L, *et al.*: **Microbial properties of soil in different coverages in the Colombian Amazon.** *Floresta e Ambiente*. 2019; **26**(4): e20171051.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
25. Doran JW, Jones AJ, Rice CW, *et al.*: **Role of Microbial Biomass Carbon and Nitrogen in Soil Quality.** SSSA Special Publication. 1996.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
26. Paul EA: **Dynamics of organic matter in soils.** *Plant Soil*. 1984; **76**: 275–285.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
27. West TO, Post WM: **Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration Rates by Tillage and Crop Rotation.** *Soil Sci Soc Am J*. 2002; **66**(6): 1930–1946.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
28. Singla A, Bautista G, Mátyás B, *et al.*: **Altitudinal variations in H and Al ions in-terchange along with Fe content in Amazonian rainforest soil.** *La Granja: Revista de Ciencias de la Vida*. 2018; **28**(2): 42–50.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Cline MG: **Principles of soil sampling.** *Soil Sci*. 1944; **58**(4): 275–288.
[Reference Source](#)
30. Mendoza B, Guananga N, Melendez JR, *et al.*: **Differences in total iron content at various altitudes of Amazonian Andes soil in Ecuador [version 1; peer review: 2 approved].** *F1000Res*. 2020; **9**: 128.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
31. Jenkinson DS, Powelson DS: **The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil—I. Fumigation with chloroform.** *Soil Biol Biochem*. 1976; **8**(3): 167–177. ISSN 0038-0717.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
32. Anderson JPE, Domsch KH: **Mineralization of bacteria and fungi in chloroform-fumigated soils.** *Soil Biol Biochem*. 1978; **10**(3): 207–213.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
33. Székely Á, Schlick B-né, Szabó T-né: **Szerves kötési szén fotometrikus és kolorimetrikus meghatározása (Spectrophotometric and colorimetric determination of organically bound carbon).** *Agrokémia és Talajtan*. 1960; **9**(1–4): 111–120.
34. Watanabe A, Rumbanraja J, Tsutsuki K, *et al.*: **Humus composition of soils under forest, coffee and arable cultivation in hilly areas of south Sumatra, Indonesia.** *Eur J Soil Sci*. 2001; **52**(4): 599–606.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
35. Walkley A, Black IA: **An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method.** *Soil Sci*. 1934; **34**(1): 29–38.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
36. Guaca L, Contreras AT, Rodríguez L, *et al.*: **Microbial properties of soil in different coverages in the Colombian Amazon.** *Floresta e Ambiente*. 2019; **26**(4): e20171051.
[Reference Source](#)
37. Melendez: **Supporting data (MBC/SOC).** *figshare*. Dataset. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12264698.v1>
38. Tállai M: **(Effect of bentonite and zeolite on the properties and biological activity of acidic sand soils) in original language: 'Bentonit és zeolit hatása savanyú homok talajok tulajdonságaira és biológiai aktivitásának változására.'** Doktori (PhD) értekezés. University of Debrecen. Debrecen.
[Reference Source](#)
39. Gonzalez-Quíñones V, Stockdale EA, Banning NC, *et al.*: **Soil microbial biomass—Interpretation and consideration for soil monitoring.** *Soil Res*. 2011; **49**(4): 287–304.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
40. Kotrocó Z, Koncz G, Halász LJ, *et al.*: **Litter decomposition intensity and soil organic matter accumulation in síkfőkút dirt site.** *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung*. 2008; **56**: 53–54.
[Reference Source](#)
41. Spohn M, Klaus K, Wanek W, *et al.*: **Microbial carbon use efficiency and biomass turnover times depending on soil depth: implications for carbon cycling.** *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2016; **96**: 74–81.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
42. Fekete I, Kotrocó Z, Varga C, *et al.*: **The effects of detritus inputs on soil organic matter content and carbon-dioxide emission in a Central European deciduous forest.** *Acta Silv Lign Hung*. 2011; **7**: 87–96.
[Reference Source](#)
43. Fuentes JP, Bezdicke DF, Flury M, *et al.*: **Microbial activity affected by lime in a long-term no-till soil.** *Soil Till Res*. 2006; **88**(1–2): 123–131.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
44. Moreira A, Malavolta E: **Dynamic of organic matter and microbial biomass in a soil under different management systems in the Western Amazon.** *Pesq Agropec Bras*. 2004; **39**(11): 1103–1110.
[Reference Source](#)
45. Wang WJ, Dalal RC, Moody PW, *et al.*: **Relationships of soil respiration to microbial biomass, substrate availability and clay content.** *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2003; **35**(2): 273–284.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
46. Oulbachir K, Dellal A, Bekki A: **Les variations de la biomasse microbienne étudiée sous des environnements différentes dans quatre sols superposés séparés deux à deux par une croûte calcaire.** *Eur J Sci Res*. 2009; **36**(3): 407–417.
47. Simon L, Szilágyi M: **Mikroelemek a táplálékláncban (Microelements in the food chain).** Bessenyei György Kiadó, Nyíregyháza. 2003.

