RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effects of paracetamol (acetaminophen) on gene expression and permeability properties of the rat placenta and fetal brain [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Liam M. Koehn*, Yifan Huang , Mark D. Habgood, Kai Kysenius, Peter J. Crouch, Katarzyna M Dziegielewska , Norman R. Saunders

Pharmacology & Therapeutics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3010, Australia

* Equal contributors

V1 First published: 08 Jun 2020, 9:573
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24119.1>
 Latest published: 19 Aug 2020, 9:573
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24119.2>

Abstract

Background: Paracetamol (acetaminophen) is widely used in pregnancy and generally regarded as “safe” by regulatory authorities. **Methods:** Clinically relevant doses of paracetamol were administered intraperitoneally to pregnant rats twice daily from embryonic day E15 to 19 (chronic) or as a single dose at E19 (acute). Control samples were from un-treated age-matched animals. At E19, rats were anaesthetised, administered a final paracetamol dose, uteruses were opened and fetuses exposed for sample collection. For RNA sequencing, placentas and fetal brains were removed and flash frozen. Fetal and maternal plasma and cerebrospinal fluid were assayed for α -fetoprotein and interleukin 1 β (IL1 β). Brains were fixed and examined (immunohistochemistry) for plasma protein distribution. Placental permeability to a small molecule (14 C-sucrose) was tested by injection into either mother or individual fetuses; fetal and maternal blood was sampled at regular intervals to 90 minutes. **Results:** RNA sequencing revealed a large number of genes up- or down-regulated in placentas from acutely or chronically treated animals compared to controls. Most notable was down-regulation of three acute phase plasma proteins (α -fetoprotein, transferrin, transthyretin) in acute and especially chronic experiments and marked up-regulation of immune-related genes, particularly cytokines, again especially in chronically treated dams. IL1 β increased in plasma of most fetuses from treated dams but to variable levels and no IL1 β was detectable in plasma of control fetuses or any of the dams. Increased placental permeability appeared to be only from fetus to mother for both 14 C-sucrose and α -fetoprotein, but not in the reverse direction.

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 2		
(revision)		
19 Aug 2020		view
version 1		
08 Jun 2020	view	view

1. **Roland J. Bainton**, University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), San Francisco, USA

2. **Patrizia Ferretti** , University College London, London, UK

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

In the fetal brain, gene regulatory changes were less prominent than in the placenta of treated fetuses and did not involve inflammatory-related genes; there was no evidence of increased blood-brain barrier permeability.

Conclusion: Results suggest that paracetamol may induce an immune-inflammatory-like response in placenta and more caution should be exercised in use of paracetamol in pregnancy.

Keywords

placenta, transfer, inflammation, permeability, interleukin-1 β , IL1 β , α -fetoprotein, AFP, immune response

Corresponding author: Norman R. Saunders (n.saunders@unimelb.edu.au)

Author roles: **Koehn LM:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Huang Y:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Habgood MD:** Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Kysenius K:** Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Crouch PJ:** Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Dziegielewska KM:** Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Saunders NR:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: Part of this study was supported by the CASS Foundation, Victoria, Australia [7981]. KK was in receipt of an Early Career Researcher grant from The University of Melbourne [1859114].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2020 Koehn LM *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Koehn LM, Huang Y, Habgood MD *et al.* **Effects of paracetamol (acetaminophen) on gene expression and permeability properties of the rat placenta and fetal brain [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2020, 9:573 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24119.1>

First published: 08 Jun 2020, 9:573 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24119.1>

Abbreviations

AFP, α -fetoprotein; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; DPM, disintegrations per minute; E, embryonic (note that by longstanding convention all gestational ages in rodents are referred to as embryonic, but in this study E19 is a fetal stage); IL1 β , Interleukin 1 β cytokine; i.p., intraperitoneal; i.v., intravenous; P, postnatal; RNA-Seq, RNA sequencing; SD, standard deviation; μ Ci, micro Curie.

Introduction

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) is commonly taken, either by prescription or self-medication, for the relief of pain and fever. In pregnancy it is the most widely consumed drug, with estimates of expectant mothers taking this medication ranging from 56% in Australia and the Americas (Wyszynski & Shields, 2016) to 76% in Europe (Dreyer *et al.*, 2015). The Australian Medicines Handbook (2019) states without qualification that paracetamol is safe for use in pregnancy and breast-feeding. However, epidemiological reports of behavioural effects in the offspring of mothers who took paracetamol during pregnancy are beginning to be published, suggesting a more cautious approach would be appropriate (see Bauer *et al.*, 2018 and Discussion).

In a recent study we have found that paracetamol, when administered to a pregnant dam at doses within the clinical range used in patients, transfers across the placenta to reach the fetus at about 40% of the levels of the drug in the maternal circulation (Koehn *et al.*, 2019b). Thus, the placenta provides a degree of protection for the developing fetus but the mechanisms involved are not yet understood, nor are the effects that paracetamol may have on placental functions. We have therefore carried out an RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) study of E19 placentas and brains from control (un-treated) rats and from rats treated with a single (acute) or multiple (chronic) doses of paracetamol. This RNA-Seq study yielded the unexpected outcome of widespread up-regulation of inflammatory and immune-related genes in the placenta of the dam exposed to paracetamol over a prolonged period, with a much less pronounced effect on inflammatory-related genes following a single dose; however, many other genes showed a regulatory response following a single dose of paracetamol. Inflammatory responses during pregnancy have been linked to a range of clinical complications including pre-term birth, fetal cardiac conditions and neurological deficiencies (Challis *et al.*, 2009; Huleihel *et al.*, 2004; Romero *et al.*, 2007; Salafia *et al.*, 1989). High cytokine levels in blood have been linked to increased blood-brain barrier permeability (Anthony *et al.*, 1997; Stolp *et al.*, 2005a) and possibly leading to a range of health complications (Brochu *et al.*, 2011; Nelson *et al.*, 1998; Thornton *et al.*, 2017). Inflammation in the placenta has also been linked to increased placental permeability, as shown in studies that identified a size-dependent increase in maternal-fetal nanoparticle transfer in mice (Tian *et al.*, 2013).

In the present study, the inflammatory response in the placenta and the fetal brain following maternal paracetamol exposure was examined to see if it was associated with alterations in placental

and blood-brain barrier permeability. Placental permeability was assessed using a low-molecular weight, hydrophobic molecule sucrose to determine the transfer in both directions: from the dam's circulation to the fetal circulation and from the fetal circulation back to the dam. Transfer of a large molecule, the endogenous fetal-derived plasma protein α -fetoprotein (AFP), across the placenta into maternal circulation was also investigated. Results from both of these markers indicate that placental transfer was potentially affected by paracetamol treatment, and demonstrated increased levels of AFP detected in blood plasma of dams treated with paracetamol. The inflammatory cytokine IL-1 β was measured in fetal and maternal plasma; it showed higher levels only in fetal plasma following maternal paracetamol treatment. Permeability of the fetal blood-brain barrier to both small (sucrose) and large (plasma protein) molecules was not affected in spite of increased IL-1 β levels in fetal circulation. The results presented here highlight responses to paracetamol use during pregnancy that appear to be tissue-specific and dependent on duration of treatment. The results are discussed in the context of the appropriate use of paracetamol during pregnancy.

Methods

Ethical statement

The animals used in this study were the Sprague Dawley strain of *Rattus norvegicus*. All animal experimentation was approved by the University of Melbourne Animal Ethics Committee (Ethics Permission AEC: 1714344.1) and conducted in compliance with Australian National Health and Medical Research Guidelines. All animals were assessed as healthy prior to commencement of experiments. Animals were monitored prior to and following every injection ensuring there was no abnormalities in weight (>10%), appearance (fur) or behaviour (vocalisation, respiration, movements). All efforts were made to ameliorate any suffering of animals. They were handled by experienced researchers in such a way as to minimise stress prior to being anaesthetised.

Animals

These were supplied by the University of Melbourne Biological Research Facility and subjected to a 12 hour light/dark cycle with *ad libitum* access to food (dry pellets of a fixed formulation diet for laboratory rats and mice fortified with vitamins and minerals to meet the requirements of breeding animals after the diet is autoclaved or irradiated, supplied by Speciality Feeds, Western Australia) and water. Animals were housed in groups of 2–4 (adult) per cage (25cm x 35cm x 25cm on Breeders Choice paper bedding, made from 99% recycled paper; it is biodegradable with no added chemicals). Age groups investigated (at treatment completion) were embryonic day 19 (E19) pups of both sexes and dams, which were all primigravida 350–400g body weight) and non-pregnant female adults (175–230g body weight). E19 was chosen because this is a stage of development when adequate volumes of blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) can be obtained for analysis from fetal rats without pooling (Dziegielewska *et al.*, 1981) and individual pups can be injected intraperitoneally while still inside the uterine horn and kept viable for periods of time. Animal numbers were based on previous experience of such

experiments and were the minimum number required to detect a significant difference between groups at $p < 0.05$. Animals were selected for treatment groups to ensure weights were statistically similar between direct comparisons. Where possible, equal numbers of male and female fetuses were used. Animals on gestational day E19 were allocated to experiments by animal house staff, who had no knowledge of the particular experiments to be performed. The experimenters had no role in the selection of the animals, thus avoiding selection bias. The numbers (n) of animals used for each experiment are indicated in the relevant Methods or Results section and where appropriate in legends. Two litters in the sucrose permeability studies were excluded from the study. One mother died under anaesthesia. In the other case the fetuses were observed to be in poor physiological state, which would have affected the results.

Drugs and markers

Paracetamol (acetaminophen $\geq 99.0\%$, Sigma-Aldrich) was applied either at a high dose of 15mg/kg (higher limit in the range used clinically, [Australian Medicines Handbook, 2019](#) and [Koehn *et al.*, 2019b](#)) or a dose in the lower clinical range of 3.75mg/kg. Paracetamol was dissolved in sterile 0.9% sodium chloride solution for injection. For passive permeability experiments [^{14}C]-labelled sucrose (Amersham International, CFB146) was injected in sterile 0.9% sodium chloride solution. Details are described in our previous study ([Koehn *et al.*, 2019b](#)). Estimates of protein (AFP) permeability were obtained from western blot analysis of fetal and maternal plasma, as described below.

Transcriptomic analysis: RNA-Seq

All experiments took place between 09.00 and 15.00h. Placentas and fetal brains from dams subjected to three treatment regimes were analysed in this study (n=4 for each tissue from each dam).

- (i) an E15 pregnant dam was given an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection twice daily with 15mg/kg of paracetamol (dissolved in sterile 0.9% sodium chloride solution) over four days. On the 5th day (E19) the dam was given a final injection of the drug. This experiment is referred to as “chronic”;
- (ii) an E19 dam was given a single i.p injection of 15mg/ml paracetamol and is referred to as “acute”; and
- (iii) an E19 untreated dam (referred as control).

In experiments (i) and (ii), 30 minutes after the last injection of the drug the tissue samples (placentas, fetal brains) were collected (n=4 for each dam).

For RNA-Seq analysis, placental tissue was sampled as a cross section of the chorio-allantoic placental disc, following removal of the externally attached umbilical and maternal circulatory vessels. Brain samples of the cortex were dissected out as described before ([Koehn *et al.*, 2019a](#)). Samples were collected under RNase free conditions and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and transferred to -80°C for storage. RNA extraction was completed using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kits

and QIAshredder (Qiagen, catalogue number 74134) for placenta and using the RNeasy Plus Micro Kits (Qiagen, catalogue number 74004) for fetal cortex, following manufacturers specifications. RNA quantity and purity were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

RNA samples were transported on dry ice to the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF) in Melbourne for Illumina, next-generation sequencing. Runs were 100bp single reads, providing raw FASTAQ data. Data were processed using the Galaxy platform and their online software packages ([Afgan *et al.*, 2018](#)). Default parameters were used unless directly specified. Data were groomed using FASTQ groomer (Galaxy version 1.1.1) and checked for read quality using FASTQC read quality reports (Galaxy version 0.72). Alignment was conducted using HISAT2 (Galaxy version 2.1.0) using the reference genome for rat (rn6; accession number [GCA_000001895.4](#)) and the reverse strand setting. For transcript quantification and differential expression analysis, three different methods were employed. In the first, pathway transcripts were assembled with cufflinks (Galaxy version 2.2.1.2) using the reference annotation for rat RefGene (genome) obtained from UCSC Main. Relevant data were passed through Cuffmerge (Galaxy version 2.2.1.1) and analysed for differential expression between groups of interests using Cuffdiff (Galaxy version 2.2.1.5). For the second and third pathway, counts were aligned using HTSeq-counts (Galaxy version 0.9.1) using the reverse strand setting. Generate Count Matrix (Galaxy Australia version 1.0) produced a matrix form of the data, which were then fed through either DEseq2 (Galaxy version 2.11.40.6) or EdgeR (likelihood ratio; Galaxy version 3.24.1) to receive differential expression analysis between treatment groups. Statistically different expression levels between relevant treatment groups were selected if present in two of the three datasets above the statistical threshold of $p < 0.05$ for the adjusted P value of Cuffdiff (Padj), DEseq2 (q value) or EdgeR (FDR). This method of statistical selection minimizes the known false positives and false negatives that can be obtained due to the analysis pathway selected, ensuring all results can be found between multiple pipelines (see [Seyednasrollah *et al.*, 2016](#); [Soneson & Delorenzi, 2013](#)). Gene synonym names were produced via bioDBnet ([Mudunuri *et al.*, 2009](#)). Pathway analysis was conducted using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources (version 6.8), with benjamini false discovery rate correction ([Huang *et al.*, 2009a](#); [Huang *et al.*, 2009b](#)).

