RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effect of sodium hypochlorite solution and gel with/without passive ultrasonic irrigation on *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli* and their endotoxins [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Amjad Abu Hasna¹ , Laiana Pereira Da Silva¹, Fernanda Carvalho Pelegrini¹, Cláudia Luísa Ribeiro Ferreira¹, Luciane Dias de Oliveira², Cláudio Antonio Talge Carvalho¹

¹Department of Restorative Dentistry, Endodontic Division., São Paulo State University (UNESP), Institute of Science and Technology, São José dos Campos, São Paulo, 12245000, Brazil

²Department of Biosciences and Oral Diagnosis, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Institute of Science and Technology, São José dos Campos, São Paulo, 12245000, Brazil

V1 First published: 24 Jun 2020, 9:642
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24721.1>

Latest published: 24 Jun 2020, 9:642
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24721.1>

Abstract

Background: Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is the most commonly used irrigant in endodontics. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of NaOCl solution (2.5%) and gel (3%) with/without passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) on *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, and their endotoxins, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and lipoteichoic acid (LTA).

Methods: 40 human lower premolars were contaminated with *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) for 28 days and *E. faecalis* (ATCC 29212) for 21 days. Specimens were randomly divided into four groups: (1) 2.5% NaOCl irrigating the canals without PUI activation; (2) 2.5% NaOCl with PUI; (3) 3% NaOCl gel irrigating the canals without PUI; and (4) 3% NaOCl gel with PUI. 40 mL of irrigant was used for each group. PUI activation was carried out using E1-Irrisonic stainless-steel tip at 10% frequency. After treatment, all specimens were filled with 3mL of 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) for 3min and then washed with nonpyrogenic saline solution. Three samples were collected from the canals: S1, at baseline to confirm biofilm formation; S2 after treatment; and S3 after EDTA. Samples were assessed for *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* colony forming units, and LPS and LTA were assessed using chromogenic kinetic LAL assay and ELISA, respectively. Data were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis, Friedman and Dunn tests with $\alpha \leq 0.05$.

Results: All groups were effective in reducing the microbial load of *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* after treatment without a significant difference among the groups. NaOCl and NaOCl gel groups had no significant

Open Peer Review

Approval Status

	1	2
version 1
24 Jun 2020	view	view
1. Talal Al-Nahlawi , Syrian Private University, Damascus, Syria		
2. Brenda P. F. A. Gomes , State University of Campinas-UNICAMP, Piracicaba, Brazil		
Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.		

difference in reducing LPS and LTA. Statistically increased reduction was seen for NaOCL + PUI and NaOCl gel + PUI compared for groups without PUI.

Conclusions: NaOCl gel has the same antimicrobial action of NaOCl solution and can partially detoxify endotoxins. PUI improves NaOCl (gel or solution) action over *E. faecalis* and *E. coli* and their endotoxins.

Keywords

Sodium hypochlorite, Passive ultrasonic irrigation, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, Endotoxins.

Corresponding author: Amjad Abu Hasna (d.d.s.amjad@gmail.com)

Author roles: **Abu Hasna A:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Pereira Da Silva L:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software; **Pelegrini FC:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization; **Ferreira CLR:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization; **de Oliveira LD:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision; **Carvalho CAT:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Pibic-CNPq [2016/ 38890].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2020 Abu Hasna A *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Abu Hasna A, Pereira Da Silva L, Pelegrini FC *et al.* **Effect of sodium hypochlorite solution and gel with/without passive ultrasonic irrigation on *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli* and their endotoxins [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2020, 9:642 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24721.1>

First published: 24 Jun 2020, 9:642 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24721.1>

Introduction

Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is the most commonly used irrigant in endodontics (Iqbal, 2012). It has been used since the second half of the 18th century (Sedgley, 2004) because it has antimicrobial action (Luebke, 1967) and dissolves necrotic tissues (Taylor & Austin, 1918).

Enterococcus faecalis is a Gram-positive bacterium found in the root canal system (RCS) and can be disinfected by NaOCl (Siqueira *et al.*, 2000). It may also be found in secondary infections of endodontically treated teeth (Machado *et al.*, 2020). In addition, *Escherichia coli*, a Gram-negative bacterium, is also found in endodontic infections (Narayanan & Vaishnavi, 2010).

