Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Self-reported competency, knowledge and practices of teachers teaching primary children with autism in government schools of West Malaysia: a cross-sectional study [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Jo Ann Andoy-Galvan ¹, Sapna Shridhar Patil ¹, Prabhagari Nair Ramalingam², Muhammad Aminul Syahmi Bin Shobri³, Karuthan Chinna¹, Muhammad Sabri Sahrir⁴, Kumarappan Chidambaram ⁵

¹School of Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Taylor's University, Subang Jaya, Selangor, 46150, Malaysia

²Hospital Melaka, Jalan Mufti Haji Khalil, Melaka, 75400, Malaysia

³Hospital Shah Alam, Persiaran Kayangan, Seksyen 7, Shah Alam, Selangor, 40000, Malaysia

⁴Kulliyah of Education, International Islamic University Malaysia, Jalan Gombak, Kuala Lumpur, 53100, Malaysia

⁵Department of Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, King Khalid University, Guraiger, Abha, 62529, Saudi Arabia

V1 First published: 24 Jul 2020, 9:768
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24283.1>
 Latest published: 24 Jul 2020, 9:768
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24283.1>

Abstract

Background: Disability brings challenges and demands in the family and society which last for a long time. Children that are affected by disability are often kept at home, without access to opportunities other children might have, and education is one of the most effective ways to break the cycle of discrimination and poverty. Malaysia is committed to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, and teacher quality issues are among the Ministry of Education's focuses to ensure a successful journey for pupils with disabilities. In this study, we evaluated the competency, knowledge and implementation practices of teachers serving government schools in West Malaysia regarding teaching children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD).

Methods: 832 primary teachers from different states of West Malaysia participated in a web-based survey that assessed self-reported competency, knowledge and implementation practices with regards to managing children with autism spectrum disorder.

Results: Respondents rated themselves as quite competent, and believed that they were knowledgeable regarding ASD and could implement ASD tasks. Frequency of training was a consistent predictor of competency. Respondents who had never attended a training course had significantly lower self-competency, lower level of

Open Peer Review

Approval Status ? ✓

	1	2
version 1
24 Jul 2020	view	view

1. **Ivan Soldatovic**, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

2. **Jihan A. Mostafa** , California institute of behavioral neuroscience and psychology, Fairfield, USA

Pousette Hamid , California Institute of Behavioural Neurosciences and Psychology, Fairfield, USA
 Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

knowledge, and lower implementation ratings than those who had attended even one course.

Conclusions: Investments in training teachers on ASD are highly recommended to ensure these students are provided with quality of education they deserve.

Keywords

competency, knowledge, implementation, autism, teachers, Malaysia, government schools

Corresponding author: Jo Ann Andoy-Galvan (JoAnnAndoy.Galvan@taylors.edu.my)

Author roles: **Andoy-Galvan JA:** Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Patil SS:** Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Nair Ramalingam P:** Investigation, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Bin Shobri MAS:** Investigation, Project Administration, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Chinna K:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Sahrir MS:** Methodology, Resources, Validation; **Chidambaram K:** Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This project is funded by the Taylor's Research Grant Scheme, Taylor's University, Malaysia (Project code: TRGS/ERFS/1/2016/SOM/005; Budget Code: 320201-SR96-429-C31).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2020 Andoy-Galvan JA *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Andoy-Galvan JA, Patil SS, Nair Ramalingam P *et al.* **Self-reported competency, knowledge and practices of teachers teaching primary children with autism in government schools of West Malaysia: a cross-sectional study [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]** F1000Research 2020, 9:768 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24283.1>

First published: 24 Jul 2020, 9:768 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.24283.1>