Interleukin 1 β (IL1 β) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

IL1 β cytokine concentrations in rat plasma were determined using ELISA specific for rat IL1 β (R&D systems, Quantikine kit, catalogue number RDSRLB00, monoclonal mouse anti-rat IL1 β) following the manufacturer's protocol. Plasma samples were diluted 1:2 and 50 μL of each sample was added to the same volume of assay diluent. Standard dilutions were assayed in duplicate. The plate was incubated at room temperature for two hours, then washed extensively. 100 μL of rat IL1 β conjugate was added and incubated for a further two hours. After

additional thorough washes, the plate was incubated for 30 minutes in 100 μ L of substrate solution then developed with 100 μ L of stop solution. Plates were read using a FlexStation 3 Multimode Microplate Reader (wavelength 450nm, using 570nm to correct for any optical imperfections in the plate) within 30 minutes of the addition of the stop solution. Cytokine concentrations were determined by comparison with the standard curve produced from each run.

Permeability across the placenta

All permeability experiments were conducted on E19 dams and fetuses. Two chronic paracetamol treatment regimes were used. Time-mated E15 pregnant dams were injected i.p. twice daily with either a 15mg/kg (referred to as “high”) or 3.75mg/kg (referred to as “low”) dose of paracetamol (dissolved in sterile 0.9% sodium chloride solution) over four days (“chronic” experiments). On the 5th day, at E19, these were compared to age-matched animals that were not treated (controls). Numbers (n) of individual experiments are indicated below and are included in the legends of corresponding figures in the Results section.

¹⁴C-sucrose permeability. Animals were treated either with a “low” dose (3.75mg/kg) or “high” dose (15mg/kg) of paracetamol over four days starting at E15 following the same protocol as above. On the 5th day the pregnant dams (E19) were anaesthetised i.p. with 25% w/v urethane, (Sigma, 1ml per 100g body weight) and placed supine on a 35°C heating plate and an endotracheal cannula inserted prior to sampling. Left femoral artery and vein were cannulated. All injections were by slow infusion to the femoral vein; the cannula was flushed with 2ml of heparinized (Hospira Inc, 5000 units per ml) saline. Maternal blood samples were taken from the femoral artery; blood volume was maintained by intraarterial injection of equivalent volumes of 1ml heparinized sodium chloride solution. Blood (right cardiac ventricle), CSF (cisterna magna) and brains (cortex) were sampled from each fetus. Sampling was concluded when the state of the placental circulation (normal condition: umbilical veins pink with oxygenated blood) was deemed insufficient, usually around 90 minutes (see Koehn *et al.*, 2019b for details). CSF samples were examined microscopically for traces of red blood cells and discarded if contaminated (Habgood *et al.*, 1992). Maternal blood was also collected at the end of the experiment. Blood samples were centrifuged (5000rpm, five minutes). Plasma supernatant was removed and stored at -20°C until used.

Two sets of permeability experiments were conducted:

- (i) *Fetal to maternal placental barrier permeability:* pregnant animals treated with paracetamol as described above and control, untreated dams were terminally anaesthetized and an arterial cannula inserted into maternal circulation. Once the uterine horns were exposed, individual fetuses still within their amniotic sacs were injected serially with ¹⁴C-sucrose as described in Koehn *et al.* (2019b). Each fetus was taken at 30 minutes post injection. Maternal blood samples were collected at the same time as fetuses were consecutively removed for blood sampling. Maternal to fetal

plasma levels ratios of ¹⁴C-sucrose were used as a measure of fetal to maternal placental transfer and calculated as follows:

$$\text{Fetal to maternal placental transfer} = \frac{\text{Average fetal plasma upto time } y \text{ (DPM / } \mu\text{L)}}{\text{Maternal plasma at time } y \text{ (DPM / } \mu\text{L)}} \times 100\%$$

$y = \text{maternal plasma sampling time}$

One control litter (n=6); one litter from a chronically treated dam with a low dose 3.75mg/kg (n=5) and two litters from two chronically treated dams with a high dose (15mg/kg, n=5 for each litter) were used.

- (ii) *Maternal to fetal placental barrier permeability:* pregnant animals treated with paracetamol as described above and control untreated dams were terminally anaesthetized and ¹⁴C-sucrose was infused into the maternal circulation as detailed for paracetamol permeability studies above. Fetal samples were taken serially between 30 minutes and 90 minutes post injection. Blood samples from individual fetuses were collected together with time-matched maternal blood samples (Koehn *et al.*, 2019b) and processed for liquid scintillation counting (see below) to obtain fetal/maternal plasma concentration ratios using the equation:

$$\text{Maternal to fetal placental transfer} = \frac{\text{fetal plasma at time } x \text{ (DPM / } \mu\text{L)}}{\text{Average maternal plasma upto time } x \text{ (DPM / } \mu\text{L)}} \times 100\%$$

$x = \text{fetal plasma sampling time}$

One control litter (n=8) and one litter from a chronically treated dam with high dose (15mg/kg, n=6) were used.

Permeability of a fetal specific protein, AFP- western blotting. Levels of AFP in both the maternal blood samples and in fetal samples obtained from experiments of paracetamol treated dams as described above, were estimated using western blotting and antibodies to human AFP (DAKO).

All plasma samples were diluted 10-fold in isotonic saline (0.9%) prior to sample preparation. Samples were run using a total of 9 μ L of dam and 2 μ L of diluted fetal sera, denatured in 4x sample buffer (62.2 mM Tris, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 2% (w/v) SDS, and 0.0025% (w/v) bromophenol blue), heated to 95°C for five minutes and centrifuged briefly to remove potential particular matter. 12 μ L of each sample was loaded onto a 4–12% NuPAGE Novex Bis-Tris Midi gel (Life Technologies) and proteins were resolved at 200V for 40 minutes immersed in MES SDS running buffer (Life Technologies). Gel-resolved proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes using iBlot gel transfer stacks (iBlot 2; Life Technologies) as per manufacturer's instructions. Membranes were incubated for one hour at room temperature in PBS-T blocking buffer (PBS supplemented with 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20 [Chemsupply]) and 5% (w/v) skim milk powder. Membrane was incubated with AFP primary antibody (AFP, rabbit polyclonal, 1:1000, DAKO, catalogue number A0008, RRID AB_2650473) diluted in the blocking buffer and incubated overnight at 4°C. After three PBS-T washes, the membrane was incubated for two hours at room temperature in horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat

anti-rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling; 1:5000, catalogue number 7074) secondary antibody. Immunoreactive protein bands were visualised by adding 1mL of Enhanced Chemiluminescence mixture (ECL Advance, GE Healthcare) onto membranes and detecting luminescence using a FujiFilm LAS-3000 imager at three and 75 second exposures. Densitometric quantitation of immunoreactivity was performed using ImageJ 2-bit, v1.46 run on OSx 10.14 Mojave on 8-bit TIFF file images. All samples that were directly compared were run on the same gel. Serum from an age-matched non-pregnant female was used as a negative control, while an E19 pregnant dam that was not injected with paracetamol was used as a positive control. Both samples were included on every gel.

Permeability of the fetal blood-brain barrier

Blood-brain barrier permeability in the fetus was estimated using (i) radioactive sucrose as an example of a small molecular marker permeability and (ii) plasma protein (immunohistochemistry), as an example of a large molecular marker permeability (Habgood *et al.*, 1993; Johansson *et al.*, 2008; Stolp *et al.*, 2005b). Fetal blood, CSF and brain samples were obtained from the same placental permeability experiments described above.

¹⁴C-sucrose permeability. For estimation of transfer from mother to fetus, pregnant animals treated with paracetamol (as above) were anaesthetized i.p. with urethane. Starting at 30 minutes after the last maternal injection, embryos were individually extracted. For estimation of transfer from fetal blood to fetal brain and CSF, the fetuses were exposed and injected i.p. with ¹⁴C-sucrose.

In both types of experiment fetal blood and CSF were sampled as described previously (Koehn *et al.*, 2019b). Fetal brain samples were taken by opening the cerebral hemispheres to expose the lateral ventricles and a sample of the parietal cortex was removed, taking care to avoid the choroid plexuses. Brain or CSF to plasma ratios of ¹⁴C-sucrose radioactive counts were used as an estimate of the transfer of sucrose across the blood brain barriers. These were calculated using the equation:

$$\text{Brain or CSF transfer} = \frac{\text{Brain or CSF DPM} / \mu\text{L}}{\text{Plasma DPM} / \mu\text{L}} \times 100\%$$

Treatment groups investigated were control, no paracetamol (n=13), chronic low dose (3.75mg/kg, n=11) and chronic high dose (15mg/kg, n=11) in fetuses that were injected directly. In experiments in which the ¹⁴C-sucrose was injected into the treated mothers, numbers of pups used were control (n=8), acute (n=10) and chronic high dose (n=6).

Immunohistochemistry. Individual fetal brains were fixed in Bouin's fixative for 24–48h then dehydrated through graded alcohols, cleared in chloroform and embedded into paraffin wax blocks. These blocks were cut serially into coronal 5µm sections (Leica microtome). Selected sections were heated for

30 minutes (60°C) then washed twice with Histolene (Fronine) for 10 minutes, then five minutes. The sections were rehydrated through graded alcohols for five minutes each (100%, 100%, 95%, 70%) and washed in phosphate buffered saline with 0.2% Tween20 for five minutes. Peroxidase and protein blockers (DAKO) were added to sections and incubated at room temperature for two hours each to block non-specific binding. The primary antibody, plasma protein (anti-rat whole serum, SIGMA, catalogue number R5129, rabbit polyclonal) diluted 1:3000 in a blocker (0.5% fish gelatine and PBS + Tween20), was applied to the slides and incubated overnight at 4°C. After three washes of PBS + Tween20 for five minutes each, the secondary (swine anti-rabbit, DAKO, catalogue number Z0196, polyclonal) and tertiary antibodies (rabbit PAP, SIGMA, catalogue number P1291) both diluted 1:200 were each added and incubated for two hours at room temperature with washes between incubations. Sections were developed with DAB (Diaminobenzidine) using DAKO DAB+ kit (catalogue number K3468) according to manufacturer's directions and washed in running water for five minutes. Sections were dehydrated through a series of graded alcohols (70%, 95% for five minutes, then 100% for 10 minutes), then 3x five minutes in histolene washes. Slides were then mounted using DPX mounting medium (Fronine). Stained sections were examined under a compound microscope (Olympus, BX50) fitted with a digital camera (Olympus DP70). One control slide was included with every round of immunostaining and had the primary antibody omitted but was otherwise treated in the same way. These were always blank. A total of 11 brains with at least two brains per treatment group were prepared and serially sectioned and mounted on glass slides. Each slide contained 6–8 sections, every 10th slide was stained with haematoxylin and eosin for general morphology. One or two adjacent slides per brain were immunostained for plasma protein from comparable brain regions.

Liquid scintillation counting

Plasma (10µL), CSF and every injectate (1µL of 1:10 dilution) were weighed and transferred into scintillation vials. In all experiments the radioactivity in the injectate was measured to confirm the uniformity of the injected material. Soluene350 (0.5ml, PerkinElmer) was added to the brain samples and incubated overnight at 36°C. Prior to measurement, two drops of glacial acetic acid (Sigma) were added to brain vials to neutralize the strongly alkaline Soluene350. All samples were mixed with 5ml of scintillation fluid (Emulsifier-safe, PerkinElmer) and measured on the liquid scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 4910 TR, PerkinElmer). Counting was conducted in disintegrations per minute (DPM) for five minutes each with luminescence correction on. Vials containing control, non-radioactive tissues processed identically were also counted simultaneously to establish background counts (which were subtracted from all radioactive samples). Counts were normalized to the sample weight and expressed as DPM per µL or µg of sample. Results are described as concentration ratios, defined as a % of the counts (per µL or µg) in the compartment of interest (brain, CSF, maternal or fetal plasma) divided by the counts (per µL) in the plasma compartment of comparison (see also Koehn *et al.*, 2019b).

Statistics

RNA-Seq data analysis is detailed above, with significance set at $p < 0.05$. For all other experimentation, statistical differences between treatment groups were determined by unpaired Student t-tests using Prism 6.2 (Graphpad Software Inc) with significance set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

E19 placentas and brains from three treatment groups were compared for transcriptomic analysis using RNAseq datasets: (i) untreated controls ($n=4$), (ii) acutely paracetamol treated ($n=4$) and (iii) chronically paracetamol treated ($n=4$) dams (see Methods), providing a three-way comparison for each tissue (Figure 1 and Table 1–Table 5).

The effect of paracetamol exposure on placental gene expression (transcriptomic analysis)

As illustrated in Figure 1, following maternal exposure to paracetamol (either acute or chronic), there was a large number of genes that significantly altered their expression in the E19 placentas in two-way comparisons to control tissue, with much fewer that changed between the two treatment groups (chronic/acute). Most genes were uniquely regulated, either up or down, depending on treatment duration, with relatively few that were common to both treatment regimes (65 up-regulated and 57 down-regulated). In contrast, in a three-way comparison, only

one gene, *Nfkb* (NF-kappa-B inhibitor alpha), was shared in all three comparisons (Figure 1). NFKB is a transcription regulator that is activated by various intra- and extra-cellular stimuli such as cytokines.

The expression of 121 transcripts (the sum of up-regulated and down-regulated genes in the chronic/acute comparison) was significantly different between acute and chronic treatment groups, suggesting an effect of treatment duration. Of these genes, 34 were significantly up-regulated in chronically treated animals when compared to either the acute treatment group or the control group and eight were down-regulated (Figure 1).

Comparing datasets of placentas from chronically treated dams with untreated control dams, the expression of 737 genes was significantly different (either up or down) (Figure 2). The top 50 up-regulated and down-regulated genes in E19 placentas are displayed for both acute and chronic treatment groups compared to controls in Table 1. In the E19 placentas, many of the top genes up-regulated following chronic treatment were related to immune-response and inflammation (Table 1, Table 2 and Table 5). It is difficult to determine the extent to which a statistically difference in gene expression is also functionally significant. It is perhaps worth noting that fewer genes were up-regulated two-fold or more with either acute or chronic paracetamol treatment (25 and 34 genes, respectively) compared to the

Figure 1. Number of up-regulated and down-regulated genes in the E19 placenta and brain following chronic maternal treatment with paracetamol. Transcript numbers for Chronic/control, Acute/control and Chronic/acute comparisons. Controls were from untreated animals. For details of chronic and acute dosage schedules see Methods. Data derived from RNA-Seq analysis. Overlapping segments represent shared genes.