Bacteria have endotoxins in their outer membrane known as lipoteichoic acid (LTA) in Gram-positive bacteria (Ginsburg, 2002) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in Gram-negative bacteria (Mergenhausen & Varah, 1963). Endotoxins can be released during the duplication or death of these bacteria in infected RCS and this has a role in developing periapical lesions (Endo *et al.*, 2012). Endodontic treatment using NaOCl can detoxify endotoxins, but not completely (Cavalli *et al.*, 2017).

NaOCl is a cytotoxic substance (Salazar-Mercado *et al.*, 2019); overflow during endodontic treatment can cause diverse exacerbations (Goswami *et al.*, 2014). Thus, NaOCl gel may be safer due to its minor apical extrusion tendency (Nesser & Bshara, 2019). Passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) improves RCS disinfection (Plotino *et al.*, 2007), removing the smear layer and vapor lock during endodontic treatment (Bueno *et al.*, 2019; Dioguardi *et al.*, 2019), and permits greater penetration of irrigants to the dentinal tubules (Sáinz-Pardo *et al.*, 2014).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of NaOCl solution (2.5%) and gel (3%) with/without PUI on *E. faecalis*, *E. coli*, and their endotoxins, LTA and LPS, respectively.

Methods

This study was approved by the research ethics committee of São Paulo State University, Institute of Science and Technology (n°1.504.995). The teeth used in this study were obtained

from clinics where teeth are donated during routine procedures and following authorization of the patients. The research team presented the terms of donation by the clinics from which the teeth were obtained to the research ethics committee when submitting the study methodology. A total of 40 human lower premolars were collected (based on dimensional and morphological similarities).

Specimen preparation

To standardize root canal diameter, the teeth were initially instrumented with a #30 K-file (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) and irrigated with 5 mL of NaOCl 1% for each file used. The canals were dried with sterile paper points (Dentsply Ind Com LTDA, RJ, Petrópolis, Brazil) and the apical region was sealed with light-cured resin composites (3M Dental Products, St Paul, MN). The outer surfaces of the specimens were covered with two layers of epoxy adhesive (Araldite - Brascola, Sao Paulo, Brazil), except the cervical opening (de Oliveira *et al.*, 2007). Then they were fixed with chemically activated acrylic resin in 24-well plates and sterilized by gamma radiation with cobalt 60 (20 KGy for 6 hours) (Csako *et al.*, 1983).

Biofilm formation

Specimens were contaminated with *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) for 28 days and *E. faecalis* (ATCC 29212) for 21 days and incubated at 37°±1°C, following the protocol of Maekawa *et al.* (2013).

Experimental groups

Specimens were divided into four experimental groups (n=10/group) as follows: (1) 2.5% NaOCl (Asfer, São Caetano do Sul, São Paulo, Brazil) irrigating the canals without PUI activation; (2) 2.5% NaOCl irrigating the canals with PUI; (3) 3% NaOCl gel (Ultradent, South Jordan, UT, USA) irrigating the canals without PUI; and (4) 3% NaOCl gel irrigating the canals with PUI. All specimens were instrumented as a part of the biomechanical preparation by Reciproc R40 (VDW, Munich, Germany) following the protocol of each experimental group (Table 1).

PUI activation was performed using an E1-Irrisonic stainless-steel tip (Helse, Santa Rosa de Viterbo, Brazil) (0.10mm in diameter) at the working length using CVDente 100 ultrasound

Table 1. Protocols for experimental groups. NaOCl, sodium hypochlorite; PUI, passive ultrasonic irrigation.