Introduction

Disability brings challenges and demands in the family and society which last for a long time. The financial burden associated with accessing health, education and social services is responsible for the cycle of poverty in society. Education is one of the most effective ways to break the cycle of discrimination and poverty that children with disabilities and their families often face by allowing them a greater degree of independence and better quality of life¹. Disabled citizens are often underestimated for their sufficient capabilities to contribute to society resulting in not being prioritized. Education for All (EFA), a global movement, which aims to ensure that every child and adult receives basic education of good quality, has realized failure in its objectives because disabled children are not given proper attention. These children are often kept at home, without access to opportunities other children might have². Universal primary education is one of the eight international Millennium Developmental Goals (MDGs). MDGs had been established following the Millennium Summit of the United Nations in 2000, following the adoption of the United Nations Millennium Declaration. It is a commitment made by 191 United Nation States to be achieved by the year 2015³. According to the MDGs report in 2015, there has been a significant improvement in terms of universal primary education. The primary school net enrolment rate in the developing regions reached 91% in 2015, up from 83% in 2000. The number of out-of-school children of primary school age worldwide has fallen by almost half, to an estimated 57 million in 2015, down from 100 million in 2000. The literacy rate among youth aged 15 to 24 has increased globally from 83% to 91 % between 1990 and 2015⁴. But despite impressive strides, there are more people being left behind, especially the poorest and those disadvantaged because of their sex, age, disability, ethnicity or geographic location⁵. Targeted efforts are required to reach the most vulnerable people. ‘Children with disabilities’ is one of these groups identified in the report as holding back progress towards education for all. Children with disabilities demand increased attention and often require an education adapted to their needs. Ensuring that all people have an equal chance of education must be at the heart of new goals post 2015.

The Sustainable Developmental Goals (SDGs) were developed to accomplish the unmet MDGs which ended in 2015⁵. One SDG is to “ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all”⁶. This inclusive education is aimed at providing special education to students for them to be more successful in social interactions leading to further success in life. However, children with autism require specialized instructions and behaviour support to learn critical skills and improve long term outcomes⁷. The competency of teachers is important in the development of these special children. They should be well competent to ensure the quality of education that these children deserve.

Consequently, this research evaluates the characteristics of teachers teaching children with autism in primary government schools in West Malaysia and their self-reported competency, level

of knowledge and teaching practices implemented. The implications of this study are important as the results can impact the qualifications of teachers and guide professional development that will ensure potential development of children with ASD.

Methods

Study design and setting

This cross-sectional study, conducted in West Malaysia between June 2017 and January 2018, utilized a web-based survey to determine the level of competency, knowledge and implementation practices of primary government schoolteachers registered under the Program Pendidikan Khas Integrasi (PPKI) and Sekolah Kebangsaan Pendidikan Khas (SKPK). PPKI is a special education program for primary school children with disabilities, which was introduced by the Ministry of Education in 1962⁸. It provides a separate class for disabled individuals organized under mainstream primary schools within integrated setting so they can interact and play with other children of their age. SKPK is a special education school provided by the same ministry, which provides education for primary school children with special needs. At present, there are 22 SKPK all over the country, which offer special education for different groups of disabilities; some exclusively provide education to deaf and mute children, while 12 offer programs for autism enrollees. Due to the scarcity of the available enrollees from SKPK (Table 1), regular government schools offering PPKI programs were also targeted (Table 2) in the present study.

Participants

This survey was completed by teachers specifically teaching children with ASD employed in schools involved in SKPK and PPKI.

Table 1. Total number of autism enrollees in Primary Special Education Schools (SKPK), West Malaysia 2017.

No.	State	SKPK	Autism enrollees
1	Johor	SKPK Johor	9
2	Kedah	SKPK Alor Setar; SKPK Sungai Petani	8
3	Kelantan	SKPK Kelantan	3
4	Pahang	SKPK Kuantan	1
5	Perak	SKPK Taiping SKPK Ipoh	4
6	Perlis	SKPK Perlis	5
7	Pulau Pinang	SKPK Jalan Hutton; SKPK Persekutuan	21
8	Terengganu	SKPK Besu SKPK Kuala Terengganu	2
Total			53

Source: Ketua Unit, Unit Data dan Maklumat, Cawangan Perancangan dan Penilaian, Bahagian, Pendidikan Khas, Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.

Table 2. Total number of national schools offering Inclusive Special Education Program (*Pendidikan Khas Integrasi*), West Malaysia 2017.

No	State	Primary	Secondary	Total
1	Perlis	13	11	24
2	Kedah	112	75	187
3	Pulau Pinang	42	23	65
4	Perak	127	81	208
5	Kelantan	101	54	155
6	Terengganu	105	57	162
7	Pahang	148	70	218
8	Selangor	142	76	218
9	Kuala Lumpur	37	27	64
10	Melaka	104	40	144
11	Negeri Sembilan	81	54	135
12	Putrajaya	4	4	8
13	Johor	267	118	385
TOTAL		1385	588	1973

Source: Ketua Unit, Unit Data dan Maklumat, Cawangan Perancangan dan Penilaian, Bahagian, Pendidikan Khas, Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia

Inclusion criteria was primary schoolteachers presently working with children with autism during the study period.