Table 1. Top 50 up-regulated and down-regulated genes in the E19 placenta.

E19 Placenta											
Up-regulated (chronic/control)				Down-regulated (chronic/control)				Up-regulated (acute/control)			
ID	Gene	FC	ID	Gene	FC	ID	Gene	ID	Gene	FC	ID
1	NM_013025	41.6	NM_012493	<i>Afp</i>	-2332	NM_031582	<i>Aoc3</i>	NM_201419	<i>Clca4</i>	4.68	NM_201419
2	NM_053847	23.3	NM_001085352	<i>Apoc2</i>	-1698	NM_00105894	<i>Chodl</i>	NM_012493	<i>Afp</i>	3.98	NM_012493
3	NM_031512	13.3	NM_013162	<i>Rbp4</i>	-1415	NM_001164726	<i>Fcrl6</i>	NM_012559	<i>Fgg</i>	3.84	NM_012559
4	NM_022194	7.73	NM_019287	<i>Apob</i>	-900.1	NM_001106063	<i>LOC290595</i>	NM_019373	<i>Apom</i>	3.45	NM_019373
5	NM_019282	5.62	NM_020071	<i>Fgb</i>	-640.1	NM_001317798	<i>LOC684107</i>	NM_001085352	<i>Apoc2</i>	3.37	NM_001085352
6	NM_130741	3.89	NM_013112	<i>Apoa2</i>	-473.9	NM_001191752	<i>Ccdc77</i>	NM_053577	<i>Spp2</i>	2.95	NM_053577
7	NM_001131001	3.20	NM_012738	<i>Apoa1</i>	-451.9	NM_199233	<i>Doxl1</i>	NM_012738	<i>Apoa1</i>	2.84	NM_012738
8	NM_001317798	3.12	NM_012881	<i>Ttr</i>	-355.9	NM_001107071	<i>Cbx2</i>	NM_022924	<i>F2</i>	2.66	NM_022924
9	NM_001025750	2.99	NM_012559	<i>Fgg</i>	-278.3	NM_181378	<i>Ctism</i>	NM_001013110	<i>Tf</i>	2.57	NM_001013110
10	NM_024145	2.87	NM_053577	<i>Spp2</i>	-248.8	NM_001109120	<i>Mboat1</i>	NM_001107727	<i>Mtpp</i>	2.44	NM_001107727
11	NM_012711	2.87	NM_022519	<i>Serpina1</i>	-236.3	NM_031545	<i>Nppb</i>	NM_022519	<i>Serpina1</i>	2.40	NM_022519
12	NM_001007694	2.77	NM_201419	<i>Clca4</i>	-166.4	NM_022846	<i>Prf8a2</i>	NM_013198	<i>Maob</i>	2.36	NM_013198
13	NM_012523	2.77	NM_019373	<i>Apom</i>	-162.5	NM_144744	<i>Adipoq</i>	NM_001100690	<i>Myh14</i>	2.35	NM_001100690
14	NM_017133	2.77	NM_022924	<i>F2</i>	-76.52	NM_001329892	<i>LOC102557319</i>	NM_134432	<i>Agt</i>	2.31	NM_134432
15	NM_021744	2.71	NM_001013110	<i>Tf</i>	-57.33	NM_021580	<i>Prf8a4</i>	NM_012737	<i>Apoa4</i>	2.28	NM_012737
16	NM_130426	2.51	NM_001107727	<i>Mtpp</i>	-42.62	NM_001101007	<i>LOC683313</i>	NM_013170	<i>Gucy2c</i>	2.27	NM_013170
17	NM_001122776	2.50	NM_053332	<i>Cubn</i>	-28.27	NM_001025679	<i>Psg16</i>	NM_053332	<i>Cubn</i>	2.27	NM_053332
18	NM_031545	2.36	NM_012737	<i>Apoa4</i>	-17.35	NM_022176	<i>Prf6a1</i>	NM_017097	<i>Ctsc</i>	2.25	NM_017097
19	NM_001105720	2.33	NM_013170	<i>Gucy2c</i>	-17.07	NM_001245978	<i>Frem2</i>	NM_019158	<i>Aqp8</i>	2.22	NM_019158
20	NM_001107890	2.33	NM_013198	<i>Maob</i>	-16.16	NM_022667	<i>Slco2a1</i>	NM_001108061	<i>Amn</i>	2.21	NM_001108061
21	NM_001100827	2.27	NM_001100690	<i>Myh14</i>	-15.40	NM_022198	<i>Clcn4</i>	NM_001106846	<i>Cldn2</i>	2.17	NM_001106846
22	NM_053822	2.25	NM_134432	<i>Agt</i>	-13.87	NM_001025641	<i>Psg29</i>	NM_001134516	<i>Uap11</i>	2.09	NM_001134516
23	NM_020100	2.25	NM_001108061	<i>Amn</i>	-6.89	NM_001009623	<i>Tnfrsf13</i>	NM_001108224	<i>Vil1</i>	2.07	NM_001108224
24	NM_030845	2.20	NM_001170403	<i>Oral2</i>	-6.36	NM_017036	<i>Prf4a1</i>	NM_012511	<i>Atf7b</i>	2.03	NM_012511
25	NM_057210	2.17	NM_017097	<i>Ctsc</i>	-6.06	NM_001122776	<i>Kcnc4</i>	NM_133393	<i>Lfng</i>	2.03	NM_133393
26	NM_001191752	2.17	NM_130829	<i>Palm</i>	-5.39	NM_031521	<i>Ncam1</i>	NM_031620	<i>Phgdh</i>	1.98	NM_031620
27	NM_001031642	2.17	NM_133393	<i>Lfng</i>	-4.30	NM_001012072	<i>Ppp1r3c</i>	NM_001109116	<i>Prr7</i>	1.97	NM_001109116
28	NM_013175	2.17	NM_001012115	<i>Creb3l3</i>	-4.18	NM_130779	<i>Adcy3</i>	NM_053802	<i>Tgfbi</i>	1.96	NM_053802
29	NM_053587	2.16	NM_001106846	<i>Cldn2</i>	-3.77	NM_001127635	<i>Zfp9</i>	NM_001025002	<i>LOC310926</i>	1.95	NM_001025002
30	NM_022198	2.14	NM_001134516	<i>Uap11</i>	-3.50	NM_001191862	<i>Finc</i>	NM_022533	<i>Plip</i>	1.95	NM_022533

E19 Placenta											
Up-regulated (chronic/control)				Down-regulated (chronic/control)				Up-regulated (acute/control)			
ID	Gene	FC		ID	Gene	FC		ID	Gene	FC	
31	NM_001009623	2.08	<i>Tnfrsf13</i>	NM_019158	<i>Aqp8</i>	-3.40	<i>Hsd11b1</i>	NM_017080	<i>Hsd11b1</i>	1.94	<i>Pls1</i>
32	NM_001008384	2.07	<i>Rac2</i>	NR_131064	<i>RGD1566401</i>	-3.35	<i>Il17f</i>	NM_001015011	<i>Il17f</i>	1.94	<i>Marcks1</i>
33	NM_212525	2.07	<i>Tyrbp</i>	NM_031620	<i>Phgdh</i>	-3.29	<i>Ednrb</i>	NM_017333	<i>Ednrb</i>	1.91	<i>Scand1</i>
34	NM_001011954	2.03	<i>Cybrd1</i>	NM_001037210	<i>Gipc2</i>	-3.12	<i>Cenpf</i>	NM_001100827	<i>Cenpf</i>	1.91	<i>Scd</i>
35	NM_017080	1.97	<i>Hsd11b1</i>	NM_001014193	<i>Rsrp1</i>	-3.07	<i>C1qtnf2</i>	NM_001191918	<i>C1qtnf2</i>	1.89	<i>Cyba</i>
36	NM_022954	1.97	<i>Fal2</i>	NM_001025002	<i>LOC310926</i>	-3.03	<i>Cspg4</i>	NM_031022	<i>Cspg4</i>	1.88	<i>RGD1566401</i>
37	NM_017051	1.96	<i>Sod2</i>	NM_139192	<i>Scd</i>	-3.03	<i>Pak1</i>	NM_017198	<i>Pak1</i>	1.87	<i>Mgp</i>
38	NM_001009681	1.95	<i>Oasl</i>	NM_022533	<i>Plip</i>	-2.74	<i>Tpbpa</i>	NM_172073	<i>Tpbpa</i>	1.85	<i>Epop</i>
39	NM_130779	1.92	<i>Adcy3</i>	NM_139087	<i>Cgref1</i>	-2.64	<i>Gpr50</i>	NM_001191915	<i>Gpr50</i>	1.85	<i>Rsrp1</i>
40	NM_001033691	1.92	<i>Irf7</i>	NM_001108178	<i>Pls1</i>	-2.63	<i>Tril</i>	NM_001034010	<i>Tril</i>	1.79	<i>Serpinf1</i>
41	NM_001107887	1.92	<i>Cd163</i>	NM_001047891	<i>RGD1310507</i>	-2.63	<i>Pl18a9</i>	NM_134385	<i>Pl18a9</i>	1.79	<i>Socs3</i>
42	NM_133624	1.92	<i>Gbp2</i>	NM_177927	<i>Serpinf1</i>	-2.61	<i>Sh3kbp1</i>	NM_053360	<i>Sh3kbp1</i>	1.78	<i>Myorg</i>
43	NM_012924	1.89	<i>Cd44</i>	NM_153736	<i>Pl13a1</i>	-2.56	<i>Iiga1</i>	NM_030994	<i>Iiga1</i>	1.78	<i>Pex5</i>
44	NM_001007691	1.87	<i>Prss23</i>	NM_001008890	<i>Hbe1</i>	-2.51	<i>Kctd15</i>	NM_001109141	<i>Kctd15</i>	1.76	<i>Cgref1</i>
45	NM_031764	1.85	<i>Ddr2</i>	NM_030827	<i>Lrp2</i>	-2.47	<i>Taf7l</i>	NM_001135877	<i>Taf7l</i>	1.76	<i>Gipc2</i>
46	NM_001134858	1.85	<i>Sym</i>	NM_172030	<i>Entpd2</i>	-2.29	<i>Fermt1</i>	NM_001106515	<i>Fermt1</i>	1.75	<i>Chst13</i>
47	NM_001106420	1.83	<i>Adamts12</i>	NM_001109627	<i>Epop</i>	-2.27	<i>Ncf2</i>	NM_001100984	<i>Ncf2</i>	1.75	<i>Mpp1</i>
48	NM_031022	1.83	<i>Cspg4</i>	NM_001014790	<i>Rarres1</i>	-2.26	<i>C1qtnf6</i>	NM_001034932	<i>C1qtnf6</i>	1.72	<i>Trim2</i>
49	NM_207606	1.83	<i>Kirrel1</i>	NM_019231	<i>Mapk13</i>	-2.24	<i>Golm2</i>	NM_001271283	<i>Golm2</i>	1.72	<i>Irf2bpl</i>
50	NM_019341	1.82	<i>Rgs5</i>	NM_001014088	<i>Eepd1</i>	-2.22	<i>Lhfp12</i>	NM_001106402	<i>Lhfp12</i>	1.71	<i>Arl4d</i>

Transcript numbers in placentas treated with paracetamol (chronic, acute or control, n=4 in each group). For details of dosage schedules see *Methods*. Data from RNA-Seq analysis. FC = fold change compared to control.

Table 2. Changes in gene regulation in E19 placenta following maternal treatment with paracetamol.

E19 Placenta								
Up-regulated (chronic/control)				Up-regulated (acute/control)				
ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ch / Ac	ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co	Ch / Ac
NM_013025	<i>Ccl3</i>	41.6	33.7	NM_013095	<i>Smad3</i>	-	1.61	-
NM_053647	<i>Cxcl2</i>	23.3	17.4	NM_001025721	<i>Colec12</i>	-	1.59	-
NM_031512	<i>Il1b</i>	13.3	16.6	NM_001008328	<i>Parp3</i>	-	1.50	-
NM_022194	<i>Il1rn</i>	7.75	6.19	NM_017113	<i>Grn</i>	-	1.48	-
NM_130741	<i>Lcn2</i>	3.89	3.91	NM_133380	<i>Il4r</i>	-	1.46	0.78
NM_001131001	<i>Fcer1g</i>	3.20	3.60	NM_031514	<i>Jak2</i>	-	1.35	-
NM_024145	<i>Fgr</i>	2.87	2.17	NM_173328	<i>Lgr4</i>	-	1.32	-
NM_012711	<i>Itgam</i>	2.86	2.92	NM_001107754	<i>Traf6</i>	-	1.31	-
NM_021744	<i>Cd14</i>	2.71	-	NM_001107063	<i>Cdc42ep4</i>	-	1.28	-
NM_130426	<i>Tnfrsf1b</i>	2.51	1.89	NM_001191552	<i>Nsd2</i>	-	1.24	-
NM_053822	<i>S100a8</i>	2.26	4.72	NM_001017385	<i>Kdelr1</i>	-	1.22	-
NM_030845	<i>Cxcl1</i>	2.21	-	NM_012925	<i>Cd59</i>	-	1.21	-
NM_001031642	<i>Serpib1a</i>	2.17	1.68	NM_001106715	<i>Pum2</i>	-	1.19	-
NM_001008384	<i>Rac2</i>	2.07	2.83					
NM_001009681	<i>Oasl</i>	1.95	-					
NM_001009689	<i>Cdc42ep2</i>	1.79	-					
NM_001134555	<i>C1r</i>	1.71	-					
NM_012673	<i>Thy1</i>	1.66	-					
NM_001136124	<i>Ifitm3</i>	1.56	-					
NM_001013062	<i>Thbs1</i>	1.55	-					
NM_001106314	<i>Ifitm1</i>	1.52	-					
NM_053535	<i>Enpp1</i>	1.47	-					
NM_138881	<i>Rsad2</i>	1.45	-					
NM_138844	<i>Unc13d</i>	1.44	-					
NM_013016	<i>Sirpa</i>	1.43	-					
NM_001166403	<i>RT1-T24-3</i>	1.43	-					
NM_001191760	<i>Dock11</i>	1.37	1.24					
NM_001271227	<i>Tfe3</i>	1.36	-					
NM_001100565	<i>Peli1</i>	1.31	-					
NM_001108101	<i>Irak3</i>	1.30	1.33					
NM_023092	<i>Myo1c</i>	1.30	-					
NM_031140	<i>Vim</i>	1.30	-					
NM_017256	<i>Tgfb3</i>	1.26	-					
NM_133624	<i>Gbp2</i>	1.91	1.86					
NM_021655	<i>Chga</i>	1.70	1.71					
NM_013069	<i>Cd74</i>	1.61	1.79					