Experimental group	Irrigation protocol (repeated three times in each third of the root canal)	Final wash
NaOCl	5 mL of NaOCl 2.5% during instrumentation without PUI and then 5 mL remained in the canal without any activation.	10 mL of 2.5% NaOCl solution without PUI activation.
NaOCl + PUI	5 mL of NaOCl 2.5% during instrumentation without PUI and then 5 mL activated with PUI	10 mL of 2.5% NaOCl solution activated with PUI.
NaOCl gel	Filled with 2 mL of 3% NaOCl gel and irrigated with 10 mL of saline solution during instrumentation without PUI.	Filled with 2 mL of 3% NaOCl gel and irrigated with 10 mL of saline solution without PUI activation.
NaOCl gel + PUI	Filled with 1 mL of 3% NaOCl gel and irrigated with 10mL of saline solution during instrumentation without PUI and then filled with 1 mL of 3% NaOCl gel and irrigated with 10mL of saline solution activated with PUI	Filled with 2 mL of 3% NaOCl gel and irrigated with 10 mL of saline solution activated with PUI.

activator (CVDentus, São José dos Campos, Brazil) at 10% frequency.

After treatment, all specimens were filled with 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Biodinâmica, Ibiporã, PR, Brazil) for 3min and then washed with nonpyrogenic saline solution.

Sample collection

Three samples were collected during the experiment, as in (Mackawa *et al.*, 2013): S1, at baseline to confirm biofilm formation; S2, immediately after treatment; and S3, after EDTA application.

Colony forming unit (CFU/mL)

Serial dilutions of all samples were performed with sterile saline solution and aliquots of 30µl of each sample were seeded in two different culture medias: Enterococcosel agar (Becton, Dickinson and Company Sparks, MD, USA) for *E. faecalis*; and MacConkey agar (Himedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India) for *E. coli*. The plates were kept at 37°C for 24h and then CFU/mL were counted.

Quantification of LPS/LTA levels

LPS levels in each sample was assessed as in Machado *et al.* (2020) using kinetic chromogenic limulus amebocyte lysate assay (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA). The plates were incubated at 37±1°C for 10 min in a KineticQCL reader, which was coupled to a computer with the WinKQCL software (Lonza). As soon as the kinetic test started, absorbance at 405 nm was read in each microplate well and automatically calculated the log/log linear correlation between reaction time of each standard solution and corresponding endotoxin concentration.

LTA was assessed using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using ELISA 96-well plates (Nunc Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) sensitized with anti-LTA monoclonal antibody (manufacturer) and kept overnight at relative humidity. Next day, the plates were washed with a wash buffer (PBS with 0.05% Tween 20) and incubated with a blocking buffer (PBS with 2% bovine serum albumin, BSA) for 1 h at room temperature. Then, they were washed with a wash buffer and received 100 µL of the samples collected and 100 µL of the LTA standard followed by serial 2-fold dilutions (standard curve) and maintained for 2 hours at room temperature. Afterwards, the plates were washed again and 100 µL of anti-LTA antibody was added for 1 hour at room temperature. The plates were washed again and 100 µl of horseradish peroxidase HRP conjugated rabbit IgG antibody was added for 1 hour at room temperature. Lastly, the plates were washed, and the reaction was developed using tetramethylbenzidine (TMB). After 20 min under the light, 50 µL of stop solution (2 N sulfuric acid) was added to each well of the plate and optical densities were read in the microtiter plate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA) at 450 nm absorbance (Machado *et al.*, 2020).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis, Friedmann and Dunn tests with $\alpha \leq 0.05$ by GraphPad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA, USA)

Results

All experimental groups were effective in reducing the microbial load (CFU/mL) of *E. coli* (Figure 1) and *E. faecalis* (Figure 2) in S2 (from S1 levels). There was no significant

Figure 1. Statistical difference among the samples of each experimental group for *E. coli* (CFU/mL). CFU, colony forming units; NaOCl, sodium hypochlorite; PUI, passive ultrasonic irrigation.

Figure 2. Statistical difference among the samples of each experimental group in *E. faecalis* (CFU/mL). CFU, colony forming units; NaOCl, sodium hypochlorite; PUI, passive ultrasonic irrigation.

difference among the experimental groups for S2 or S3 (Table 2).

NaOCl and NaOCl gel groups had no significant difference in reducing LPS and LTA at S2. Groups with PUI showed a statistically increased reduction in LPS and LTA compared with groups without PUI (Figure 3). As a limitation of this study, the LPS and LTA levels were not assessed in S3.

Discussion

Well endodontically treated teeth fail mainly because of secondary intraradicular infection (Siqueira, 2001). *E. faecalis* and *E. coli*, among other bacteria, are the most detected microorganisms in periapical lesions (Geibel *et al.*, 2005).