Questionnaire

This research adapted the same questionnaire used by Hendricks in the study ‘Skill competencies for professionals and paraprofessionals in Virginia supporting individuals with autism across the lifespan’⁹. This questionnaire was used to survey special education teachers employed in public schools in Virginia, USA. The Virginia Skill Competencies is a list of guidelines for educators who serve students with autism and it was generated to develop educational standards in autism¹⁰.

The questionnaire consisted of four sections: Section A, background information, which comprised questions covering the following: age, gender, race, position, state, highest level of education, number of years spent/experience in teaching children with autism, number of ASD students taught in the last five years, number of students in a class, and frequency of special trainings attended; Section B, self-reported competency, which included nine statements on the self-rating of competence in handling a child with autism using a four-point Likert scale; Section C, self-rating of level of knowledge; and Section D, self-rating of level of implementation. These last two sections included statements organized under the following focus areas:

1. General autism competencies
2. Environmental structure and visual support competencies

3. Comprehensive instructional programming competency
4. Communication competencies
5. Social skill competencies
6. Behavior competencies
7. Sensory motor development
8. Independence and aptitude competencies

Section C consisted of 16 statements on self-rating of level of knowledge and Section D included 25 statements on self-rating of the implementation practices. They used a five-point Likert scale when rating current level of knowledge, where 1 represented ‘little knowledge’ and 5 indicated ‘very knowledgeable’. When rating current level of implementation, 1 represented ‘rarely implemented’ and 5 indicated ‘frequently implemented’.

The questionnaire was translated into the Malay language by a professor teaching language studies. A pilot test was carried out to ensure validity and reliability of the questionnaire. Special Education Teachers at a private therapy center were asked to participate in the pilot survey and comment if the instructions were clear, comprehensive and easy to understand. They were also asked if the confidentiality was appropriately maintained in the questionnaire. Suggestions were collected and integrated into the questionnaires.

Data collection

The link to the online survey was sent to the email address of the State Education Department directors of the 13 states. The researcher followed up using telephone calls to confirm receipt of the email. Jabatan Pendidikan Negeri (State Education Department) officers were assigned to coordinate with the researcher. The online questionnaire was administered, and data collected, using [Google Forms](#).

Data analysis

Data was exported and analyzed using SPSS version 19. Frequencies, means and standard deviations were used to summarize the data. Linear regression procedures were conducted to determine whether certain socio-demographic variables would predict self-competence in ASD management, knowledge of ASD competencies, and knowledge of implementation of ASD competencies. For all tests, the level of significance was set at 0.05.

Ethical considerations

Approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Taylor’s University Centre for Research management and the Ministry of Education, Malaysia [KPM.600-3/2/3 Jld.34¹¹; KPM.600-3/3/3 Jld.35³⁷]. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants for participation in the study.

Results

Out of the 7,575 teachers teaching in West Malaysia (Table 3) in both SKPK and PPKI schools, 1073 responded and completed the survey (Table 4). A total of 242 responses were excluded due to missing information.

Table 3. Number of teachers teaching special children in primary government schools in West Malaysia.

State	PPKI	SKPK	Total	Survey completed
Johor	1033	128	1161	82
Kedah	602	76	678	46
Kelantan	462	30	492	1
Melaka	522	24	546	168
Negeri Sembilan	361	39	400	28
Pahang	614	35	649	72
Perak	757	57	814	247
Perlis	87	32	119	3
Pulau Pinang	352	76	428	20
Selangor	1146	40	1186	5
Terengganu	548	64	612	90
WP Kuala Lumpur	357	67	424	66
WP Putrajaya	66	0	66	3
TOTAL	6907	668	7575	831

PPKI, Program Pendidikan Khas Integrasi; SKPK, Sekolah Kebangsaan Pendidikan Khas.

As per Nunnally and Bernstein (1994), a measure is moderately reliable if its Cronbach's alpha is 0.70 or higher¹². Given this criterion, the three measures (Sections B–D) were all reliable. Mean composites were created for each of the measures; as such, four was the highest possible score. As shown in Table 5, the sample of respondents rated themselves as considerably competent ($M = 3.06$, $SD = 0.38$). They also believed that they were knowledgeable regarding ASD competencies ($M = 3.28$, $SD = 0.60$) and could implement ASD tasks ($M = 3.33$, $SD = 0.63$).