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 08 June 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.25306.r63948>

© 2020 Fekete I. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Istvan Fekete

Institute of Environmental Sciences, University of Nyíregyháza, Nyíregyháza, Hungary

A very accurate latitude and altitude data are given for the location of the site, which belongs to one specific site; however, there are 3 different altitudes in the text, at which 3-3 sampling points per altitude were mentioned.

In the Material and Method, it would have been good to include a site description section, in which the vegetation of the study area could have been described. Also describing what is grown in agricultural areas; how much biomass remains in the area; how long the natural vegetation has been removed (since when the area has been under cultivation). It would be good to know if there was a difference between the slope of each slope because this can also affect SOM and MBC through erosion.

Soil moisture and temperature fundamentally affect the MBC of soils, so it would be good to mention the values for specific sites in this manuscript as well. Furthermore, it would be good to know the particle composition of the soil, as the rate of the clay fraction significantly influences the SOC content.

The above-mentioned variables and parameters can all influence the final result.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Soil ecology, soil carbon content

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 01 June 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.25306.r63917>

© 2020 Ramirez-Cando L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Lenin Ramirez-Cando

Grupo de Investigación Ambiental en el Desarrollo Sustentable GIADES, Carrera de Ingeniería Ambiental, Universidad Politécnica Salesiana, Quito, Ecuador

The authors report changes in soil microbiological parameters and their ratio at different altitudinal levels of the Ecuadorian Amazon region. The study is well written and straightforward; anyways, in parts needed to be improved to increase readability, and lead readers to better understand the importance of the research findings.

First, in the introduction, authors discuss the role of MBC and, then SOC in assessing soil microbiological activity, but what missing for me is the lack of explaining their ratio MBC/SOC as a microbial indicator. The importance of ratio is mentioned in the paper, but its role is unclear. Up to date and relevant literature is cited. Methods are well written, but missing some information. How many samples were measured for MBC and SOC, 15 (as sampled) in quadruplicated? It is not clear until we open the supporting material.

Observation: generally in mobilization studies, apart from the altitudinal variant, sampling depths are also involved in the analysis. It is not clear to me why the authors disregarded different depths in the study that could provide a more complex image of examined changes.

Results are presented logically, and succinctly. Conclusions are correct. Overall, it can be said that it is a well-designed study. In any case, I suggest making the following minor changes before article indexing:

- Expand introduction, and highlight the role of ratio MBC/SOC.
- State number of samples used for biomass analyses.
- Please clarify why depth was not involved in the analysis.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Comments on this article

Version 1

Reader Comment 15 Jun 2020

Carolina Navas, I.U.T.A.J.S, Venezuela, Wallis and Futuna

Excellent research article on the protection of soil fertility and the fundamental effort for sustainable development. Organic carbon is one of the most important elements of the soil and is influenced by altitude, temperature, precipitation, and texture. This research study allows us to observe how different altitudes affect the carbon of the soil's microbial biomass and how they contribute to improving the organic carbon content, due to the intervention and use that has been given over time.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research