The use of NaOCl as an irrigant cannot be over-emphasized. In this study, it was effective in disinfecting *E. faecalis* and *E. coli* (Table 2). These results agree with the results of Siqueira *et al.* (2000), who showed that NaOCl was an effective irrigant over *E. faecalis* at different concentrations (1%, 2.5%, and 5.25%). In addition, Valera *et al.* (2009) showed that 1% NaOCl is effective in disinfecting *E. faecalis* inoculated in the RCS. There have also been more recent studies that have the same results (Carvalho *et al.*, 2020; Plutzer *et al.*, 2018; Valera *et al.*, 2014). Similarly for *E. coli*, NaOCl has been reported in the literature as an effective irrigant in disinfecting microorganisms in the RCS lumen at 2.5% concentration (Maekawa *et al.*, 2011) and in preventing *E. coli* regrowth (Valera *et al.*, 2015).

In the present study, NaOCl was not effective in detoxifying LPS and LTA completely (Figure 3). In support of this, the

literature has shown that NaOCl is not effective in reducing endotoxin levels in the RCS (de Oliveira *et al.*, 2007), i.e. it reduces the endotoxin level but does not completely eliminate them (Neelakantan *et al.*, 2019). However, adding chloride alkali electrolyte-stable anionic surfactant has been shown to improve NaOCl effectivity because it reduces superficial tension (Valera *et al.*, 2015).

NaOCl gel has been suggested as an alternative endodontic irrigant because theoretically it has the same antimicrobial action of NaOCl solution, but with less apical extrusion and could thus be safer (Nesser & Bshara, 2019). It is effective in reducing the microbial load, but has been shown to be less effective when compared to NaOCl solution of a lower concentration (Poggio *et al.*, 2010; Zand *et al.*, 2016). In the present study, NaOCl gel was shown to be just as effective as NaOCl solution in reducing microbial load.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies in the literature evaluating the effect of NaOCl gel over endotoxins. In this study it was statistically as effective as the solution. But both were more effective when combined with PUI as it increases NaOCl penetration into dentinal tubules (Faria *et al.*, 2019). The present study is novel as there are no studies evaluating how PUI can affect NaOCl solution or gel action on endotoxins.

PUI is still being studied due to divergence of results in the literature. For example, Paiva *et al.* (2013) used PUI after instrumentation and showed it was ineffective in reducing microbial load; however, Mohammed *et al.* (2018) showed that PUI is effective in biofilm removal from lateral canals. However, this

Table 2. Median of colony forming units/mL for *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* in all samples (S1, S2 and S3). NaOCl, sodium hypochlorite; PUI, passive ultrasonic irrigation.

Samples	<i>E. coli</i>			<i>E. faecalis</i>		
	S1	S2	S3	S1	S2	S3
NaOCl	30x10 ⁴ (366x10 ⁴ - 10x10 ⁴) A-a	0 (0-0) B-a	0 (0-0) B-a	314.95x10 ⁴ (68x10 ⁵ - 56.6x10 ⁴) A-a	0 (0-0) B-a	0 (0-0) B-a
NaOCl + PUI	983x10 ⁴ (29x10 ⁶ - 3x10 ⁶) A-b	0 (0-0) B-a	0 (0-0) B-a	585x10 ⁴ (79x10 ⁵ -26.6x10 ⁴) A-a	0 (0-0) B-a	0 (0-0) B-a
NaOCl gel	45x10 ⁴ (756x10 ⁴ - 1x10 ⁴) A-a	0 (0-0) B-a	0 (0-33667) B-a	218x10 ⁴ (686x10 ⁴ - 1x10 ⁴) A-a	0 (0-333) B-a	0 (0-0) B-a
NaOCl gel + PUI	88333 (880x10 ⁴ -33x10 ⁶) A-a	0 (0-0) B-a	0 (0-0) B-a	485x10 ⁴ (71x10 ⁵ - 18x10 ⁵) A-a	0 (0-333) B-a	0 (0-0) B-a

*Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$). Uppercase letters indicate difference in rows (Friedman test; intra-groups) and lowercase letters indicate difference in columns (Kruskal-Wallis test; inter-groups).

