RESEARCH ARTICLE

Assessment of adherence level for neonatal hyperbilirubinemia management by various physicians in Iraq: a multi-clinic study [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Numan Nafie Hameed ^{1,2}, Hikmat Noori Yousif², Hayder Adnan Fawzi ³

¹Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, Baghdad University, Baghdad, 12221, Iraq

²Department of Pediatrics, Children Welfare Teaching Hospital, Baghdad, 12221, Iraq

³College of Pharmacy, Al-Rasheed University College, Baghdad, 12221, Iraq

V1 First published: 03 Jun 2020, 9:504
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24258.1>

Latest published: 03 Jun 2020, 9:504
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24258.1>

Abstract

Background: Neonatal jaundice is a physiological process that occurs normally for every infant to a varying degree. In some cases, this process becomes pathological and imposes an increased risk of morbidity and mortality for the infant. The aim of this study was to assess the adherence level of various physicians to different guidelines of management of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia in Iraq.

Methods: An observational cross-sectional study was conducted in multiple outpatient clinics in various Iraqi provinces, from February 2018 to February 2019. The study involved 130 physicians, who were divided into emergency physicians (EPs), general practitioners (GPs), and pediatricians (PDs), and assessed their compliance to guidelines for management of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia using a questionnaire, which included providing the correct management for a test case scenario.

Results: PDs had significantly longer discharge times compared to EPs and GPs. In total, 91.7% of PDs always tested the neonate for bilirubin levels before discharge, while 5.5% of GPs and 0% of EP did so. Regarding follow-up visits, 16.7%, 4.8% and 45.2% of PDs, EPs and GPs, respectively, scheduled a follow-up between 49-72 hours; 47.6% and 38.1% of EPs scheduled a follow-up at ≤24 hours and 25-48 hours, respectively. In addition, 91.7% of PDs gave the correct answer for the management of the test case scenario, followed by 58.9% of GPs, and 38.1% of EPs. About half of PDs extended neonates length of stay beyond 48 hours.

Conclusion: GPs and EPs show lower adherence levels for the management of neonatal jaundice than PDs, which indicates that these physicians adhere well to current management guidelines from the WHO, AAP, and NICE.

Keywords

adherence, neonatal hyperbilirubinemia, physicians, pediatrician

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1		
03 Jun 2020	view	view

1. **Robert D. Christensen**, University of Utah Health, Salt Lake City, USA
2. **Laila M. Sherief**, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Hayder Adnan Fawzi (hayder.adnan2010@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Hameed NN:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Yousif HN:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Fawzi HA:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2020 Hameed NN *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Hameed NN, Yousif HN and Fawzi HA. **Assessment of adherence level for neonatal hyperbilirubinemia management by various physicians in Iraq: a multi-clinic study [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2020, 9:504 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24258.1>

First published: 03 Jun 2020, 9:504 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24258.1>

Introduction

Hyperbilirubinemia (HBR) is one of the commonest causes of neonatal readmission to hospital¹, it requires urgent medical attention with rapid and prompt management to reduce bilirubin to prevent severe complications, such as kernicterus².

Despite the development of several guidelines (including the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) in 2004) to optimize the management of HBR, still the rate of inappropriate and insufficient therapy is rising³, and consequently, the rate of morbidity will increase⁴. These facts raise concerns about knowledge translation from and physician awareness of available guidelines in the literature and how much they use these in their daily practice⁵.

Some surveys indicate that neonatologists are more aggressive than office-based general pediatricians in their treatment of neonatal jaundice in infants⁶. While others show considerable variation in management depending on the specialty of the physician, with pediatricians being shown to have both exceptionally good awareness and adherence to guidelines. Thus, it is important to enhance knowledge translation to physicians, thereby increasing adherence to these guidelines, especially in physicians other than pediatricians⁵.

Approximately 66% of pediatricians reported an awareness to neonatal hyperbilirubinemia clinical practice guidelines published in 1994⁷. However, Atkinson *et al* showed that only 54% of the pediatricians initiated treatment in accordance with recommended parameters⁸. This study aimed to assess the level of adherence of various physicians to different guidelines of management of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia in a multi-clinic survey in Iraq.

Methods

Study design

This is an observational cross-sectional study conducted in multiple outpatient and private clinics in various Iraqi provinces. In this study, the investigator provided a questionnaire-based survey that assessed the adherence of various physicians to neonatal hyperbilirubinemia guidelines.