Down-regulated (chronic/control)				Down-regulated (acute/control)				
ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ch / Ac	ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co	Ch / Ac
NM_020071	<i>Fgb</i>	-640.1	-	NM_001109535	<i>Rab20</i>	-	-1.93	1.89
NM_013112	<i>Apoa2</i>	-473.9	-	NM_001108207	<i>Tnfrsf21</i>	-	-1.75	-
NM_001007729	<i>Cxcl4</i>	-1.55	-	NM_053587	<i>S100a9</i>	2.16	-1.71	3.70
NM_001106095	<i>Lig4</i>	-1.53	-	NM_173838	<i>Fzd5</i>	-	-1.53	-
NM_001008322	<i>Shmt2</i>	-1.46	-	NM_001025707	<i>Tfeb</i>	-	-1.40	-
NM_030826	<i>Gpx1</i>	-1.39	-	NM_012939	<i>Ctsh</i>	-	-1.40	-
NM_001108741	<i>Appl2</i>	-1.26	-	NM_001025672	<i>Pspc1</i>	-	-1.34	-
NM_001127390	<i>Washc1</i>	-1.25	-	NM_013157	<i>Ass1</i>	-	-1.34	-
NM_001108069	<i>Stk11</i>	-1.23	-	NM_001031653	<i>Polr3d</i>	-	-1.23	-
NM_001134974	<i>Trim27</i>	-1.20	-	NM_001024967	<i>Tmem106a</i>	-	-1.23	-
				NM_019357	<i>Ezr</i>	-	-1.22	-
				NM_053743	<i>Cdc37</i>	-	-1.18	-

Up-regulated and down-regulated inflammatory and immune-related gene changes in E19 placenta following no treatment (co, controls), acute (ac.) or chronic (ch) maternal paracetamol treatment; see *Methods* for details of dosage schedule. Data from RNA-Seq analysis. Numbers are fold changes for comparisons indicated (Ch/Co, Ac/Co, Ch/Ac). The chronic/acute comparison indicates significant differences in regulation between the two dosage regimes. In all cases expression was greater with chronic treatment.

number that were down-regulated two-fold or more (58 and 61, respectively). In addition, the degree of down-regulation was appreciably greater for many of these genes compared with those that were up-regulated. This was particularly evident for the chronically treated group compared to the control group, with five genes down-regulated greater than 500-fold (*Afp*, *apoc2*, *rbp4*, *apob* and *fgb*, [Table 1](#))

Genes that showed a regulatory response in placentas of animals following both acute and chronic treatment with paracetamol are listed in [Table 5](#). Seven of these down-regulated genes showed a fold change of more than two, which was greater in the chronically treated placentas. Other changes were so small that they are unlikely to be of much functional significance.

The inflammatory response

In the placenta of chronically treated rats there was a notable up-regulation of immune response related genes compared to the acutely treated group ([Table 2](#)). [Figure 3](#) illustrates an analysis from biological Gene Ontology (GO) categories of immune response genes (A) subdivided into the innate (B) and adaptive (C) immune systems in the chronically treated animals. In the placenta these included GO biological processes such as neutrophil chemotaxis ($p=4.7E-05$) and innate immune response ($p=0.045$). [Figure 3](#) illustrates that the number of significantly up-regulated genes was substantially more than the number of down-regulated genes and that most of these were in the innate immune system category, with a small number in the adaptive immune system. A list of inflammatory and immune-related genes that were up-regulated in the placenta following chronic treatment is shown in [Table 2](#). Overall, some 36 genes showed a statistically significant up-regulation. These included 15 genes that were upregulated two-fold or more. As can be seen from [Table 2](#), the third most up-regulated gene in the

placenta following chronic paracetamol exposure was *Il1β*. [Figure 4](#) illustrates the number of *Il1β* gene transcripts in the three treatment groups in the fetal brain and placenta. There was a prominent increase in *Il1β* transcripts in the placentas from the dams treated with chronic paracetamol and no difference between the datasets of placentas from the control and acutely treated mothers, both showing very low numbers. IL1β is a prototypical marker for inflammation and immune response, with up-regulation in the chronically treated placenta of 13.3 fold change; it could thus be a potential indicator of fetal harm. The response in the placenta following a single acute dose of paracetamol was much more muted ([Table 2](#)).

Amongst the down-regulated genes in the placenta ([Table 1](#)) were several transcripts for plasma proteins (AFP, transthyretin and transferrin, see Discussion) that have been shown to down-regulate under inflammatory conditions (negative acute phase response, [Heinrich et al., 1990](#); [Hu et al., 2019](#); [Mackiewicz et al., 1990](#)). Two of these were markedly down-regulated in the acute experiments and further down-regulated in the chronic experiments ([Table 1](#)). This suggest that the response of these plasma protein genes was rapid in onset and continuing over several days in the presence of chronic treatment. In contrast, the up-regulatory response of cytokine genes only became prominent in the placentas of animals chronically exposed to paracetamol ([Table 1](#); [Figure 4](#)).

IL1β concentration (ELISA). In order to see if the increase in transcript numbers for IL1β in placentas from dams treated chronically with paracetamol ([Figure 4](#)) translated into an increase in its protein concentration, the levels of this cytokine in plasma of both the dams and pups were measured using a commercially available ELISA kit (see *Methods*). Results are illustrated in [Figure 5](#). None of the dams in any of the treatment

Table 3. Top 50 up-regulated and down-regulated genes in the E19 fetal brain.

E19 Brain											
Up-regulated (chronic/control)				Down-regulated (chronic/control)				Up-regulated (acute/control)			
ID	Gene	FC	ID	Gene	FC	ID	Gene	ID	Gene	FC	ID
1	NM_001258011	3.41	NM_053304	Col1a1	-10.15	NM_001258011	Shhg11	NM_053304	Col1a1	4.13	NM_053304
2	NM_012959	3.38	NM_001003978	Gsp11	-9.21	NM_001108951	Ak5	NM_032085	Col3a1	3.57	NM_032085
3	NM_001170531	2.76	NM_001365151	Crmp1	-7.56	NM_012959	Gfra1	NM_001365151	Crmp1	3.43	NM_001365151
4	NM_012920	2.57	NM_032085	Col3a1	-6.98	NM_012920	Camk2a	NM_001008890	Hbe1	3.28	NM_001008890
5	NR_037614	2.47	NM_001013853	Hba-a3	-5.10	NM_001109003	Cpne4	NM_033234	Hbb	3.09	NM_033234
6	NM_001105878	2.30	NM_057212	Tmem158	-4.86	NM_012671	Tgfa	NM_001127523	Mis18a	3.03	NM_001127523
7	NM_001105880	2.28	NM_130829	Palm	-4.70	NM_012519	Camk2d	NM_001109627	Epop	2.94	NM_001109627
8	NR_131064	2.27	NM_001025041	Ier5l	-3.95	NM_001170531	Rasgrf1	NM_001025041	Ier5l	2.80	NM_001025041
9	NM_001191970	2.25	NM_001191905	Scrt2	-3.83	NM_001127492	Sphkap	NM_001108464	Prr18	2.73	NM_001108464
10	NM_001191752	2.20	NM_001108599	Scand1	-3.72	NM_001191970	Thsd7a	NM_001111269	Hbb-bs	2.70	NM_001111269
11	NM_001107310	2.15	NM_001108464	Prr18	-3.71	NR_131064	RGD1566401	NM_001191905	Scrt2	2.60	NM_001191905
12	NM_001172305	2.12	NM_001109627	Epop	-3.24	NM_030993	Ddh	NM_057212	Tmem158	2.59	NM_057212
13	NR_126581	2.10	NM_001109116	Prr7	-3.24	NM_017318	Ptk2b	NM_001108599	Scand1	2.40	NM_001108599
14	NM_021853	2.06	NM_001106014	RGD1560394	-3.10	NM_001107671	Plcx3	NM_001113223	LOC100134871	2.40	NM_001113223
15	NM_001271191	2.00	NM_033234	Hbb	-3.06	NM_001108335	Rasal1	NM_001106299	Ahsp	2.36	NM_001106299
16	NM_053505	1.98	NM_001111269	Hbb-bs	-2.89	NM_001105880	Zbtb20	NM_013197	Alas2	2.34	NM_013197
17	NM_206847	1.98	NM_030862	Marcks1	-2.62	NM_001271404	Hs6st3	NR_027324	H19	2.34	NR_027324
18	NM_017007	1.98	NM_001107307	Cilp2	-2.47	NM_013192	Kcnj6	NM_030862	Marcks1	2.32	NM_030862
19	NM_013192	1.97	NM_001109270	Fam110d	-2.46	NM_001001508	Plppr4	NM_012651	Slc4a1	2.28	NM_012651
20	NM_053336	1.97	NM_001008890	Hbe1	-2.46	NM_021853	Kcnt1	NM_001109116	Prr7	2.26	NM_001109116
21	NR_111959	1.96	NR_027324	H19	-2.37	NM_134363	Slc12a5	NM_001115013	Selenom	2.23	NM_001115013
22	NM_031003	1.94	NM_001106066	Pgls	-2.36	NM_001289778	Map7d2	NM_181380	Rtn4rl2	2.20	NM_181380
23	NM_001136241	1.91	NM_001107745	C1qtnf4	-2.33	NM_012713	Prkcb	NM_001168650	Sox12	2.19	NM_001168650
24	NM_012713	1.89	NM_001113223	LOC100134871	-2.29	NM_001191752	Ccdc77	NM_001106014	RGD1560394	2.17	NM_001106014
25	NR_130129	1.85	NM_001168650	Sox12	-2.25	NM_001134837	Trps1	NM_019291	Car2	2.14	NM_019291
26	NM_053817	1.83	NM_013197	Alas2	-2.23	NM_030871	Pdefa	NM_001037659	Mpp1	2.13	NM_001037659
27	NM_001202552	1.82	NM_001037659	Mpp1	-2.21	NM_053505	Slc24a3	NM_022300	Basp1	2.10	NM_022300
28	NM_001106895	1.82	NM_001164043	Mapkapk5	-2.20	NM_001136241	Ngef	NM_001107951	Dmrta2	2.09	NM_001107951
29	NM_001014207	1.80	NM_022300	Basp1	-2.17	NM_017007	Gad1	NM_001106066	Pgls	2.08	NM_001106066

E19 Brain											
Up-regulated (chronic/control)				Down-regulated (chronic/control)				Up-regulated (acute/control)			
ID	Gene	FC	ID	Gene	FC	ID	Gene	ID	Gene	FC	ID
30	NM_024371	1.79	NM_001109621	Tpqs1	-2.14	NM_206847	Pfkfb	NM_031114	S100a10	2.07	NM_001107307
31	NM_031783	1.78	NM_001107603	Fbxl15	-2.11	NM_001135779	Gabra2	NM_001107307	Cilp2	2.04	NM_001100741
32	NM_153630	1.75	NM_181380	Rtn4rl2	-2.07	NM_031003	Abat	NM_001100741	Col6a2	2.04	NM_017271
33	NM_001271366	1.74	NM_019386	Tgm2	-2.07	NM_001172305	Prkcb	NM_017271	Nudc	2.03	NM_001109621
34	NM_017275	1.74	NM_017271	Nudc	-2.03	NM_001108542	Arhgef28	NM_001109621	Tpqs1	2.03	NM_001169138
35	NM_001107659	1.72	NM_001071776	Bola1	-2.01	NM_001025664	Wsb1	NM_001169138	Thbs2	1.98	NM_001164043
36	NM_022631	1.72	NM_001130570	Sort1	-2.00	NM_001106895	Tfap2d	NM_001164043	Mapkapk5	1.98	NM_001109270
37	NM_001107638	1.72	NM_001013163	Bmyc	-2.00	NM_173121	Brinp3	NM_001109270	Fam110d	1.98	NM_00117745
38	NM_031046	1.71	NM_001107951	Dmrt2	-2.00	NM_001107310	Spock3	NM_00117745	C1qtnf4	1.98	NM_001195482
39	NM_001029911	1.71	NM_031677	Fhl2	-1.95	NM_057130	Hrk	NM_001195482	Ndufa8	1.98	NM_001130570
40	NM_031730	1.70	NM_021678	Camk2n2	-1.95	NM_001107473	Zim1	NM_001130570	Scrt1	1.97	NM_019354
41	NM_001012235	1.70	NM_019291	Car2	-1.93	NM_017275	Prck	NM_019354	Ucp2	1.96	NM_031677
42	NM_057130	1.69	NM_001134570	Nupr2	-1.93	NM_001107881	Plxnd1	NM_031677	Fhl2	1.95	NM_001025137
43	NM_001134553	1.67	NM_001195482	Ndufa8	-1.93	NM_177481	Slco3a1	NM_001025137	Ier5	1.95	NM_001108955
44	NM_133302	1.66	NM_019326	Neurod2	-1.87	NM_153630	Nalcn	NM_001108955	Fjx1	1.93	NM_019326
45	NM_001107881	1.66	NM_001106299	Ahsp	-1.87	NM_001271191	Sgo2	NM_019326	Neurod2	1.93	NM_001107603
46	NM_052807	1.65	NM_001107574	Znhit2	-1.87	NM_001107659	Sema5a	NM_001107603	Fbxl15	1.92	NM_001107708
47	NM_001037139	1.64	NM_012651	Slc4a1	-1.86	NM_001106498	Pak6	NM_001107708	Olfml3	1.91	NM_001134570
48	NM_001107201	1.64	NM_001127523	Mis18a	-1.85	NM_001114656	Jmjd7	NM_001134570	Nupr2	1.88	NM_031838
49	NM_001107281	1.64	NM_012932	Crmp1	-1.84	NM_001108242	Slc9a7	NM_031838	Rps2	1.87	NM_019905
50	NM_001107425	1.63	NM_001142652	Neur1b	-1.82	NM_053817	Nrxn3	NM_019905	Anxa2	1.87	

Transcript numbers following chronic, acute or control maternal treatment with paracetamol, n=4 in each group. For details of dosage schedules see *Methods*. Data from RNA-Seq analysis. FC = fold change compared to control.