Figure 3. Statistical difference among the experimental groups at S2 for LPS and LTA levels. NaOCl, sodium hypochlorite; PUI, passive ultrasonic irrigation; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; LTA, lipoteichoic acid.

activity may be influenced by the irrigation protocol (irrigation time; irrigant volume; instrument shape and material; and the irrigation frequency and intensity) (van der Sluis *et al.*, 2007).

In conclusion, our study showed that NaOCl gel has the same antimicrobial action of NaOCl solution and can partially detoxify endotoxins. PUI improves NaOCl (gel or solution) action over *E. faecalis* and *E. coli* formation and their endotoxins (LPS and LTA).

Data availability

Underlying data

Harvard Dataverse: Raw Data of NaOCl solution and gel, <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/JNK3TH> (Abu Hasna, 2020).

Data are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

References

- Abu Hasna A: **Raw Data of NaOCl solution and gel.** Harvard Dataverse, V1, 2020. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bueno CRE, Cury MTS, Vasques AMV, *et al.*: **Cleaning effectiveness of a nickel-titanium ultrasonic tip in ultrasonically activated irrigation: a SEM study.** *Braz Oral Res.* 2019; **33**: e017. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cavalli D, Toia CC, Flores Orozco EI, *et al.*: **Effectiveness in the removal of endotoxins and microbiological profile in primary endodontic infections using 3 different instrumentation systems: A randomized clinical study.** *J Endod.* 2017; **43**(8): 1237–1245. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Carvalho CAT, Hasna AA, Carvalho AS, *et al.*: **Clinical Study of Sodium Hypochlorite, Polymyxin B And Limewater Effect on MMP-3,-8,-9 In Apical Periodontitis.** *Braz Dent J.* 2020; **31**(2): 116–121. [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Csako G, Elin RJ, Hochstein HD, *et al.*: **Physical and biological properties of U.S. standard endotoxin EC after exposure to ionizing radiation.** *Infect Immun.* 1983; **41**(1): 190–196. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- de Oliveira LD, Jorge AOC, Carvalho CAT, *et al.*: **In vitro effects of endodontic irrigants on endotoxins in root canals.** *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2007; **104**(1): 135–142. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Dioguardi M, Di Gioia G, Illuzzi G, *et al.*: **Passive Ultrasonic Irrigation Efficacy in the Vapor Lock Removal: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.** *ScientificWorldJournal.* 2019; **2019**: 6765349. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Endo MS, Martinho FC, Zaia AA, *et al.*: **Quantification of cultivable bacteria and endotoxin in post-treatment apical periodontitis before and after chemomechanical preparation.** *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2012; **31**(10): 2575–2583. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Faria G, Viola KS, Coaguila-Llerena H, *et al.*: **Penetration of sodium hypochlorite into root canal dentine: effect of surfactants, gel form and passive ultrasonic irrigation.** *Int Endod J.* 2019; **52**(3): 385–392. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Geibel MA, Schu B, Callaway AS, *et al.*: **Polymerase chain reaction-based simultaneous detection of selected bacterial species associated with closed periapical lesions.** *Eur J Med Res.* 2005; **10**(8): 333–338. [PubMed Abstract](#)
- Ginsburg I: **Role of lipoteichoic acid in infection and inflammation.** *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2002; **2**(3): 171–179. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Goswami M, Chhabra N, Kumar G, *et al.*: **Sodium hypochlorite dental accidents.** *Paediatr Int Child Health.* 2014; **34**(1): 66–69. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Iqbal A: **Antimicrobial irrigants in the endodontic therapy.** *Int J Health Sci(Qassim).* 2012; **6**(2): 186–192. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Luebke RG: **Pulp cavity debridement and disinfection.** *Dent Clin North Am.* 1967; **603**–613. [PubMed Abstract](#)
- Machado FP, Khoury RD, Toia CC, *et al.*: **Primary versus post-treatment apical periodontitis: microbial composition, lipopolysaccharides and lipoteichoic acid levels, signs and symptoms.** *Clin Oral Investig.* 2020. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Maekawa LE, Valera MC, deOliveira LD, *et al.*: **Effect of Zingiber officinale and propolis on microorganisms and endotoxins in root canals.** *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2013; **21**(1): 25–31. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Maekawa LE, Valera MC, deOliveira LD, *et al.*: **In vitro evaluation of the action of irrigating solutions associated with intracanal medications on Escherichia coli and its endotoxin in root canals.