Overall procedure

Linear regression procedures were conducted to determine whether certain socio-demographic variables would predict self-competence in ASD management, knowledge of ASD competencies, and knowledge of implementation of ASD competencies. Prior to conducting the regressions, several variables were recoded. First, level of education was recoded into a three-category variable: diploma, Bachelor's, and graduate degree. Second, class size was recoded into a three-category variable: 1 to 5, 6 to 10, and 11 or more. Lastly, frequency of training was recoded into a four-category variable: never, once, once a year, and more than once a year. Indicator (or dummy) variables were then created, where the first category (i.e., diploma, 1 to 5, and never) served as the reference group. In addition, because the variable, number of ASD students taught, was severely skewed, this variable was transformed using natural log function. Skewness dropped to acceptable limits (i.e., skewness index fell below three, per Kline, 2011)¹³; thus, this transformed variable was used in subsequent regression procedures.

Table 4. Characteristics of teachers from Program Pendidikan Khas Integrasi and Sekolah Kebangsaan Pendidikan Khas schools in West Malaysia (N = 832).

Variables	<i>n</i>	(%)
Age, years	37.76	(7.63)
Years spent teaching ASD students	8.25	(5.04)
Number of ASD students taught, mean±SD	12.88±18.86	
Race		
<i>Malay</i>	737	(88.8)
<i>Chinese</i>	55	(6.6)
<i>Indian</i>	30	(3.6)
<i>Other</i>	8	(1.0)
State		
<i>Terengganu</i>	90	(10.8)
<i>Pahang</i>	72	(8.7)
<i>Negeri Sembilan</i>	28	(3.4)
<i>Kuala Lumpur</i>	66	(7.9)
<i>Putrajaya</i>	3	(0.4)
<i>Melaka</i>	168	(20.2)
<i>Johor</i>	82	(9.9)
<i>Perak</i>	247	(29.7)
<i>Pulau Pinang</i>	20	(2.4)
<i>Kedah</i>	46	(5.5)
<i>Perlis</i>	3	(0.4)
<i>Selangor</i>	5	(0.6)
<i>Kelantan</i>	1	(0.1)
Gender		
<i>Male</i>	167	(20.1)
<i>Female</i>	664	(79.9)
Position		
<i>Administrator</i>	63	(7.6)
<i>Coordinator</i>	12	(1.4)
<i>Subject head</i>	7	(0.8)
<i>Teacher</i>	739	(89.3)
<i>Teacher helper</i>	6	(0.7)
<i>Counselor</i>	1	(0.1)
Highest level of education		
<i>Teaching certificate</i>	23	(2.8)
<i>Malaysia certificate of education</i>	3	(0.4)
<i>Teaching diploma</i>	44	(5.3)
<i>Bachelor's degree</i>	693	(83.3)
<i>Master's degree</i>	68	(8.2)
<i>Doctorate</i>	1	(0.1)

Variables	<i>n</i>	(%)
Class size (number of students)		
1 to 5	655	(78.9)
6 to 10	118	(14.2)
11 or more	57	(6.9)
Frequency of training		
Never	103	(12.4)
Once	271	(32.6)
Once a year	218	(26.2)
Twice a year	77	(9.3)
Thrice a year	23	(2.8)
More than three times a year	140	(16.8)

ASD, autism spectrum disorder.

Table 5. Descriptive statistics and reliability for the measures of competence and implementation in teachers from West Malaysia (N = 832).

Measure	α	Range	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>
Self-competence	.76	1.11 to 4.00	3.06	.38
Level of knowledge				
Overall competency	.97	1.00 to 5.00	3.28	.60
Overall implementation	.98	1.00 to 5.00	3.33	.63

Assumptions of multivariate normality (assessed through a normal probability plot), linearity, and homoscedasticity (both assessed through a plot of the studentized deleted residuals by the standardized predicted values) were checked; all assumptions were met. The problem of multi-collinearity was also verified via the variables' tolerance values; multi-collinearity was not a problem as all tolerance values were above .20¹⁴

Predictors of self-competence

The findings in Table 6 reveal that the number of ASD students taught positively predicted self-competence ratings ($\beta = .11, p = .003$); the more ASD students the respondents had taught, the higher their self-competence ratings. Frequency of training also positively predicted self-competence ratings; respondents who had never attended a training course ($M = 2.88, SD = .41$) had significantly lower self-competency ratings than those who had attended even one course ($M = 3.06, SD = .36; p < .001$), those who attended a course once per year ($M = 3.11, SD = .41; p < .001$), and those who took more than one course a year ($M = 3.11, SD = .34; p < .001$).