Table 4. Changes in gene regulation in E19 fetal brain following maternal treatment with paracetamol.

E19 Brain									
Up-regulated (chronic/control)					Up-regulated (acute/control)				
ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co	Ch / Ac	ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co	Ch / Ac
NM_053336	<i>Ager</i>	1.97	-	-	NM_017318	<i>Ptk2b</i>	-	2.40	-
NM_001202552	<i>Eif4ebp3</i>	1.82	-	-	NM_001004444	<i>Zbtb1</i>	-	1.52	-
NM_022631	<i>Wnt5a</i>	1.72	-	-	NM_001108614	<i>Lime1</i>	-	1.51	-
NM_001105734	<i>Dusp10</i>	1.54	-	-	NM_001107770	<i>Sppl2a</i>	-	1.44	-
NM_017187	<i>Hmgb2</i>	1.47	-	-	NM_001105733	<i>Cacnb4</i>	-	1.31	-
					NM_001107678	<i>Dhx36</i>	-	1.16	-
Down-regulated (chronic/control)					Down-regulated (acute/control)				
ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co	Ch / Ac	ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co	Ch / Ac
NM_001033968	<i>Bag6</i>	-1.52	-	-	NM_030858	<i>Smad7</i>	-	-1.45	-
NM_001100565	<i>Peli1</i>	-1.27	-	-	NM_022701	<i>Flot1</i>	-	-1.20	-
NM_001008322	<i>Shmt2</i>	-1.21	-	-	NM_017278	<i>Psm1</i>	-	-1.17	-
NM_133388	<i>Rbm14</i>	-1.19	-	-	NM_001128083	<i>Trim8</i>	-	-1.16	-
NM_138710	<i>Dab2ip</i>	-1.18	-	-	NM_001106332	<i>Otub1</i>	-	-1.16	-
NM_001039914	<i>Akirin2</i>	-1.17	-	-	NM_021655	<i>Chga</i>	-	-1.14	-
NM_012752	<i>Cd24</i>	-1.15	-	-					

Up-regulated and down-regulated inflammatory and immune-related gene changes in the E19 fetal brain following no treatment (Co, controls), acute (Ac,) or chronic (Ch) maternal paracetamol treatment; see *Methods* for details of dosage schedule. Data from RNA-Seq analysis. Numbers are fold changes for comparisons indicated (Ch/Co, Ac/Co; Ch/Ac). Compared to the placenta, in the fetal brain many fewer inflammatory and immune-related genes showed regulatory changes and there were no significant differences between acute and chronic treatments. - indicates no significant difference in fold changes, not that there was no fold change.

Table 5. Inflammatory and immune-related gene regulation in both acute and chronic treatment with paracetamol.

E19 Placenta				E19 Brain			
Up-regulated (chronic/control & acute/control)				Up-regulated (chronic/control & acute/control)			
ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co	ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co
NM_001009623	<i>Tnfsf13</i>	2.09	2.07	NM_001172305	<i>Prkcb</i>	2.12	2.03
NM_001034010	<i>Tril</i>	1.63	1.79	NM_012713	<i>Prkcb</i>	1.89	2.19
NM_001033691	<i>Irf7</i>	1.92	1.52	NM_052807	<i>Igf1r</i>	1.65	1.49
NM_017269	<i>Ptprj</i>	1.70	1.52	NM_053374	<i>Il18bp</i>	1.60	1.52
NM_001106123	<i>Mrc1</i>	1.64	1.45	NM_001106757	<i>Cfp</i>	1.57	1.53
NM_019211	<i>Rasgrp1</i>	1.45	1.41	NM_001276715	<i>Prkd1</i>	1.39	1.41
NM_001008886	<i>RT1-S3</i>	1.31	1.40	NM_001079894	<i>Plekha1</i>	1.37	1.48
NM_019140	<i>Ptprs</i>	1.31	1.40	NM_001106095	<i>Lig4</i>	1.33	1.33
NM_012512	<i>B2m</i>	1.37	1.37	NM_013187	<i>Plcg1</i>	1.26	1.24
NM_019195	<i>Cd47</i>	1.24	1.34	NM_012747	<i>Stat3</i>	1.24	1.25
NM_133395	<i>Serinc5</i>	1.26	1.29				
NM_052807	<i>Igf1r</i>	1.26	1.26				

Down-regulated (chronic/control & acute/control)				Down-regulated (chronic/control & acute/control)			
ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co	ID	Gene	Ch / Co	Ac / Co
NM_012738	<i>Apoa1</i>	-451.9	-15.74	NM_032085	<i>Col3a1</i>	-6.98	-6.99
NM_022924	<i>F2</i>	-76.52	-15.32	NM_001109116	<i>Prr7</i>	-3.24	-2.12
NM_012737	<i>Apoa4</i>	-17.35	-7.72	NM_030826	<i>Gpx1</i>	-1.67	-1.52
NM_017097	<i>Ctsc</i>	-6.06	-6.18	NM_030859	<i>Mdk</i>	-1.33	-1.39
NM_133393	<i>Lfng</i>	-4.30	-3.26	NM_053761	<i>Zyx</i>	-1.33	-1.39
NM_001109116	<i>Prr7</i>	-2.14	-2.99	NM_212509	<i>Nfkbil1</i>	-1.43	-1.38
NM_024160	<i>Cyba</i>	-2.03	-2.49	NM_022257	<i>Masp1</i>	-1.44	-1.38
NM_033351	<i>Fcgrt</i>	-1.85	-1.68	NM_001277283	<i>Irak1bp1</i>	-1.32	-1.36
NM_001135922	<i>Ttll12</i>	-1.41	-1.54	NM_001134974	<i>Trim27</i>	-1.40	-1.35
NM_001006969	<i>Irf3</i>	-1.56	-1.50	NM_022546	<i>Dapk3</i>	-1.58	-1.35
NM_133293	<i>Gata3</i>	-1.53	-1.42	NM_001106164	<i>Cmtm3</i>	-1.40	-1.35
NM_001106446	<i>Zbtb7b</i>	-1.33	-1.32	NM_001108153	<i>Sema7a</i>	-1.38	-1.33
NM_001025136	<i>Hexim1</i>	-1.34	-1.24	NM_172045	<i>Ppp1r14b</i>	-1.36	-1.32
				NM_130411	<i>Coro1a</i>	-1.41	-1.31
				NM_053669	<i>Sh2b2</i>	-1.32	-1.31
				NM_001004080	<i>Gsn</i>	-1.35	-1.30
				NM_053727	<i>Nfil3</i>	-1.21	-1.29
				NM_012931	<i>Bcar1</i>	-1.25	-1.24
				NM_001107063	<i>Cdc42ep4</i>	-1.26	-1.23
				NM_031629	<i>Psmb4</i>	-1.26	-1.20
				NM_019259	<i>C1qbp</i>	-1.20	-1.20
				NM_001031653	<i>Polr3d</i>	-1.17	-1.19
				NM_053743	<i>Cdc37</i>	-1.23	-1.19
				NM_001047099	<i>Ythdf2</i>	-1.18	-1.19

Only genes that showed a response in placentas from E19 animals (left panels) and fetal brains (right panels) following both acute and chronic maternal treatment with paracetamol are shown; see *Methods* for details of dosage schedule. Data from RNA-Seq analysis. Numbers are fold changes for comparisons indicated (Ch/Co, Ac/Co; Ch/Ac). There were no significant differences for these genes between acute and chronic treatments, although there were small fold changes (data not shown).

Figure 2. Number of up-regulated and down-regulated genes in the E19 placenta and brain following chronic maternal treatment of paracetamol. Transcript numbers in placenta and brain from chronically (15mg/kg) treated compared to control, untreated animals. For details of chronic dosage schedule see *Methods*. Data derived from RNA-Seq analysis. Overlapping segments represent shared genes.

Figure 3. Pathway analysis from the Biological Gene Ontology categories (BP:GO). (A) “immune response”, (B) “innate immune system” and (C) “adaptive immune system”. The number of genes significantly up-regulated (green) and significantly down-regulated (red) are shown for adult brain, E19 brain and E19 placenta, as determined by RNA-Seq. Results are displayed for chronic and acute paracetamol treated rats (n=4). For details of chronic and acute dosage schedules see Methods.

Figure 4. IL1 β transcript counts. Transcripts per million in E19 fetal placenta and brain from control (n=4), acute (n=4) or chronically treated dams (n=4) as determined by RNA-Seq. (HTSeq-counts, EdgeR). Means $\pm$ SD. * p < 0.05.

Figure 5. Quantification of IL1 β concentration in dam and fetal rat plasma. Samples from control (n=2/4), acute (n=2/4) or chronic paracetamol treated dams at low (3.75mg/kg, n=5/16) or high (15mg/kg, n=7/19) doses; n=dams/fetuses sampled. Measured by ELISA (R&D Quantikine). Means $\pm$ SD.

groups had a detectable level of IL1 β in their plasma (limit <5pg/ml). In contrast, detectable levels of IL1 β in the plasma of many of the E19 fetuses whose mothers had been treated with paracetamol were demonstrated, and the levels were generally higher in fetuses of mothers treated chronically.

However, the levels of IL1 β were quite variable even within each treatment group. In the two groups of pups from dams treated with either dose of paracetamol (15mg/kg or 3.75mg/kg), several individuals had concentrations of the cytokine markedly increased, up to 100pg/ml (Figure 5). Overall, in dams treated with paracetamol, 19/39 fetuses had IL1 β levels above 5pg/ml.

The effect of paracetamol exposure on E19 fetal brain gene expression (transcriptomic analysis)

Transcriptomic analysis of the E19 fetal brain was carried out in material collected from the same animals as was prepared for placental analysis, thus allowing a direct comparison between the response of the two tissues to paracetamol treatment of the mother.

As illustrated in Figure 1, following maternal exposure to paracetamol, there was a large number of genes that significantly altered their expression in the fetal brain.

As shown in Figure 2, comparing the dataset for fetal brains from chronically treated dams with untreated control dams, there was a total 1128 genes with significantly different transcript numbers in the E19 brain. The top 50 up-regulated and down-regulated genes in the E19 brain are shown for both acute and chronic treatment groups compared to controls in Table 3. Many more genes were up-regulated following acute treatment

rather than chronic treatment. In contrast, more genes were down-regulated in the brains of chronically treated fetuses compared with the acutely treated. Additionally, the level of down-regulation was greater for most transcripts than up-regulation following both acute and chronic paracetamol treatment, for example *Colla1* (collagen type 1 alpha 1 chain) and *Col3a1* (collagen type 3 alpha 1 chain), see Table 3. There will be a further analysis of the brain data in a later publication (Koehn *et al.*, unpublished reports) that will deal with expression of ABC efflux transporters and related enzymes as these may play a role in the extent to which paracetamol enters the brain at different stages of development (Koehn *et al.*, 2019b).

Comparison of the inflammatory response in E19 placenta and brain following maternal paracetamol treatment

In addition to effects of the length of exposure to the drug on gene expression in individual tissues, the regulation in brain and placenta was very different following the same treatment.

Only 98 genes were significantly regulated in both tissues, equating to 5.5% of the transcripts that changed their expression (Figure 2).

In the E19 placenta many of the top genes up-regulated following chronic treatment were related to immune-response and inflammation, including *Il1 β* , which was 3rd highest (Table 1). In contrast, in the brain, very few transcripts for *Il1 β* (Figure 4) or other cytokines (Table 4) could be detected and there was no difference in transcripts for *Il1 β* between the treatment groups (Figure 4). Table 4 lists the inflammatory and immune-related genes that were up- or down-regulated significantly in the E19 brain. The changes were very small compared to the placenta in both the innate immune and the adaptive immune

category (Figure 3). Table 5 shows immune/inflammatory related genes that showed a regulatory change in both the placenta and the brains from both acutely and chronically treated fetuses.

No changes in plasma protein transcript numbers were detected in the fetal brain (see Discussion). This, together with lack of up-regulation of the inflammatory cytokine *Il1 β* , as seen in the placentas, indicates that an inflammatory response was elicited by paracetamol in the placenta but little or none in the fetal brain. We do not have information if other organs not

investigated in this study, such as the liver, could also have been affected.

Placental permeability

In order to investigate if a prolonged exposure to paracetamol and resulting inflammatory response could affect the permeability of the placenta, two sets of permeability experiments were conducted using a small molecular size marker, ^{14}C -sucrose (see Methods). These were designed to examine the transfer from the mother to the fetus but also from the fetus back to the mother. Results are illustrated in Figure 6 and Figure 7.

Figure 6. Fetal (E19) to maternal transfer of ^{14}C -sucrose. Following maternal paracetamol treatment fetuses, still within their amniotic sacs, were directly injected (i.p.) with ^{14}C -sucrose and plasma from both fetus and dam were collected to calculate maternal/average fetal plasma ratio (%) over time. Treatment groups: control (n=6), chronic low dose (3.75mg/kg, n=5) and chronic high dose (15mg/kg, n=5); n refers to number of fetuses.