** *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2011; **19**(2): 106–112. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Mergenhausen SE, Varah E: **Serologically specific lipopolysaccharides from oral veillonella.** *Arch Oral Biol.* 1963; **8**: 31–36. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Mohammed SA, Vianna ME, Penny MR, *et al.*: **Investigations into in situ Enterococcus faecalis biofilm removal by passive and active sodium hypochlorite irrigation delivered into the lateral canal of a simulated root canal model.** *Int Endod J.* 2018; **51**(6): 649–662. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Narayanan LL, Vaishnavi C: **Endodontic microbiology.** *J Conserv Dent.* 2010; **13**(4): 233–239. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Neelakantan P, Herrera DR, Pecorari VGA, *et al.*: **Endotoxin levels after chemomechanical preparation of root canals with sodium hypochlorite or chlorhexidine: a systematic review of clinical trials and meta-analysis.** *Int Endod J.* 2019; **52**(1): 19–27. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Nesser SFA, Bshara NG: **Evaluation of the apical extrusion of sodium hypochlorite gel in immature permanent teeth: An in vitro study.** *Dent Med Probl.* 2019; **56**(2): 149–153. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Paiva SSM, Siqueira JF, Rôças IN, *et al.*: **Molecular microbiological evaluation of passive ultrasonic activation as a supplementary disinfecting step: a clinical study.** *J Endod.* 2013; **39**(2): 190–194. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Plotino G, Pameijer CH, Grande NM, *et al.*: **Ultrasonics in endodontics: a review of the literature.** *J Endod.* 2007; **33**(2): 81–95. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Plutzer B, Zilm P, Ratnayake J, *et al.*: **Comparative efficacy of endodontic medicaments and sodium hypochlorite against Enterococcus faecalis biofilms.** *Aust Dent J.* 2018; **63**(2): 208–216. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Poggio C, Arciola CR, Dagna A, *et al.*: **Antimicrobial activity of sodium hypochlorite-based irrigating solutions.** *Int J Artif Organs.* 2010; **33**(9): 654–659. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sáinz-Pardo M, Estevez R, dePablo ÓV, *et al.*: **Root canal penetration of a sodium hypochlorite mixture using sonic or ultrasonic activation.** *Braz Dent J.* 2014; **25**(6): 489–493. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Salazar-Mercado SA, Torres-León CA, Rojas-Suárez JP: **Cytotoxic evaluation of sodium hypochlorite, using Pisum sativum L as effective bioindicator.** *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2019; **173**: 71–76. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Sedgley C: **Root canal irrigation—a historical perspective.** *J Hist Dent.* 2004; **52**(2): 61–65. [PubMed Abstract](#)
- Siqueira JF: **Aetiology of root canal treatment failure: why well-treated teeth can fail.** *Int Endod J.* 2001; **34**(1): 1–10. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Siqueira JF, Rôças IN, Favieri A, *et al.*: **Chemomechanical reduction of the bacterial population in the root canal after instrumentation and irrigation with 1%, 2.5%, and 5.25% sodium hypochlorite.** *J Endod.* 2000; **26**(6): 331–334. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Taylor HD, Austin JH: **The solvent action of antiseptics on necrotic tissue.** *J Exp Med.* 1918; **27**(1): 155–164. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Valera MC, daCardoso FGR, Chung A, *et al.*: **Comparison of Different Irrigants in the Removal of Endotoxins and Cultivable Microorganisms from Infected Root Canals.** *ScientificWorldJournal.* 2015; **2015**: 125636. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Valera MC, Maekawa LE, Chung A, *et al.*: **The effect of sodium hypochlorite and ginger extract on microorganisms and endotoxins in endodontic treatment of infected root canals.** *Gen Dent.* 2014; **62**(3): 25–29. [PubMed Abstract](#)
- Valera MC, daSilva KCG, Maekawa LE, *et al.*: **Antimicrobial activity of sodium hypochlorite associated with intracanal medication for Candida albicans and Enterococcus faecalis inoculated in root canals.** *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2009; **17**(6): 555–559. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- van der Sluis LWM, Versluis M, Wu MK, *et al.*: **Passive ultrasonic irrigation of the root canal: a review of the literature.** *Int Endod J.* 2007; **40**(6): 415–426. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Zand V, Lotfi M, Soroush MH, *et al.*: **Antibacterial Efficacy of Different Concentrations of Sodium Hypochlorite Gel and Solution on Enterococcus faecalis Biofilm.** *Iran Endod J.* 2016; **11**(4): 315–319. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 19 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.27270.r69901>