Predictors of knowledge

The findings in Table 7 show that the number of ASD students taught positively predicted knowledge of ASD competencies ($\beta = .18, p < .001$); the more ASD students the respondents had taught, the higher their knowledge of ASD competencies scores. Level of education also significantly predicted knowledge of ASD competencies; respondents with a teaching

Table 6. Linear regression results for self-competency in ASD model (N = 832).

Variables	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	β
Years spent teaching ASD	.00	.00	.04
Number of ASD students taught	.04	.02	.11*
Level of education			
Diploma vs. Bachelor's	.01	.05	.01
Diploma vs. graduate degree	.10	.06	.07
Class size			
1 to 5 vs. 6 to 10	.02	.04	.01
1 to 5 vs. 11 or more	.01	.05	.01
Frequency of training			
Never vs. once	.16	.04	.19***
Never vs. once per year	.20	.05	.23***
Never vs. more than once per year	.20	.04	.24***

ASD, autism spectrum disorder. Overall model $F(9, 822) = 5.71, p < .001, R^2 = .059$. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Table 7. Linear regression results for knowledge in ASD model (N = 832).

Variables	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	β
Years spent teaching ASD	-.00	.00	-.01
Number of ASD students taught	.11	.02	.18 *
Level of education			
Diploma vs. Bachelor's	.07	.07	.05
Diploma vs. graduate degree	.23	.10	.11 *
Class size			
1 to 5 vs. 6 to 10	-.09	.06	-.05
1 to 5 vs. 11 or more	-.03	.08	-.01
Frequency of training			
Never vs. once	.31	.07	.24 ***
Never vs. once per year	.38	.07	.28 ***
Never vs. more than once per year	.34	.07	.26 ***

ASD, autism spectrum disorder. Overall model $F(9, 822) = 7.73, p < .001, R^2 = .078$. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

diploma had significantly lower knowledge ratings ($M = 3.25, SD = .57$) than respondents with a graduate degree ($M = 3.43, SD = .68; p = .022$). Frequency of training also positively predicted knowledge ratings; respondents who had never attended a training course ($M = 2.95, SD = .71$) had significantly lower knowledge of competency ratings than those who had attended even one course ($M = 3.29, SD = .58; p < .001$), those who attended a course once per year ($M = 3.37, SD = .58; p < .001$), and those who took more than one course a year ($M = 3.34, SD = .55; p < .001$).

Predictors of implementation

The findings in Table 8 indicate that number of ASD students taught positively predicted knowledge of implementation ($\beta = .15, p < .001$); the more ASD students the respondents had taught, the higher their knowledge of implementation scores. Level of education also significantly predicted knowledge of implementation; respondents with a teaching diploma had significantly lower ratings ($M = 3.21, SD = .59$) than respondents with a graduate degree ($M = 3.52, SD = .62; p = .001$). Frequency of training also positively predicted implementation ratings; respondents who had never attended a training course ($M = 2.99, SD = .77$) had significantly lower implementation ratings than those who had attended even one course ($M = 3.29, SD = .59; p < .001$), those who attended a course once per year ($M = 3.45, SD = .59; p < .001$), and those who took more than one course a year ($M = 3.40, SD = .58; p < .001$).

Discussion

Increasing numbers of autistic students in primary schools demand competent and well-trained teachers who can confidently implement efficacious strategies to bring the best possible outcomes. It is crucial to understand the aptitudes of special education teachers as their understanding of autism and its spectrum, strategies to design individual teaching plans and assessment will help the students achieve their potential. This study highlights the self-reported competency, knowledge and implementation practices and its predictors among special education teachers in primary government schools in West Malaysia. Additionally, the findings can serve as a guide to realigning the content of teachers' preparation programs.

In our study, the majority of the participants had a Bachelor's degree (83.3%) and another 8.2% had a Master's degree. The average number of years of experience of teaching was 8.25 years, with an average of around 13 students with ASD, and

majority of the teachers were experienced in handling a class size of 1 to 5. A similar profile was reported in studies conducted by Toran *et al.* and Wei *et al.*^{15,16}. The teachers demonstrated intermediate to moderate levels of knowledge of autism and implementation practices. A study conducted in the city of Amman by AL Jabery *et al.*¹¹ reported a similar result. However, our finding contrasted with finding of low to intermediate autism knowledge among special education teachers from the Virginia state of the United States of America as reported by Hendricks. A study conducted by Mavropoulou and Padelidu among Greek educators reported and another by Toran *et al.* among teachers in Malaysia highlighted the low level of knowledge of autism among the special education teachers^{9,17,18}.