Figure 7. Maternal to fetal (E19) transfer of ^{14}C -sucrose. Mothers were treated with paracetamol. ^{14}C -sucrose was injected (i.p) into the mothers. Blood samples from individual fetuses were collected at the same time as maternal samples. Treatment groups were: untreated (control, n=8) and paracetamol injected (chronic dose 15mg/kg, n=6) dams. n refers to number of fetuses. Transfer calculated as fetal/maternal plasma ratio (%).

Fetal to maternal transfer of ^{14}C -sucrose. To investigate the placental transfer of sucrose from fetus back to the dam following maternal paracetamol exposure, sucrose was injected directly into the pups still within their amniotic sacs (see Methods). Two litters were injected in mothers that had been treated with chronic high doses of paracetamol and one litter from a mother treated with chronic low dose paracetamol. These were compared with one litter from an untreated control mother. Plasma samples from both the fetuses and dam were collected and ratio of ^{14}C -sucrose estimated (see Methods). The results are shown in Figure 6. All three of the litters from mothers treated with chronic paracetamol (either high or low dose) showed slightly higher permeability from the fetus back to the mother than in the control dam. However, the ratios are extremely low, making accurate comparison difficult.

Maternal to fetal transfer of ^{14}C -sucrose. In order to investigate if the rate of transfer of a small molecular marker from dam to fetus across the placental barrier was affected following paracetamol exposure, dams either untreated (control) or treated with chronic high (15mg/kg) doses of paracetamol were given a final intravenous (i.v.) injection of ^{14}C -sucrose 30 minutes before removing their fetuses (Figure 7). Blood samples from

dams were time matched to the removal and blood collection from each fetus (see Koehn *et al.*, 2019b). The transfer from the mother to the fetus in the paracetamol treated dams was slightly less than that in the control animal. The much higher ratios obtained in the maternal to fetal transfer experiments (Figure 7) compared to the fetal to maternal transfer (Figure 6) are due to the differences in volume of distribution, hence dilution of the marker, when sucrose is injected into the mother or into the fetuses.

Detection of AFP in fetal and maternal plasma. In order to investigate if exposure to paracetamol can also influence the transfer of a protein from the fetal circulation into the maternal blood across the placenta, western blot analysis was made of fetal and maternal plasma samples using cross-reacting antibodies specific for AFP (see Methods). Figure 8A shows the blot that contained both the fetal and maternal samples together with a negative control (non-pregnant female rat). Densitometry measurements are illustrated in Figure 8B together with maternal/fetal ratios. There was no detectable band in the control sample and all maternal samples showed a much lower level of the protein than fetal samples. The levels of the protein in fetal samples did not appear to change significantly

Figure 8. Estimations of α -fetoprotein (AFP) concentrations in fetuses (E19) and dams. **A)** Western blots of AFP in plasma from dams and fetuses in different treatment groups. Numbers for dam blots are samples from individual animals; numbers in fetal plasma blots indicate individual fetuses from corresponding dams. Treatment groups were: control (1/1b; n=1), acute (2/2b; n=2), chronic low dose (3/3b; n=2), chronic high dose (4/4b; n=3) and non-pregnant control (5; n=1). **B)** Estimations of AFP in dam and fetal plasma (densitometry units from blots in **A**) and fetal to maternal transfer of AFP expressed as dam/plasma AFP ratio (%). Note: each point represents an individual animal. Note that all treated dams had higher plasma levels of AFP than the un-treated pregnant dam; AU are ordinate arbitrary densitometry units.

between the control and any of the treatment groups (Figure 8B), but in maternal samples, AFP levels were higher in all treated dams compared to an un-treated control. This was reflected in the ratios of AFP in maternal to fetal plasma (Figure 8B, right panel), showing that even a single injection (acute) of paracetamol may increase placental transfer, while prolonged exposure to the drug increased AFP transfer by about three times compared to the control animals.

Permeability of the fetal blood brain barrier

Two different molecular size markers (^{14}C -sucrose and plasma proteins) were used to assess any changes in blood-brain barrier permeability following chronic paracetamol treatment of the dam. The samples were obtained from the same experiments as the placental permeability studies.

Transfer of ^{14}C -sucrose into the brain and CSF following different paracetamol treatment regimes. To investigate the transfer of ^{14}C -sucrose into the fetal brain after maternal paracetamol exposure, fetal blood, brain and CSF samples from dams untreated (control) or treated acutely or chronically with either low (3.75mg/kg) or high (15mg/kg) doses of paracetamol were measured. As shown in Figure 9, there was no significant difference in the transfer into the brain and CSF between any treatment groups (Figure 9).

Entry into the brain and CSF when the ^{14}C -sucrose was injected directly into the fetus was also investigated and results are illustrated in Figure 9. Here too there were no significant

differences in the entry of sucrose into brain and CSF between the three treatments.

However, the fetuses that were directly exposed to sucrose (i.p. injection) showed a lower level of transfer into the brain and CSF compared to those that were exposed indirectly (i.v. injection to dam), around 10% compared to 40%, respectively. This reflects differences in distribution volume following the different routes of injection as well as the time involved in samples collection.

Blood brain barrier integrity for endogenous plasma protein. Transfer of large molecule plasma proteins into the fetal brain following maternal paracetamol exposure was studied using immunohistochemistry and antibodies to rat serum proteins (see Methods). Brains were matched with plasma samples containing detectable IL1 β levels as estimated by ELISA (Figure 5). The distribution of the proteins in E19 brains from control, acute and chronic high dose (15mg/kg) paracetamol treated dams is illustrated in micrographs in Figure 10. There was no evidence of a “leak” of protein in any of the vessels in the fetal brains examined. In all sections stained from all brains investigated, immunostaining was exclusively localised in the blood vessels, choroid plexus stroma and precipitated CSF and there was no visible difference in the brain morphology between treatment groups.

Thus, the results clearly show that the blood-brain barrier, at least to plasma proteins and to sucrose, was not affected by paracetamol exposure of the dam, the inflammatory response in the placenta nor the increased levels on IL1 β in fetal blood.

Figure 9. Transfer of ^{14}C -sucrose into the E19 brain (A) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF; B) following paracetamol treatment. Fetuses were exposed to ^{14}C -sucrose either directly (fetal i.p. injection) or indirectly (maternal i.v. injection). Treatment groups investigated were control, no paracetamol (n=13), chronic low dose (3.75mg/kg, n=11) and chronic high dose (15mg/kg, n=11) in fetuses that were injected directly. In experiments in which the ^{14}C -sucrose was injected into the mothers; n numbers were control (n=8), acute (n=10) and chronic high dose (n=6) in the mothers. Means $\pm$ SD.

Figure 10. Histology of E19 fetal brains. **A)** Hematoxylin and eosin coronal section of E19 neocortex of fetus from mother treated with chronic high dose paracetamol. **B)** Adjacent section from same brain as **A** immunostained for plasma proteins. **C)** High power image from **B** (box). **D.** High power immunostained image of E19 neocortex of fetus from mother treated with acute high dose paracetamol. Note that all cerebral vessels appear intact with protein immunostained deposits all within blood vessel lumen, indicating that paracetamol treatment has not affected their barrier permeability to plasma proteins. Bars in **A** & **B** are 1mm; bars in **C** & **D** are 100µm.

Discussion

In order for a drug taken by a pregnant mother to reach the fetal brain it has to cross both the placental and the blood-brain barriers. Any changes to normal functioning of these interfaces could have detrimental effects on fetal health and pregnancy outcomes. We have therefore analysed the transcriptomic changes in rat E19 placentas and brains following paracetamol treatment of the dams. Paracetamol is one of the most commonly used medications in pregnancy (Dreyer *et al.*, 2015; Wyszynski & Shields, 2016). Pregnant rats were treated with paracetamol acutely and chronically and compared to controls (no treatment). The doses used were within the clinically recommended range (0.5g to 4g in 24 hours in adults). In the case of the chronic treatment, this corresponded to a relatively prolonged period of pregnancy in the rat (E15-19, about 25% of gestation). This was followed by investigating placental transfer of small and large molecules from the dam to the fetus and from the fetus back to the maternal circulation, to see whether paracetamol exposure altered barrier function. Finally, the

permeability of the blood-brain barrier was analysed in the fetuses of paracetamol treated and untreated dams.

From the results it was apparent that some form of acute phase response was elicited as transcripts for several plasma proteins were down-regulated in placentas of both acute and chronic treated animals (Table 1). These proteins were AFP, transthyretin and transferrin (Vranckx *et al.*, 1989), fibrinogen beta chain (Birch & Schreiber, 1986) and apolipoproteins ApoA1-4, several of which are known to respond to inflammation as negative acute phase proteins (Tu *et al.*, 1987). Since a marked response was already apparent after a single dose of paracetamol, it seems that this was a rapid response to paracetamol, which was sustained and increased when the treatment was chronic. A summary of transcript numbers for AFP, transferrin and transthyretin, together with numbers for *Il1β* for comparison, is presented in Table 6 for both the brain and the placenta. These clearly show that some form of acute phase response was taking place in the placenta following paracetamol treatment;

Table 6. Transcript numbers in E19 placenta and fetal brain for negative acute phase plasma proteins and IL1 β .

E19 placenta												
Sample	Control				Acute				Chronic			
	Afp	Tf	Ttr	IL1 β	Afp	Tf	Ttr	IL1 β	Afp	Tf	Ttr	IL1 β
1	10372	2906	783	2.7	3.5	31	0.7	1.3	2.7	35	1.4	11
2	16873	3789	1100	2.5	3.0	35	2.6	2.2	3.5	28	1.6	30
3	1263	366	65	2.3	1571	413	95	3.3	3.5	17	1.7	46
4	72	56	4.6	3.8	8.4	29	1.6	2.2	2.9	45	1.1	58
E19 brain												
Sample	Control				Acute				Chronic			
	Afp	Tf	Ttr	IL1 β	Afp	Tf	Ttr	IL1 β	Afp	Tf	Ttr	IL1 β
1	0.4	2.8	0.2	0.1	0.2	1.2	2.3	0.1	0.2	2.9	0.8	0.2
2	1.7	2.1	0.5	0.1	0.1	1.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	3.2	1.1	0.1
3	0.2	5.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	1.9	0.2	0.1	0.1	3.5	0.9	0.1
4	0.1	3.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	1.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	2.8	1.0	0.1

In placental samples transcript numbers for the three negative acute phase proteins were smaller in chronically treated animals compared to controls and all IL1 β numbers were greater than in controls. There was some variation in values between individual placentas which was more obvious in samples from acutely treated animal indicating that the response was potentially time-dependent. In all brain samples the transcript numbers were very low, with no evidence of an inflammatory response. This indicates that the response in the placenta was tissue specific.

however, other typical acute phase response-related cytokines were not up-regulated (such as TNF α or IL6). Transcript numbers in the brain did not change, demonstrating that the acute phase response was tissue specific and restricted to the placenta.

Several immune and inflammatory-related genes were up-regulated in placentas of animals treated with the chronic dose regime, but much less so in the placentas of acutely treated animals (Table 1, Table 2 and Table 5). The key inflammatory cytokine, IL1 β , was shown to be present in the blood of a high proportion of fetuses of mothers exposed to both acute and chronic treatment with paracetamol. The levels were variable in different fetuses but generally higher in the chronically treated animals. No IL1 β could be detected in either the maternal blood of paracetamol treated animals or in fetuses of control untreated animals. This confirms that paracetamol was indeed eliciting an inflammatory response but only on the fetal side of the placental circulation. Thiele *et al.* (2015) reported that pregnant mice treated with either 50 or 250mg/kg paracetamol showed some immune responses in the uterus and some morphological changes in the placenta. However, they did not investigate possible immune responses in the placenta and the doses of paracetamol were much larger than the ones we used and were well above the clinical range.

In order to determine if prolonged paracetamol exposure of the dam could affect some aspect of placental function, we have estimated placental permeability to a small molecular marker, sucrose and to large plasma protein AFP in both directions i.e.

from the dam to the fetuses and from the fetuses back to the dam. The results showed that there was a small and variable increase in permeability to ¹⁴C-sucrose and of AFP permeability in the direction from fetus to mother (Figure 6 and Figure 8). There may also have been a small decrease in sucrose permeability from mother to fetus (Figure 7) but due to small numbers this is inconclusive.

Placental inflammation induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) injection in pregnant rats has been reported to induce maternal serum and placental cytokines and increased maternal serum AFP (Hu *et al.*, 2019). In those experiments LPS did not increase the expression of AFP in fetal liver, maternal liver or placenta, but did reduce the fetal serum AFP levels, a pattern implying a possibility of increased transfer of AFP from the fetus to the mother, thus depleting it from fetal circulation. We did not find any difference in fetal AFP levels but this discrepancy could be due to either the duration and severity of the response or sensitivity of the methods used.

Permeability of the fetal blood-brain barrier to both sucrose and plasma protein was also investigated. In contrast to the placenta, there was no evidence of a change in brain barrier permeability to either marker in fetuses of dams treated with paracetamol. This is relevant to earlier studies in which inflammation induced by LPS was shown to result in a breakdown of the blood-brain barrier that was age-dependant (Stolp *et al.*, 2005a; Stolp *et al.*, 2005b). However, it is likely that E19 is at a developmental stage when the response to LPS

is not yet developed, as shown in a similar study in a marsupial species, *Monodelphis domestica* (Stolp *et al.*, 2005a).