© 2020 Gomes B. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Brenda P. F. A. Gomes

Department of Restorative Dentistry, Division of Endodontics, Piracicaba Dental School, State University of Campinas-UNICAMP, Piracicaba, Brazil

The manuscript evaluates the effect of NaOCl solution (2.5%) and gel (3%) with/without passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI) on *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, and their endotoxins, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and lipoteichoic acid (LTA). The article is very well written, responding adequately to the objectives proposed in this study. The language should be revised. The present study has a great clinical relevance.

The title of the work is clear, concise, short and objective. Furthermore, it summarizes the authors conclusions, which is a positive point.

The abstract proposed by the authors clearly summarizes the objective, materials and methods, statistical analysis, results and conclusions.

The introduction presents a background based on previous studies and at most recent literature. The authors could add a paragraph about the use of PUI in endodontics to address all of the research factors.

The authors did not report power calculation of the sample. Was the sample size based on previous studies? They should answer this question in the text.

The authors well described the specimens' preparation, the tests performed and the statistical analyses used in the study.

The results section is well described and synthetizes the results obtained in the present study. The tables and figures are descriptive. Table 2 will be better viewed if it is before the discussion and the results present in this table could be better explored in the written presentation of the results.

In the discussion, the authors could add one more paragraph about the use of PUI, as suggested in the introduction.

The conclusions of this paper drawn adequately supported by the results.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Endodontics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 22 July 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.27270.r65501>

© 2020 Al-Nahlawi T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Talal Al-Nahlawi

Department of Endodontics and Operative Dentistry, Syrian Private University, Damascus, Syria

This is a well designed study that evaluated the effect of sodium hypochlorite as an irrigant solution and gel over one Gram-positive bacterium and another Gram-negative one, as well over their endotoxins with and without passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI).

This study may be the first study that evaluated the effect of sodium hypochlorite gel over the endotoxins of endodontic pathogens and this has a great clinical relevance.

The introduction:

It presented briefly the current literature and a historic background about the use of sodium hypochlorite. As a suggestion for the researchers in their future projects, I think that it is more appropriate to test another Gram-negative bacterium than e.coli. Even e.coli was found in the root canal system, however, I think that there are a variety of microorganisms that may be tested and have more relevance in the literature as example: *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (*P. gingivalis*) as in the study of Wang et al (2019)¹ and others in the study of Lukic et al (2020)².

The methods:

The study design was carefully planned, I think that the study in its current version is accepted to be indexed, all the cited articles in the methodology section have more details about the execution of this study. I am just wondering why the researchers did not use the sodium hypochlorite in the same concentration in both solution and gel forms? It is not a big deal here as the concentration is almost the same (2.5 and 3 %), however, I think this should be explained in the discussion section, or at least mentioning a previous study that used different concentrations.

The discussion:

Why did the authors not take advantage of the positive results obtained from using PUI in this study to be discussed furtherly in the discussion section or even in the introduction section? I think another paragraph will improve the discussion section. The conclusions of this paper drawn adequately supported by the results.

References

1. Wang J, Du L, Fu Y, Jiang P, et al.: ZnO nanoparticles inhibit the activity of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Actinomyces naeslundii* and promote the mineralization of the cementum. *BMC Oral Health*. 2019; **19** (1). [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Lukic D, Karygianni L, Flury M, Attin T, et al.: Endodontic-Like Oral Biofilms as Models for Multispecies Interactions in Endodontic Diseases. *Microorganisms*. 2020; **8** (5). [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Endodontics and operative dentistry

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research