A moderate level of self-reported competency in determining appropriate intervention goals for the autistic children was reported by respondents in our study. Hendricks, Toran *et al.* and Wei *et al.* noted similar findings in their studies^{9,14,16}.

Our study revealed that the frequency of training strongly predicted self-reported competency and knowledge, as well as the implementation practices. Toran *et al.* emphasized the need to improve special education teacher training in order to increase the level of knowledge in autism and effective use of evidence-based teaching strategies by offering hands-on activities. Hendricks also highlighted the need for increased content related to autism and evidence-based practices during pre-service training^{9,15}. Scheuermann *et al.* recommended the use of specialized skills training and provision of technical assistance and support to teachers in the training programs in the United States. Similarly, Litton *et al.* recommended a one-year induction program in conjunction with the local school districts' programs with the express purpose of retaining highly qualified teaching personnel^{19,20}.

In this study, we also found that the level of education also significantly predicted knowledge of ASD competencies and implementation practices. Respondents with a graduate degree had significantly higher ratings as compared to those with a teaching diploma. This finding highlights the importance of recruiting teachers with a higher level of education in this setting.

The number of students with ASD taught by the respondents was found to be a significant predictor of self-competence and knowledge, as well as the implementation practices. This is of particular interest given the challenges educators face due to the multidimensional nature of the disability. As documented by Hendricks⁹, the wide range of cognitive abilities and verbal skills of learners affect the knowledge and competencies required. Dealing with students with varying capabilities warrant special practices that address communication and social needs and help the learners achieve academic success.

However, in our study the number of years of teaching experience did not predict self-competence or knowledge, which concurs with the finding reported by Alharbi *et al.*²¹. In contrast, AL Jabery *et al.*¹¹ reported statistically significant differences in the level of knowledge among teachers with a teaching experience of five years and more as compared to those with a teaching experience of less than three years

Table 8. Linear regression results for implementation in ASD model (N = 832).

Variables	B	SE	β	
Years spent teaching ASD	-.00	.00	-.01	
Number of ASD students taught	.10	.02	.15	***
Level of education				
Diploma vs. Bachelor's	.15	.08	.09	
Diploma vs. graduate degree	.35	.10	.16	**
Class size				
1 to 5 vs. 6 to 10	-.07	.06	-.04	
1 to 5 vs. 11 or more	-.01	.09	-.00	
Frequency of training				
Never vs. once	.27	.07	.20	***
Never vs. once per year	.41	.07	.29	***
Never vs. more than once per year	.37	.07	.26	***

ASD, autism spectrum disorder. Overall model $F(9, 822) = 7.98, p < .001$, $R^2 = .080$. $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$

Conclusion

From our results, we conclude that teachers rated themselves as considerably competent. They also believed that they were knowledgeable regarding ASD and could implement ASD tasks. Frequency of training is a consistent predictor of this competency; respondents who had never attended a training course had significantly lower self-competency, lower level of knowledge and lower implementation ratings than those who had attended even one course. Investments in training of these teachers is highly recommended to ensure these students are provided with the quality of education they deserve.

Data availability

Underlying data

Harvard Dataverse: Self reported competency, knowledge and practices of teachers teaching primary children with autism in government schools of West Malaysia, <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/TP5VJI>²².

This project contains the following underlying data:

- Raw data: ASD TEACHERS.tab

Extended data

Harvard Dataverse: Self reported competency, knowledge and practices of teachers teaching primary children with autism

in government schools of West Malaysia, <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/TP5VJI>²².

This project contains the following extended data:

- Questionnaire in English and Malay language (competency developed by Virginia Autism Council)

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the following people from the Ministry of Education for their support to this research project: Shazali Bin Ahmad, Rosli Bin Ismail, Mohd Helmy Bin Mahat, Fatimahtul Zaharah binti Ithnin; and all the state Education Offices for their assistance in the data collection particularly the following officers: Mohamad Faizal bin Mohamad Roselee, Nawi Awang Senik, Hj Mohd Nazri Bin Jj Abdul Latip, Wan Shariza Binti Ahmad, Shafruddin Ali Hussin, Huzaimah binti Muain, Yahaya Ali, Letchumy A/P Sinnan and Fakhrul Nizam Pakhruddin. Also thanks go to the principals, assistant principals, special education head and teachers of the Sekolah Kebangsaan Pendidikan Khas (SKPK) and Sekolah Kebangsaan (SKs).