Limitations of the study

The study has been carried out in pregnant rats at a single gestational age (E19). This stage of brain development in rats at E19 is approximately equivalent to 22–24 weeks gestation in humans (Clancy *et al.*, 2001), corresponding to the earliest age of viability (Fischer *et al.*, 2009; Stoll *et al.*, 2010). The rat and human placentas are both classed as hemochorial (Blood *et al.*, 2007; Dawe *et al.*, 2007) but there are differences in morphology, in particular that the rat placenta has more morphological layers between the fetal and maternal circulations. However, that might mean that the relatively small changes in placental permeability from fetus to mother shown here might be more prominent in the human. The responses of these two species to an inflammatory event are similar with respect to the three plasma proteins AFP, transferrin and transthyretin (prealbumin); as in this study, these proteins have been reported to be acute phase negative proteins under inflammatory conditions (Heinrich *et al.*, 1990; Hu *et al.*, 2019; Mackiewicz *et al.*, 1990). This supports the suggestion that these findings should be taken account of when advising pregnant women about the use of paracetamol. Given the unexpected findings of up-regulation of inflammatory cytokines and down-regulation of some acute phase plasma proteins, we are in the process of carrying out RNA-Seq replication studies and extending the range of cytokines estimated in fetal and maternal blood. Unfortunately, these experiments have been delayed by the COVID-19 emergency, which has closed our laboratories for an indefinite period. In view of the potential significance of our findings for the use of paracetamol in pregnancy, particularly the high frequency of its use, we feel it is justified to present these findings for peer review, in their present form.

Clinical relevance

Paracetamol (acetaminophen) is generally considered “safe” to use in pregnancy and lactation (Australian Medicines Handbook, 2019; Briggs *et al.*, 2017) although it is one of the most commonly overdosed drugs, including in pregnancy (Rayburn *et al.*, 1984). However, some authors urge caution in its use because of evidence of adverse effects (Brune *et al.*, 2015). It has been reported that as many as nearly 80% of pregnant women in some populations ingest paracetamol (Dreyer *et al.*, 2015). The findings of the present study, although based solely on experiments in rats, should be taken account of when advising pregnant patients on the use of paracetamol in pregnancy. The clinical situation is not straightforward because in addition to taking paracetamol to relieve pain, it may also be taken to reduce an increase in body temperature accompanying an infection (often respiratory), but there is evidence of an association between infection/fever and adverse outcomes for pregnancies; this seems to be a particular problem when infection/fever occurs at the beginning of the 3rd trimester (Hagberg *et al.*, 2015). Thus, continued but limited use of paracetamol to control severe pain and to reduce body temperature at critical

stages of pregnancy would seem to be appropriate but not the widespread use for lesser indications that is implied by the reports that most pregnant women take paracetamol.

Increased transfer of sucrose and AFP from fetal circulation into maternal circulation, as demonstrated in the present study, suggests that other molecules/metabolites could potentially also reach the maternal circulation. There are several clinical implications, including that increased AFP levels detected in pregnant women are used to detect potential neural tube closure defects, although this test is done earlier in pregnancy and we have as yet no evidence of paracetamol affecting placental permeability this early in pregnancy.

Further investigation is required to see if there are similar effects in the placentas of patients who have taken paracetamol. If the effect is indeed confined to the fetal side of the placenta it will be clinically difficult to determine such an effect in pregnant patients, particularly if it turns out to be variable as in our rat experiments, although transfer of AFP from fetal to maternal circulation might be a useful indicator.

Data availability

Underlying data

RNA-Seq data on NCBI, Accession number PRJNA633629: <https://identifiers.org/ncbi/bioproject:PRJNA633629>

Figshare: Effects of paracetamol on rat placenta and fetal brain. <https://doi.org/10.26188/5ebff4c2781a0> (Koehn *et al.*, 2020)

This project contains the following underlying data:

- 200514 ELISA raw data.xlsx (raw data for the IL-1 β ELISA)
- 200514 sucrose permeability data.xlsx (brain, CSF and plasma levels of sucrose in pregnant rats and fetuses)
- RA708 chronic high dose paracetamol.zip (plasma protein and H&E stained sections in Figure 10, A: RA708-50-04 HE x4.jpg, B: RA708-46-05 PP x4.jpg, C: RA708-46-05 PP x40.jpg)
- RA677 acute high dose paracetamol.zip (plasma protein stained section in Figure 10, D: RA677-41-03 x40 B.jpg)
- 20191204 AFP loExp 1.tif (original unedited western blot image for Figure 8)

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#) (CC-BY 4.0).

Acknowledgements

RNA-Sequencing was undertaken by The Australian Genome Research Facility, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria.

References

- Afgan E, Dannon Baker D, Batut B, *et al.*: **The Galaxy Platform for Accessible, Reproducible and Collaborative Biomedical Analyses: 2018 Update.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018; **46**(W1): W537–W544.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Anthony DC, Bolton SJ, Fearn S, *et al.*: **Age-related effects of interleukin-1 beta on polymorphonuclear neutrophil-dependent increases in blood-brain barrier permeability in rats.** *Brain.* 1997; **120**(Pt 3): 435–444.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Australian Medicines Handbook Pty Ltd: Adelaide SA, Australia. 2019.
[Reference Source](#)
- Bauer AZ, Kriebel D, Herbert MR, *et al.*: **Prenatal paracetamol exposure and child neurodevelopment: A review.** *Horm Behav.* 2018; **101**: 125–147.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Birch HE, Schreiber G: **Transcriptional regulation of plasma protein synthesis during inflammation.** *J Biol Chem.* 1986; **261**(18): 8077–8080.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Blood DC, Studdert VP, Gay CC: **Saunders Comprehensive Veterinary Dictionary**, 3 ed. © Elsevier, Inc. 2007.
[Reference Source](#)
- Briggs GG, Freeman RK, Towers CV, *et al.*: **Drugs in Pregnancy and Lactation: A Reference Guide to Fetal and Neonatal Risk.** 11th Ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins (LWW). 2017.
[Reference Source](#)
- Brochu ME, Girard S, Lavoie K, *et al.*: **Developmental regulation of the neuroinflammatory responses to LPS and/or hypoxia-ischemia between preterm and term neonates: An experimental study.** *J Neuroinflammation.* 2011; **8**: 55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Brune K, Renner B, Tieggs G: **Acetaminophen/paracetamol: A history of errors, failures and false decisions.** *Eur J Pain.* 2015; **19**(7): 953–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Challis JR, Lockwood CJ, Myatt L, *et al.*: **Inflammation and pregnancy.** *Reprod Sci.* 2009; **16**(2): 206–215.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Clancy B, Darlington RB, Finlay BL: **Translating developmental time across mammalian species.** *Neuroscience.* 2001; **105**(1): 7–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dawe GS, Tan XW, Xiao ZC: **Cell migration from baby to mother.** *Cell Adh Migr.* 2007; **1**(1): 19–29.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Dreyer NA, Blackburn SC, Mt-Isa S, *et al.*: **Direct-to-Patient Research: Piloting a New Approach to Understanding Drug Safety During Pregnancy.** *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* 2015; **1**(2): e22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Dziegielewska KM, Evans CA, Lai PC, *et al.*: **Proteins in Cerebrospinal Fluid and Plasma of Fetal Rats During Development.** *Dev Biol.* 1981; **83**(1): 193–200.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Fischer N, Steurer MA, Adams M, *et al.*: **Survival rates of extremely preterm infants (gestational age < 26 weeks) in Switzerland: impact of the Swiss guidelines for the care of infants born at the limit of viability.** *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2009; **94**(6): F407–F413.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Habgood MD, Sedgwick JE, Dziegielewska KM, *et al.*: **A Developmentally Regulated Blood-Cerebrospinal Fluid Transfer Mechanism for Albumin in Immature Rats.** *J Physiol.* 1992; **456**: 181–192.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Habgood MD, Knott GW, Dziegielewska KM, *et al.*: **The Nature of the Decrease in Blood-Cerebrospinal Fluid Barrier Exchange During Postnatal Brain Development in the Rat.** *J Physiol.* 1993; **468**: 73–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Hagberg H, Mallard C, Ferriero DM, *et al.*: **The role of inflammation in perinatal brain injury.** *Nat Rev Neurol.* 2015; **11**(4): 192–208.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Heinrich PC, Castell JV, Andus T: **Interleukin-6 and the acute phase response.** *Biochem J.* 1990; **265**(3): 621–636.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Hu J, Zhang J, Chan Y, *et al.*: **A rat model of placental inflammation explains the unexplained elevated maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes.** *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* 2019; **45**(10): 1980–1988.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: **Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID Bioinformatics resources.** *Nature Protoc.* 2009a; **4**(1): 44–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: **Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009b; **37**(1): 1–13.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Huleihel M, Golan H, Hallak M: **Intrauterine infection/inflammation during pregnancy and offspring brain damages: possible mechanisms involved.** *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* 2004; **2**: 17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Johansson PA, Dziegielewska KM, Liddelow SA, *et al.*: **The blood-CSF Barrier Explained: When Development Is Not Immaturity.** *Bioessays.* 2008; **30**(3): 237–48.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Koehn L, Dziegielewska KM, Mollgard K, *et al.*: **Developmental differences in the expression of ABC transporters at rat brain barrier interfaces following chronic exposure to diallyl Sulfide.** *Sci Rep.* 2019a; **9**(1): 5998.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Koehn L, Habgood M, Huang Y, *et al.*: **Determinants of drug entry into the developing brain [version 1; peer review: 3 approved].** *F1000Res.* 2019b; **8**: 1372.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Koehn L, Huang Y, Habgood M, *et al.*: **Effects of paracetamol on rat placenta and fetal brain.** University of Melbourne. 2020.
<http://www.doi.org/10.26188/5ebff4c2781a0>
- Koehn L, Huang Y, Habgood MD, *et al.*: **Efflux transporter transcriptome in rat placenta and developing brain: response to paracetamol.** Unpublished Reports.
- Mackiewicz A, Ganapathi MK, Schultz D, *et al.*: **Transforming growth factor beta 1 regulates production of acute-phase proteins.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1990; **87**(4): 1491–1495.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Mudunuri U, Che A, Yi M, *et al.*: **bioDBnet: the biological database network.** *Bioinformatics.* 2009; **25**(4): 555–556.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Nelson KB, Dambrosia JM, Grether JK, *et al.*: **Neonatal cytokines and coagulation factors in children with cerebral palsy.** *Ann Neurol.* 1998; **44**(4): 665–675.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Rayburn W, Aronow R, DeLancey B, *et al.*: **Drug overdose during pregnancy: an overview from a metropolitan poison control center.** *Obstet Gynecol.* 1984; **64**(5): 611–614.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Romero R, Gotsch F, Pineles B, *et al.*: **Inflammation in pregnancy: its roles in reproductive physiology, obstetrical complications, and fetal injury.** *Nutr Rev.* 2007; **65**(12 Pt 2): S194–202.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Salafia CM, Mangam HE, Weigl CA, *et al.*: **Abnormal fetal heart rate patterns and placental inflammation.** *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1989; **160**(1): 140–147.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Seyednasrollah F, Rantanen K, Jaakkola P, *et al.*: **ROTS: reproducible RNA-seq biomarker detector—prognostic markers for clear cell renal cell cancer.** *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016; **44**(1): e1.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Soneson C, Delorenzi M: **A comparison of methods for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data.** *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2013; **14**: 91.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, *et al.*: **Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network.** *Pediatrics.* 2010; **126**(3): 443–456.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Stolp HB, Dziegielewska KM, Ek CJ, *et al.*: **Breakdown of the blood-brain barrier to proteins in white matter of the developing brain following systemic inflammation.** *Cell Tissue Res.* 2005a; **320**(3): 369–378.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Stolp HB, Dziegielewska KM, Ek CJ, *et al.*: **Long-term changes in blood-brain barrier permeability and white matter following prolonged systemic inflammation in early development in the rat.** *Euro J Neurosci.* 2005b; **22**(11): 2805–2816.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Thiele K, Solano ME, Huber S, *et al.*: **Prenatal Acetaminophen Affects Maternal Immune and Endocrine Adaptation to Pregnancy, Induces Placental Damage, and Impairs Fetal Development in Mice.** *Am J Pathol.* 2015; **185**(10): 2805–2818.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Thornton C, Leav B, Mallard C, *et al.*: **Cell Death in the Developing Brain after Hypoxia-Ischemia.** *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2017; **11**: 248.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Tian X, Zhu M, Du L, *et al.*: **Intrauterine inflammation increases materno-fetal transfer of gold nanoparticles in a size-dependent manner in murine pregnancy.** *Small.* 2013; **9**(14): 2432–2439.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Tu GF, De Jong F, Apostolopoulos J, *et al.*: **Effect of acute inflammation on rat apolipoprotein mRNA levels.** *Inflammation.* 1987; **11**(2): 241–251.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Vranckx R, Savu L, Cohen A, *et al.*: **Inflammatory competence of fetal rat: acute-phase plasma protein response of the fetus treated by turpentine in utero.** *Inflammation.* 1989; **13**(1): 79–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wyszynski DF, Shields KE: **Frequency and type of medications and vaccines used during pregnancy.** *Obstet Med.* 2016; **9**(1): 21–27.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 20 July 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.26605.r64436>

© 2020 Ferretti P. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Patrizia Ferretti

Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine Section, UCL Institute of Child Health, University College London, London, UK

This paper addresses an important and neglected question of potential negative effects of paracetamol during pregnancy. It examines gene expression changes in placenta and foetal brain and also presents out a number of functional studies to establish whether placenta permeability or brain barriers in the fetus are affected by the treatment. The study reports significant changes in the expression of a number of genes, including genes associated with the immune response, and validates changes in one of these genes, *IL1β*, at the protein level in the placenta. While in some respects this study is still preliminary, the information presented here is valuable for underpinning future studies.

The authors clearly explain their choice of the end point (E19) selected, but not the reason for starting the chronic treatment at E15, rather than earlier, when important developmental events occur and teratogenic effects might be more likely and significant.

Specific Comments:

P6: It is not clear why only the t-test was used when comparing multiple groups, as ANOVA followed by a post-hoc test should have been used.

On p7 the authors say "...65 up-regulated and 57 down-regulated...", but Fig. 1 indicates 64 up-regulated genes, consistent with the total of 121 up and down-regulated transcripts indicated in the right column.

The authors indicate that expression of 737 genes is significantly affected by chronic treatment, but do not show the level of significance. Does this mean that p is <0.05 (but never <0.01 or smaller) for all transcripts?