All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Institutional Research Committee at the Children Welfare Teaching hospital, Baghdad City Complex, who approved the study protocol (approval date: 10th January 2018; number: 2018/005), and with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants to be included in the study.

Study setting

The study was conducted in various provinces in Iraq (Baghdad, Anbar, Diyala, and Nasiriya provinces) and targeted physicians both in private and public sectors. The period of recruitment was between February 2018 to February 2019. Hospitals at which the physicians were based were selected on whether or not the hospital had a neonatal care clinic. The participants were approached by the investigators at their place of work

Sample size calculation

It is estimated that physician awareness about hyperbilirubinemia guideline is 70% according to the Christakis and Rivara study⁷. Since this is a cross-sectional study, the following equation was used to calculate sample size:

$$N = \frac{4pq}{d^2}$$

N: sample size, p: prevalence, q = 100 – p, and d is precision (12%) d = (p/100) x p

A sample size of 120 was calculated. To account for a possible drop out of 20%, a sample of 144 physicians was proposed.

Participants

Initially, we selected 144 physicians; 14 physicians did not participate in the study, leaving 130 physicians who completed the survey. The selection of physicians was based on whether they participated in the management of neonatal HBR. The physicians were divided according to their specialty: emergency physicians (EPs), general practitioners (GPs), and pediatricians (PDs).

Data collection

Demographic data was collected from each physician (in an interview), including: age, years of practice, highest level of neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) the physician works in, and number of neonates per month seen by the physician.

A questionnaire⁹ was used to assess the level of adherence to current guidelines. Questions included: length of hospital stay (discharge time) of neonates, physician policy about pre-discharge bilirubin level test, physician policy about timing of post-discharge follow-up, guideline used by the physician (WHO¹⁰, AAP¹¹, and NICE¹²), nature of guideline access, and problems encountered during management.

The questionnaire was reviewed and validated by three experts in medical education, neonatology, and biostatistics. After prior pilot study on 12 physicians, the reliability was calculated and found to be 0.89.

Case scenario. Additionally, a case scenario was presented to the physicians, as follows:

“A 30-year-old mother, with blood group O+, gave birth to a 2.8 kg male infant with cephalic hematoma after 37 weeks of gestation. Before discharge, at 36 hours after birth, the infant appeared jaundiced. I would do?”

The following options were provided to the physicians: discharge and follow-up, laboratory tests for bilirubin and blood group, cancel discharge and start phototherapy, refer to a pediatrician.

Statistical analysis

Discrete variables were presented using their number and percentage. Chi-square test was used to analyze discrete variables (or Fisher exact test when chi-square was not valid; due to low

sample size <20 and if 2 or more with an expected frequency was less than 5). SPSS 22.0.0 (Chicago, IL) software package was used to conduct statistical analysis. P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

In total, 130 physicians participated in this questionnaire-based study: 21 (16.15%) were EPs, 73 (56.15%) GPs, and 36 (27.67%) PDs.

PDs had a significantly higher age compared to both ERs and GPs. The majority of all physician groups worked at level I NICU (66.7%, 72.6%, 50.0%; respectively); notably more PDs worked at level II and III NICU compared to other groups. Both GPs and PDs had a longer duration of practice compared to an EPs, and additionally, PDs saw the most neonates per month compared to EPs and GPs (Table 1).

PDs had significantly longer discharge times of neonates compared to EPs and GPs. In addition, 91.7% of PDs always tested the neonate for bilirubin levels before discharge, while

only 5.5% of GPs and 0% of EPs did so. Regarding follow-up visits, 16.7%, 4.8% and 45.2% of PDs, EPs and GPs, respectively, scheduled a follow-up between 49–72 hours; 47.6% and 38.1% of EPs scheduled a follow-up at ≤24 hours and 25–48 hours, respectively (Table 2).

Table 3 illustrates the assessment of physician knowledge toward assessment of HBR. It shows that recognizing visual signs and jaundice within 24 hours of birth was performed to a higher extent by PDs compared with EPs and GPs.

In total, 91.7% of PDs gave the correct answer for the case scenario, followed by GPs (58.9%) and EPs (38.1%) (Table 4).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of physicians.