References

1. UNICEF: **The right of children with disabilities to education: A rights-based approach to Inclusive Education**. Geneva: UNICEF Regional Office for Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CEE/CIS); [cited 2020 Jan 15]. 2012; 119.
[Reference Source](#)
2. UNESCO Education for all Global Monitoring Report 2015: **Education for all 2000-2015: Achievements and Challenges**. France: UNESCO Place de Fontenoy; [cited 2020 Jan 17]. 2015; 516.
[Reference Source](#)
3. World Health Organization: **Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)**. Who.int.; [cited 2020 Jan 14]. 2015.
[Reference Source](#)
4. United Nations: **The Millennium Development Goals Report 2015**. New York: United Nations; [cited 2018 Feb 5 15]. 2015; 72.
[Reference Source](#)
5. United Nations: **The Sustainable Development Agenda - United Nations Sustainable Development**. United Nations Sustainable Development. [cited 2020 Jan 14].
[Reference Source](#)
6. United Nations: **SDG Indicators**. Unstats.un.org.; 2019.
[Reference Source](#)
7. Wong C, Odom SL, Hume KA, *et al.*: **Evidence-Based Practices for Children, Youth, and Young Adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Comprehensive Review**. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2015; 45(7): 1951–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
8. Ministry of Education: **Program Pendidikan Khas Integrasi**. moe.gov.my. [cited 9 January 2020]. 2019.
[Reference Source](#)
9. Hendricks D: **Special education teachers serving students with autism: A descriptive study of the characteristics and self-reported knowledge and practices employed**. *J Vocat Rehabil*. 2011; 35(1): 37–50.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Virginia Autism Council: **Skill competencies for professionals and paraprofessionals in Virginia supporting individuals with autism across the lifespan**. Skill competency committee of the Virginia autism council. 2010; 1–30.
[Reference Source](#)
11. AL Jabery MA, Melhem AM, Al Abdallat BM: **Level of Knowledge about Autism Disorder among Special Education Teachers Who Teach Individuals with Autism in the City of Amman**. *Dirasat Educational Sciences*. 2014; 41(2): 881–99.
[Reference Source](#)
12. Nunnally JC, Bernstein IH: **Psychometric theory (3rd ed.)**. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, Inc. 1994.
[Reference Source](#)
13. Kline RB: **Principles and practice of structural equation modeling**. 3rd ed. New York: The Guilford Press. 2011; 427.
[Reference Source](#)
14. Norušis MJ; SPSS Inc: **SPSS for Windows Base System Users Guide. Release 5.0**. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall. 1992; 672.
[Reference Source](#)
15. Toran H, Westover JM, Szazlina K, *et al.*: **The Preparation, Knowledge and Self Reported competency of Special Education Teachers Regarding Students with Autism**. *J Soc Sci Hum*. 2016; 24(1): 185–96.
[Reference Source](#)
16. Wei L, Yasin MM: **Teacher Training to Increase Teacher's Competency in Teaching Autism Child**. *Journal of ICSAR*. 2017; 1(1): 1–5.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Mavropoulou S, Padelidiu S: **Greek Teachers' Perceptions of Autism and Implications for Educational Practice**. *Autism*. 2000; 4(2): 173–83.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
18. Toran H, Yasin MM, Tahar MM, *et al.*: **Level of Training, Knowledge and Confidence of Special Need Teachers on Autism**. *Malaysian Journal of Education*. 2010; 35(1): 19–26.
19. Scheuermann B, Webber J, Boutot E, *et al.*: **Problems with Personnel**

Preparation in Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Focus Autism Other Dev Disabil.* 2003; **18**(3): 197–206.

[Publisher Full Text](#)

20. Litton FW, Rotatori AR, Coombs-Richardson R, *et al.*: **Preparation for Teachers for Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Call for Quality and Quantity.** *Am J Educ Res.* 2017; **5**(2): 225–30.

[Reference Source](#)

21. Alharbi KA, Alharbi AA, Al-Thunayyan FS, *et al.*: **School's Teachers Knowledge**

About Autism in Al-Badaya City, Al-Qassim Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Mater Sociomed.* 2019; **31**(1): 4–9.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

22. Galvan JA: **"Self Reported Competency, Knowledge And Practices Of Teachers Teaching Primary Children With Autism In Government Schools Of West Malaysia".** *Harvard Dataverse.* V1, UNF: 6:AcZAHui+pvQ/O3/yYa81RQ== [fileUNF]. 2020.