Table 1 and 3. It would be helpful to colour code genes that change in both acute and chronic

treatment groups and use thicker vertical lines between groups for ease of visualization.

Table 2 includes genes that are not in the top 50 shown in Table 1, and this should be clearly stated (at a first glance the Table seemed a bit redundant). As for Table 1, the level of significance should be indicated. The Table could be made it easier to read if the “up-regulated (acute/control)” genes were shown below the “up-regulated (chronic/control)”, rather than in adjacent columns, or were clearly separated using a thicker vertical line. In addition, it is confusing to have a column “chronic/control” under the “up-regulated (acute/control)” list. This seems to have been done to accommodate S100a rather than inserting it under each comparison. Please check carefully that the difference indicated in different Tables are the same (e.g. S100a8 has a FC 2.25 in Tab1 and FC 2.26 in Table 2). “Il1b” should be changed to “Il1 β ”. A pie chart of the inflammatory genes to complement Table 2 and Fig. 3 would be useful.

P17, left column, top and Fig. 4. There is clearly variability, but to give numbers of fetuses where Il1 β levels could be detected over total numbers assayed for all groups would be more accurate and informative (e.g. acute 2/4, chronic low 7/16 and chronic high 10/19) than including these number only for the chronic high group, which appears to be wrongly given as 19/39, while the number of fetuses indicated for this group in Fig. 5 legend is 19.

Figs 6 and 7 do not include error bars and no statistical analysis of these data seems to have been performed. It should be clearly indicated whether there was no statistical difference among groups at any time point studied.

P20, left column, top. The statement: “AFP levels were higher in all treated dams compared to an un-treated control.” should be revised, as Fig. 8 shows an AFP increase only in chronically treated dams. It is a pity that the number of dams is too small to assess the significance of this observation and that no housekeeping protein was used to normalize AFP expression. If B is a densitometry of the gel in A, where according to the western blot labelling and the legend there is only 1 control for both dam and fetus, why are there 2 samples indicated in the controls in the charts? Given the low sample numbers and variability, particularly in fetal AFP levels, expressing the data as ratio is not appropriate.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: stem cells, tissue repair, developmental biology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response () 02 Aug 2020

Norman Saunders, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia

We should like to thank Professor Ferretti for her detailed review of this paper and in particular for her helpful suggestions for clarification and improvement of presentation of the results of this study. We provide responses below to all of the matters raised by Professor Ferretti.

This paper addresses an important and neglected question of potential negative effects of paracetamol during pregnancy. It examines gene expression changes in placenta and foetal brain and also presents out a number of functional studies to establish whether placenta permeability or brain barriers in the fetus are affected by the treatment. The study reports significant changes in the expression of a number of genes, including genes associated with the immune response, and validates changes in one of these genes, *Il1 β* , at the protein level in the placenta. While in some respects this study is still preliminary, the information presented here is valuable for underpinning future studies.

The authors clearly explain their choice of the end point (E19) selected, but not the reason for starting the chronic treatment at E15, rather than earlier, when important developmental events occur and teratogenic effects might be more likely and significant.

The chronic treatment was limited to the last week of pregnancy in the rats, starting at E15, because it involved twice daily intraperitoneal (IP) injections of the drug and we were concerned that a longer treatment was an unreasonable imposition on the animals. In addition, it is well known that pregnant rats, if unduly stressed, are prone to aborting their fetuses. Also as mentioned in the 1st paragraph of the Discussion, the period of treatment covers about 25% of the gestational period of the rat, which is the main period that we are currently interested in. Oral medication would have been an alternative but it gives much less control over the amount of drug administered than when administered by IP injection. To have any control of oral administration requires monitoring of blood levels of a drug (itself an invasive process). This was not available at the time of this study but has now been developed for future studies. In relation to possible teratogenic effects, this was not an aim of the study and would, as the Reviewer indicates, require administration earlier in pregnancy. There is only limited information on possible teratogenic effects of paracetamol, probably because it came into clinical use long before specific regulatory requirements (e.g. FDA) for teratogenic testing in animals came into force.

Studies involving limited epidemiological data have concluded that there is no evidence of an association between paracetamol ingestion and congenital malformations (Briggs et al. 2019,

pp8-11).

Specific Comments:

P6: It is not clear why only the t-test was used when comparing multiple groups, as ANOVA followed by a post-hoc test should have been used.

For the analysis of RNA-Seq data, the t-test is part of the packages we used and includes built in posthoc corrections for multiple comparisons. For the data on IL1 β the advice we have from our departmental statistical expert is that t-tests are appropriate for this type of research: (Lew M.J. (2019) A Reckless Guide to P-values. In: Bessalov A., Michel M., Steckler T. (eds) Good Research Practice in Non-Clinical Pharmacology and Biomedicine. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, vol 257. Springer, Cham.).

Nevertheless in view of the Reviewer's comment we have run the data through ANOVA followed by Tukey's posthoc test. The significance levels are the same as those we obtained with a t-test. We have added this information to the Methods subsection "Statistics".

On p7 the authors say "...65 up-regulated and 57 down-regulated....", but Fig. 1 indicates 64 up-regulated genes, consistent with the total of 121 up and down-regulated transcripts indicated in the right column.

We thank the Reviewer for drawing our attention to this error which has been corrected.

The authors indicate that expression of 737 genes is significantly affected by chronic treatment, but do not show the level of significance. Does this mean that p is <0.05 (but never <0.01 or smaller) for all transcripts?

As indicated in the Methods section on "Statistical Analysis" we used $P < 0.05$ for two of the three analyses used. We focussed on genes with large fold changes as these are more likely to be of functional significance than would be indicated by a higher level of statistical significance.

Table 1 and 3. It would be helpful to colour code genes that change in both acute and chronic treatment groups and use thicker vertical lines between groups for ease of visualization.

We thank the Reviewer for this suggestion. The Tables have been modified accordingly. The treatment groups are now separated by a gap. The colour coding highlights some interesting differences in the number of genes that responded in the different treatment groups. A note of this has been added to the Table legends and in the text.

Table 2 includes genes that are not in the top 50 shown in Table 1, and this should be clearly stated (at a first glance the Table seemed a bit redundant).

This Table shows only inflammatory and immune-related genes and therefore some genes in the top 50 in Table 1 do not appear here. This is now indicated in the legend.

As for Table 1, the level of significance should be indicated.

P<0.05 added to legend.

The Table could be made it easier to read if the “up-regulated (acute/control)” genes were shown below the “up-regulated (chronic/control)”, rather than in adjacent columns, or were clearly separated using a thicker vertical line.

This change would make a very long 2 column table. We prefer the helpful suggestion that the columns should be separated which we have done with a narrow blank column

In addition, it is confusing to have a column “chronic/control” under the “up-regulated (acute/control)” list. This seems to have been done to accommodate S100a rather than inserting it under each comparison.

Unfortunately in the editorial process of preparing the pdf from the submitted Table spreadsheets some of the down-regulated genes have been sliced off and put incorrectly under the up-regulated categories. We are puzzled by this as the proof we received to check was correct. I have discussed this with the Editorial Office who have indicated that they will make sure this does not occur in the next version.

Please check carefully that the difference indicated in different Tables are the same (e.g. S100a8 has a FC 2.25 in Table1 and FC 2.26 in Table 2).

This was due to a difference in rounding, which has now been corrected.

“Il1b” should be changed to “Il1 β ”.

Il1b is the notation used in the gene data base ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, we would prefer to retain this notation in tables.

A pie chart of the inflammatory genes to complement Table 2 and Fig. 3 would be useful.

We generally find that pie charts are not helpful and would prefer not to make this addition.

P17, left column, top and Fig. 4. There is clearly variability, but to give numbers of fetuses where Il1 β levels could be detected over total numbers assayed for all groups would be more accurate and informative (e.g. acute 2/4, chronic low 7/16 and chronic high 10/19) than including these number only for the chronic high group, which appears to be wrongly given as 19/39, while the number of fetuses indicated for this group in Fig. 5 legend is 19.

We think that the Reviewer is probably referring to Fig 5. We agree that the way of representing these data that the Reviewer has suggested is clearer. This has been incorporated into the text (bottom P30). Figure 5 has been modified to make it clearer that values were obtained from 4 control fetuses. The legend has been re-written to make it clearer how many dams and fetuses were involved in this part of the study.

Figs 6 and 7 do not include error bars and no statistical analysis of these data seems to have

been performed. It should be clearly indicated whether there was no statistical difference among groups at any time point studied.

Each point is a single fetus. The n values represent the number of fetuses in each treatment group. The legend has been rewritten to explain this more clearly.

P20, left column, top. The statement: "AFP levels were higher in all treated dams compared to an un-treated control." should be revised, as Fig. 8 shows an AFP increase only in chronically treated dams.

This has been revised to state that there was an increase in dams' AFP only in the chronically treated animals.

It is a pity that the number of dams is too small to assess the significance of this observation and that no housekeeping protein was used to normalize AFP expression. If B is a densitometry of the gel in A, where according to the western blot labelling and the legend there is only 1 control for both dam and fetus, why are there 2 samples indicated in the controls in the charts? Given the low sample numbers and variability, particularly in fetal AFP levels, expressing the data as ratio is not appropriate.

We agree that it is a pity that the numbers were very small, but we were constrained by the effects of being shut out of our laboratories for several months because of the coronavirus emergency. In general the only way to obtain accurate AFP values is to measure the actual concentrations of the protein. We are very aware that Western blots are only semi-quantitative at best. We attempted to make the gels from which we took measurements as comparable as possible within each age group by using similar volumes of plasma (or diluted sample). The concentrations of plasma proteins vary between different animals and are not related to each other therefore using albumin as a reference protein would not provide more clarity. We thank the reviewer for drawing our attention to the discrepancy in control adult numbers in the western blots (A) and in the densitometry readings (B). This has been corrected.

Competing Interests: The authors have no competing interests

Reviewer Report 07 July 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.26605.r64439>

© 2020 Bainton R. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Roland J. Bainton

Department of Anesthesia and Perioperative Care, University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), San Francisco, CA, USA

Overview.

The authors present a well-controlled immaculately-conceived and artfully interpreted paper on proteomic and genomic responses of the mammalian placenta to the most commonly administered drug in the world, paracetamol.

The results of this paper are quite profound and long overdue. There are very few studies that attempt as complete an evaluation of drug response and in an organ specific manner. This authorship team has exploited the most pertinent drug interfaces for chemoprotection of the fetus to glimpse the system of toxicologicologic protection of the developing fetus and brain. They exploit two well known pharmacologically highly regulated barrier interfaces: the placenta and BBB. As experts on barrier development they have the right expertise to measure the developmental role and robustness of these understudied barrier interfaces to the drug paracetamol. Tylenol (as known by the US brand name) is a ubiquitous pharmacologic agent used world-wide for the abrogation of pain and systemic suppression of inflammation. While deemed one of the safest medications ever invented because of its common utilization by every age group and gender, and its long standing well-described clinical toxicities suggests that it has been vetted for safety over and over. But with the right question and under the correct experimental circumstance profound novel sensitivities in the physiology of mammals can be discovered. Such is the insight of this manuscript.

Of note.

The paper is very complete. They demonstrate both acute and chronic changes to the placenta transcriptome with strong statistical relevance. Interestingly the chronic and acute genetic changes have few if any overlapping genes suggesting that long term toxicologic homeostasis may have very different effects to fetal development than single dosing. Thus, as noted by the authors, the use for the control of acute inflammatory responses may be warranted, but chronic ingestion any substance should be view with caution when the developing fetus is concerned.

Conclusion.

This paper is well conceived, clearly written and expertly interpreted. Safety profiles of drugs are in flux and whether vertebrate homeostatic metabolic responses to drug exposure, acute or chronic, is truly benign is an open question. These authors clearly demonstrate, by the discovery of soluble protein changes in dosing of paracetamol, that there is more to learn about drug toxicology, in particular at the chemoprotective interfaces of the body, in this case the placenta and BBB. While the consequences of these proteomic changes are unclear they are corroborated by profound compensations in the transcriptional profiles of the placenta. Interestingly, the placental barrier does the lion share of compensation as the BBB of pups is nearly unchanged. This is a reassuring finding for the developing brain, but leaves many unanswered questions about how the fetus may affect maternal physiology (as noted by the authors).

The implications of this study are profound and not only for the use of paracetamol. In this paper they describe a road map for the study of all drugs that could have maternal fetal interactions and provide the physiologic and genomic insights to back up their assertions. Indeed their proposed experiments in pregnant women to follow up on their findings would be very important to the management of pregnancy and to the field of maternal/fetal physiology as a whole.

I love this paper. BRAVO!

Major Issues

None.

Minor Issues.

None.

Typos.

None.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Blood brain barrier, genomic toxicology, biomarkers of stress and tissue injury, blood diagnostics, metabolic compensations of the CNS

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response () 03 Aug 2020

Norman Saunders, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia

We thank Dr Bainton for his detailed and perceptive commentary on our study of paracetamol/acetaminophen in pregnancy and in the newborn period. We particularly appreciate his view that our findings raise serious concerns about the use of this drug in pregnancy. At this stage we have only animal data that raises concerns, but we plan to follow up with human studies insofar as this is possible. We hope that our findings will give pause for thought by the regulatory authorities and doctors who regard paracetamol/acetaminophen as "safe" to be used in pregnancy and breast feeding,

especially as the concept of “being safe” for any drug is a dubious one, and particularly for one that is used so frequently. We also appreciate the Reviewer’s comment that our approach provides a “roadmap” for studies of the many drugs that are prescribed in pregnancy about which there is little or no evidence on entry across the placenta and into the fetal brain. We are currently undertaking studies of psychotropic and anti-epileptic drugs as well new drugs introduced for the treatment of cystic fibrosis. Of course, the best outcome would be to find that little or no drug crosses the placenta and enters into the fetal brain.

Dr Bainton’s comments are very important in helping to maintain this type of *in vivo* study.

Competing Interests: The authors have no competing interests to declare

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research