	EP	GP	PD	P value
N	21	73	36	
Age (years), n (%)				0.004
< 30	2 (9.5)	11 (15.1)	7 (19.4)	
30 – 39	14 (66.7)	24 (32.9)	10 (27.8)	
40 – 49	5 (23.8)	31 (42.5)	9 (25.0)	
50 – 59	0 (0)	7 (9.6)	10 (27.8)	
Years of practice, n (%)				<0.001
≤ 1	11 (52.4)	18 (24.7)	0 (0)	
2 – 5	4 (19.0)	36 (49.3)	24 (66.7)	
6 – 9	6 (28.6)	3 (4.1)	8 (22.2)	
≥ 10	0 (0)	16 (21.9)	4 (11.1)	
Highest level of NICU in hospital practice, n (%)				<0.001
Level I	14 (66.7)	53 (72.6)	18 (50.0)	
Level II	7 (33.3)	20 (27.4)	8 (22.2)	
Level III	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (16.7)	
Private	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (11.1)	
No. of neonates seen per month, n (%)				<0.001
≤ 1	5 (23.8)	27 (37.0)	0 (0)	
2 – 5	13 (61.9)	12 (16.4)	5 (13.9)	
6 – 9	3 (14.3)	18 (24.7)	8 (22.2)	
≥ 10	0 (0)	16 (21.9)	23 (63.9)	

ED, emergency physician; GP, general practitioner; PD, pediatrician. *Levels of NICU*¹³: Level I: Well newborn nursery, Level II: Special care nursery, Level III: Neonatal intensive-care unit (NICU), Level IV: Regional neonatal intensive-care unit (Regional NICU).

Table 2. Assessment of physicians' adherence to guidelines for treating neonates with hyperbilirubinemia.

	EP	GP	PD	P value
N	21	73	36	
Discharge (length of stay; hours), n (%)				<0.001
≤ 24	17 (81.0)	60 (82.2)	10 (27.8)	
25 – 48	3 (14.3)	13 (17.8)	5 (13.9)	
> 48 hrs	1 (4.8)	0 (0)	21 (58.3)	
Pre-discharge bilirubin level test, n (%)				<0.001
Always	0 (0)	4 (5.5)	33 (91.7)	
Yes, if the baby looks jaundiced	19 (90.5)	69 (94.5)	3 (8.3)	
No	2 (9.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Timing of post-discharge follow-up (hours), n (%)				<0.001
≤24	10 (47.6)	6 (8.2)	3 (8.3)	
25 – 48	8 (38.1)	28 (38.4)	24 (66.7)	
49 – 72	1 (4.8)	33 (45.2)	6 (16.7)	
> 72	2 (9.5)	6 (8.2)	3 (8.3)	
Guideline used, n (%)				<0.001
WHO	6 (28.6)	15 (20.5)	4 (11.1)	
AAP	2 (9.5)	1 (1.4)	31 (86.1)	
NICE	0 (0)	3 (4.1)	1 (2.8)	
None	13 (61.9)	54 (74.0)	0 (0)	
Information access, n (%)				<0.001
Books	4 (19.0)	15 (20.5)	25 (69.4)	
Online	1 (4.8)	6 (8.2)	11 (30.6)	
Notes	1 (4.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
No	15 (71.4)	52 (71.2)	0 (0)	
Management of problems, n (%)				
Education	5 (23.8)	10 (13.7)	3 (8.3)	0.262
Diagnostic	15 (71.4)	52 (71.2)	5 (13.9)	<0.001
Therapeutic	6 (28.6)	48 (65.8)	8 (22.2)	<0.001
Facilities	13 (61.9)	54 (74.0)	7 (19.4)	<0.001

ED, emergency physician; GP, general practitioner; PD, pediatrician.

Table 3. Assessment of physicians' knowledge for treating neonates with hyperbilirubinemia.

	EP	GP	PD	P-value
N	21	73	36	
What are the warning signs of severe hyperbilirubinemia?				
Visual, n (%)				0.013
Negative	17 (81.0)	51 (69.9)	34 (94.4)	
Positive	4 (19.0)	22 (30.1)	2 (5.6)	
Jaundice <24 hours of birth, n (%)				<0.001
Negative	4 (19.0)	24 (32.9)	0 (0)	
Positive	17 (81.0)	49 (67.1)	36 (100)	
Jaundice within 1-14 days, the baby is active and growing, n (%)				0.277
Negative	20 (95.2)	68 (93.2)	36 (100)	
Positive	1 (4.8)	5 (6.8)	0 (0)	
Bilirubin level >10 mg/dL in term baby, n (%)				1.0
Negative	21 (100)	73 (100)	36 (100)	
Positive	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	

ED, emergency physician; GP, general practitioner; PD, pediatrician.