<http://www.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/TP5VJI>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 12 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.26790.r72000>

© 2020 Hamid P et al. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jihan A. Mostafa

Faculty Member, Medical Research, California institute of behavioral neuroscience and psychology, Fairfield, CA, USA

Pousette Hamid

¹ Medical Research, California Institute of Behavioural Neurosciences and Psychology, Fairfield, CA, USA

² Neurology Department, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

- This cross sectional study clearly address the research question via proper methodology which explores the measurement of knowledge, competency, implementation of school teachers regarding ASD. However, choosing this survey which is a qualitative one (self-rating) is considered less choice than having a quantitative objective measurement of knowledge, competency especially there is other questionnaires present (Autism Knowledge Questionnaire with 30 questions).
- Regarding predictors of competency: number of students ASD is considered a statistically significant one however, it is not mentioned in the abstract or the conclusion $p < 0.05$.
- Please mention p value in the abstract for the training as a predictor.
- Proper designing of the study with good methodology.
- Good editing and construction of the statistical analysis which was done in a proper way.
- The authors clearly tested all predictors for competency, and knowledge in school teachers in proper analysis, but at the end they did not provide a detailed explanation for enhancement strategy for educational programs, program design and ways of evaluating these programs, quantitative measurement for an effective educational practice.
- The authors neglected the influence of student support teams in a multidisciplinary manner and family-school collaboration.

- Sample size is adequate however sampling technique is not that good.
- Finally, I think this study is interesting and deserves indexing.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Neurology, Neuroscience, Psychiatry.

We confirm that we have read this submission and believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 01 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.26790.r69158>

© 2020 Soldatovic I. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ivan Soldatovic

Institute for Medical Statistics and Informatics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

The article is interesting and provides information about self perceived competency regarding the work with autistic children. However, there are some concerns regarding the article.

Abstract

The Background is longer than Results and Conclusion. I suggest to make more interesting facts (or numbers) in Results than to write long introduction.

Introduction

The last sentence contains only abbreviation (ASD).

Methods

Please make clearer about SKPK and Table 1 and . In text the authors states that 22 SKPK (12 autism) is present and in the Table 1 total number of SKPK is 53. Does it mean that total number of schools enrolled in this research is 53 SKPK + 1973 PKI? This part is somehow confusing due to specificity of the country educational organization. Authors might make it more simpler. It is important to emphasize the number of schools and number of teachers in each type of school that are enrolled in the research. Table 1 and 2 might be more appropriate as supplement since distribution of schools in the country is not so important information to the international readers, but rather specific for Malaysia.

The authors state that they use adapted questionnaire. What adaption did the authors made? How many participants were used for the pilot test for validity and reliability? The authors should provide at least some information about that validation process of the questionnaire, for example (Cronbach's alpha of scales, or at least interval of alpha levels for scales B-D 0.888-0.900).

Results

The author should present the percentage of total response rate and rate of teachers enrolled in the study after excluding missing. It is more informative then just counts. In discussion the authors must explain this low percentage.

In Table 4, do the authors need to present each state? Does it change their discussion? The table is big and it would be easier to collapse some categories (states) to "Other".

The Overall procedure is confusing. Why did the authors made all numerical variables to binary or ordered. Linear regression is assumed to have numerical and categorical variables (binary or ordered) as independent variables. Did the authors made any model with original variables and concluded that this models are better?

There is no univariable analysis. How did the authors choose these independent variables for the modeling? Why did not use Gender or others variables presented in Table 1?

The authors might try (not necessarily) to merge Tables 6, 7 and 8 into one table with the predictors in rows (as it is) and three outcomes in column. It might provide better insight into relationship between independent predictor as specific outcome.

Table 5 has alpha levels. Is Cronbach's alpha=0.98 somehow too large? Some authors suggests that.

Discussion

The authors repeat numbers from results (no need for that). First the response rate should be explained. It seems that is low and do the authors think that the sample is representative.

In some paragraphs the authors leave out "self perceived" or "self-reported". It is necessarily to emphasize that their knowledge and competency is self perceived, not completely objective (if I understood the questionnaire completely).

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Clinical Studies, Epidemiology, Public Health, Biostatistics, Internal medicine

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research