Table 4. Assessment of physicians' knowledge for treating neonates with hyperbilirubinemia using a case scenario.

	EP	GP	PD	P-value
N	21	73	36	
Case scenario answers, n (%)				0.002
Discharge and follow-up	4 (19.0)	11 (15.1)	0 (0)	
Laboratory tests bilirubin and blood group	8 (38.1)	43 (58.9)	33 (91.7)	
Cancel discharge and start phototherapy	7 (33.3)	16 (21.9)	3 (8.3)	
Refer to pediatrician	2 (9.5)	3 (4.1)	0 (0)	

ED, emergency physician; GP, general practitioner; PD, pediatrician. Correct answer: laboratory tests bilirubin and blood group.

Discussion

In this survey, there was obvious variation in the adherence of various groups of physicians to guidelines for treating neonates with HRB. The results showed that 74% of GPs and 61.9% of EPs did not use any guidelines for the management and identification of neonatal HBR; PDs on the other hand did use guidelines. These findings were higher than reported in other countries. Sampurna *et al*, in a study conducted in Indonesia that involved 291 midwives, 206 GPs, and 154 PDs, reported that 23% of GPs and 29% of midwives were unaware of the presence of guidelines for identification and management of neonatal HBR¹⁴. In a study by Mateo *et al*, which involved 321 Canadian physicians, they reported that guidelines were used by 41% of

family physicians, 75% of PDs, and 69% of midwives, which is partially in agreement with our findings⁵.

Early identification is the cornerstone of any guideline created to manage HBR^{3,10,15}. Monitoring of the neonate with HBR is achieved via measurement of bilirubin especially in the first 3 days of life¹⁶, with subsequent follow-up every 24-48 hours till oral feeding is assured¹⁶. In the present study, before discharge monitoring of bilirubin was being performed by only 5.5% of GPs, 0% of EPs and 91.7% of PDs. In addition, 90.5% of EPs and 94.5% of GPs reported the use of pre-discharge bilirubin testing only if the infant looked jaundiced. This finding is higher than that reported by a previous Iraqi study by Hameed and

Abdul Razak¹⁷, who reported that 56% of PDs did not consider post-discharge total serum bilirubin monitoring. In the Canadian study by Mateo *et al*, 42% of family physicians and 22% of midwives compared with 63% of PDs, reported bilirubin measurement before discharge, which is lower than reported by the current study⁵. In the Indonesian study, 12% of GPs and 8% of PDs reported always performing pre-discharge bilirubin measurement, while 54% of GPs and 65% of PDs reported the use of pre-discharge bilirubin measurement only if jaundice was present, which is partially in agreement with our findings¹⁴. In addition, in a study by Petrova *et al*, which involved 356 PDs in the USA, they reported that 87.4% of all physicians used TSB testing with clinical jaundice before discharge, while 57.7% of them only used TSB testing in clinical jaundice post-discharge, which in agreement with our findings¹⁸.

In the present study, all PDs considered jaundice in less than 24 hours of life as an indicator of severe HBR, compared with 81.0% of EPs and 67.1% of GPs. This is in agreement with the study by Hameed and Abdul Razak that showed that 76% of PDs strongly agreed that neonates presenting with jaundice in the first 24 hours as a predictor of severe HBR¹⁷. Petrova *et al* reported similar findings: 77% of physicians considered that jaundice presenting in the first 24 hours was a marker for severe HBR¹⁸. Several studies in the literature indicate the importance of early first-day measurement of bilirubin levels since elevated levels are correlated strongly with later severe complications^{19–21}. There was good knowledge of this by physicians in this study.

In the present study, PDs relied less on visual assessment of jaundice (5.6%), jaundice in stool and fever (0%), and jaundice at 14 days when the baby is active and growing (0%), compared to EDs and GPs. The Hameed and Abdul Razak study reported few PDs (10%) agreed that jaundice noticed at discharge is a risk factor for severe HBR¹⁷, which was in agreement with our findings. Around 61% of primary health care provider assessed the severity of neonatal jaundice by visual cephalocaudal evaluation inspecting the skin or sclera, which is rapid and cost-free, but it is not sufficiently accurate, especially when applied to newborns with dark skin²², which disagrees with our findings.

In the present study, the majority of PDs gives the correct answer (laboratory tests bilirubin and blood group) to the case

scenario (91.7%); however lower correct answer rates were achieved by GPs (58.9%) and EPs (38.1%) (p-value = 0.002). In the Mateo *et al* study, the response to a case scenario similar to ours showed similar results to our findings, while in the Sampurna *et al* study, the response to a similar case scenario showed a lower correct answer rate with 54% of PDs, 57% of GPs, and 44% of midwives providing the correct answer¹⁴.

Hospital affiliation of health care providers is one of the strongest predictors of adherence to practice guidelines⁶, which is one of the possible explanations of the high rate of good knowledge about the management of neonatal HBR shown in the present study. The variability of the use of guidelines for the management of HBR in this study was similar to others^{8,23}. Barriers to adherence include low awareness, no agreement, and inertia of previous practices²⁴.

Study limitation

The study included only three types of physicians, other physicians that have role in the management of HBR were not included in the study. A nationwide study is required in the future to assess the overall adherence of physicians to the management of HBR. Another limitation is that we did not examine if the physicians in fact practiced what they had indicated in the survey.

Conclusions

GPs and EPs in this study, performed in Iraqi hospitals, showed lower adherence levels for the management of neonatal jaundice than PDs, who exhibit excellent adherence to current guidelines (WHO, AAP, and NICE guidelines), especially AAP guidelines. We recommend starting an education program directed toward the enhancement of knowledge for EPs and GPs for the management of neonatal jaundice.

Data availability

Zenodo: Adherence Level for Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3745611>²⁵.

Extended data

Zenodo: Questionnaire about Hyperbilirubinemia, <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3860692>⁹

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

References

1. Tan-Dy C, Moore A, Satodia P, *et al.*: **Predicting Kernicterus in Severe Unconjugated Hyperbilirubinemia**. *Paediatr Child Health*. 2004; 9(suppl_a): 17A. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Johnson L, Bhutani VK, Karp K, *et al.*: **Clinical report from the pilot USA Kernicterus Registry (1992 to 2004)**. *J Perinatol*. 2009; 29(Suppl 1): S25–45. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. AAP: **Management of hyperbilirubinemia in the newborn infant 35 or more weeks of gestation**. *Pediatrics*. 2004; 114(1): 297–316. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Sgro M, Campbell D, Shah V: **Incidence and causes of severe neonatal hyperbilirubinemia in Canada**. *CMAJ*. 2006; 175(6): 587–90. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
5. Mateo PC, Lee KS, Barozzino M, *et al.*: **Management of neonatal jaundice varies by practitioner type**. *Can Fam Physician*. 2013; 59(8): e379–86. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
6. Gartner LM, Herrarias CT, Sebring RH: **Practice patterns in neonatal hyperbilirubinemia**. *Pediatrics*. 1998; 101(1 Pt 1): 25–31. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

7. Christakis DA, Rivara FP: **Pediatricians' Awareness of and Attitudes About Four Clinical Practice Guidelines.** *Pediatrics.* 1998; **101**(5): 825–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Atkinson LR, Escobar GJ, Takayama JL: **Phototherapy use in jaundiced newborns in a large managed care organization: do clinicians adhere to the guideline?** *Pediatrics.* 2003; **111**(5 Pt 1): e555–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
9. Fawzi HA: **Questionnaire about Hyperbilirubinemia [Data set].** *Zenodo.* 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3860692>
10. World Health Organization: **Other common neonatal problems: Jaundice;** in *WHO: Pocketbook of Hospital care for children: guidelines for the management of common childhood illnesses*, Second edition. Geneva, Switzerland, WHO. 2013. 64–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
11. American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on Hyperbilirubinemia: **Management of Hyperbilirubinemia in the Newborn Infant 35 or More Weeks of Gestation.** *Pediatrics.* 2004; **114**(1): 297–316.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
12. Excellence NfC: **Jaundice in newborn babies under 28 days.** NICE London; 2016.
[Reference Source](#)
13. Martin JA, Menacker F: **Expanded health data from the new birth certificate, 2004.** *Natl Vital Stat Rep.* 2007; **55**(12): 1–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
14. Sampurna MTA, Ratnasari KA, Etika R, *et al.*: **Adherence to hyperbilirubinemia guidelines by midwives, general practitioners, and pediatricians in Indonesia.** *PLoS One.* 2018; **13**(4): e0196076.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
15. Fawaz R, Baumann U, Ekong U, *et al.*: **Guideline for the Evaluation of Cholestatic Jaundice in Infants: Joint Recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition.** *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2017; **64**(1): 154–68.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Barrington KJ, Sankaran K: Canadian Paediatric Society Fetus and Newborn Committee. **Guidelines for detection, management and prevention of hyperbilirubinemia in term and late preterm newborn infants.** *Paediatr Child Health.* 2007; **12**(5): 401–407.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
17. Hameed NN, Abdul razak OH: **Assessment of knowledge and practice of Baghdadi pediatricians regarding the management of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia.** *J Fac Med Bagdad.* 2013; **55**(2): 115–20.
[Reference Source](#)
18. Petrova A, Mehta R, Birchwood G, *et al.*: **Management of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia: pediatricians' practices and educational needs.** *BMC Pediatr.* 2006; **6**: 6.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
19. Randev S, Grover N: **Predicting neonatal hyperbilirubinemia using first day serum bilirubin levels.** *Indian J Pediatr.* 2010; **77**(2): 147–50.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Agarwal R, Kaushal M, Aggarwal R, *et al.*: **Early neonatal hyperbilirubinemia using first day serum bilirubin level.** *Indian Pediatr.* 2002; **39**(8): 724–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
21. Khan KA, Alam J, Nahar N, *et al.*: **Predicting Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia Using First Day Serum Bilirubin Level in Late Preterm and Term Healthy Newborn.** *Mymensingh Med J.* 2017; **26**(4): 854–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
22. Mostafa MA, Kamal NM, Eltaher S, *et al.*: **Knowledge of Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia Among Primary Health Care Physicians: A Single-Center Experience.** *Clin Med Insights Pediatr.* 2019; **13**: 1179556518824375.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
23. Profit J, Cambric-Hargrove AJ, Tittle KO, *et al.*: **Delayed pediatric office follow-up of newborns after birth hospitalization.** *Pediatrics.* 2009; **124**(2): 548–54.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
24. Cabana MD, Rand CS, Powe NR, *et al.*: **Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines? A framework for improvement.** *Jama.* 1999; **282**(15): 1458–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
25. Fawzi HA: **Adherence Level for Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia [Data set].** *Zenodo.* 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3745611>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 28 July 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.26761.r65857>

© 2020 Sherief L. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Laila M. Sherief

Pediatric Oncology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

This manuscript assesses the adherence of various physicians to guidelines to important and sometimes serious problems in the neonate.

The methods: I want to ask the authors, why ER doctors included in the work

Results: Table 3: need more clarification.

Discussion: needs to be deeper with more explanation of the differences between the results

References

1. Mostafa MA, Kamal NM, Eltaher S, Hamed Y, et al.: Knowledge of Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia Among Primary Health Care Physicians: A Single-Center Experience. *Clin Med Insights Pediatr.* 2019; **13**: 1179556518824375 [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.**Reviewer Expertise:** pediatric hematology and oncology and neonatology**I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.**

Reviewer Report 10 June 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.26761.r64296>

© 2020 Christensen R. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Robert D. Christensen

Division of Neonatology and Hematology/Oncology, University of Utah Health, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

The submission by Dr. Hameed *et al.* reviews results of a questionnaire completed by 130 physicians in Iraq, about neonatal bilirubin management. The authors are to be congratulated on this study, analysis, and report. Perhaps they would consider the following somewhat minor issues, all aimed at improving the clarity of the report.

1. Title: Consider deleting the phrase “adherence level for”. It suggests that there are different levels. The title is clearer without that phrase.
2. Abstract: It is not until the last line of the Abstract Conclusion that we read the guidelines of interest are those of the WHO, AAP, and NICE. Placing this near the beginning of the abstract would be helpful. Also, under Abstract Methods, consider changing ...“physicians, who were divided into...” to, “physicians self-identified as either...”. Also, under Abstract Conclusions, delete “adherence levels”, because various levels of adherence was not part of the analysis.
3. Introduction: Second line. Instead of, “...to hospital, it requires urgent”, consider, “to hospital, severe cases require...”. Last paragraph before **Case Scenario**, change “experts” to “experts”.
4. Results: In Table 3, it is not clear what the “negative” and “positive” indicates. Thus, I can not understand what is being conveyed by this table.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Neonatal Hematology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research